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BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

JOHNS MANVILLE, a Delaware corporation,

Complainant,
vs
ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,


TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS taken
before HEARING OFFICER BRADLEY HALLORAN by STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR, a notary public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, in Room 9-031 at the James Thompson Center, 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 25th day of May, 2016, A.D., at 9:00 a.m.
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    ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
    BY: MR. BRADLEY HALLORAN
    100 West Randolph Street
    Suite 11-500
    Chicago, Illinois 60601
    (312) 814-6983,
                            Appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff;
    ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
Ms. Jennifer Burke, Board Member
Ms. Carrie Zalewski, Board Member
BRYAN CAVE
BY: MS. SUSAN E. BRICE
MS. LAUREN J. CAISMAN
161 North Clark Street
Suite 4300
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 602-5070,
susan.brice@bryancave.com
lauren.caisman@bryancave.com
Appeared on behalf of the Complainant;
ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
BY: MR. EVAN J. MCGINLEY
MS. ELLEN F. O'LAUGHLIN
69 West Washington Street
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Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 814-3153,
emcginley@atg.state.il.us
eolaughlin@atg.state.il.us
Appeared on behalf of the Respondent;
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EXHIBITS

Marked for Identification

HEARING OFEICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Hi. We're on the record. Good morning. It is May 25th, 2016, the third day of the hearing in this matter PCB No. 14-3 and before I forget I want to note for the record that May 23 rd and 24 th there were no members of the public present and the only members -- the only people in the room are the attorneys and witnesses for the respective parties.

Again, today, I'm pleased to announce we have Member Burke, Member Zalewski, we have Staff Attorney Daniel Robertson, Senior Attorney Mark Powell and we have an intern who just started the other day and welcome. Thank you for your help.

I believe right now we're on direct. Ms. O'Laughlin is directing Mr. Steven Gobelman. If you can just step up or do we have any preliminary issues we need to talk about first?

MR. MCGINLEY: Mr. Halloran, we actually have one fact witness that we're going to call, James Stumpner, who is with IDOT and it is really just a relatively brief bit of testimony.
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With your indulgence, would it be possible to just have him go first and then -because it's probably going to be after Ms. O'Laughlin finishes with Mr. Gobelman obviously Ms. Brice or somebody will want to cross-examine him and that's going to take several hours I would think.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Who is the witness?

MR. MCGINLEY: James Stumpner.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.

```
Brice? Ms. Caisman?
```

MS. CAISMAN: That's fine by me.
MS. BRICE: That's fine.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Sure.

MR. MCGINLEY: Thank you. I appreciate that.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can step up, Mr. Stumpner and Mr. Brickey will swear you in.

MR. STUMPNER: This seat right here? HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry. We can move it over next to the magic
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markers. Thank you. WHEREUPON:

JAMES STUMPNER
called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

D I R E C T
E X A M I N A T I O N
BY MR. MCGINLEY
Q. Good morning. Mr. Stumpner, how are you doing today?
A. Fine.
Q. Great. Could you state your full name and spell it for the record, please.
A. James A. Stumpner. Last name spelled $S-T-U-M-P-N-E-R$.
Q. Okay. And what is the -- what is the highest level of education you have, sir?
A. I have a Bachelor's of Engineering degree in -- Bachelor of Science degree in civil engineering.
Q. Okay. And do you have any licensing or certifications?
A. I'm a registered professional engineer in the state of Illinois.
Q. For how long have you been a
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```
professional engineer?
```

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you
keep your voice up? We have the trains and
everything.
MR. MCGINLEY: Sure.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you, Mr. McGinley.
BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. And for how long have you been a
professional engineer?
A. I've been a registered professional
engineer since 1991.
Q. Thank you. As part of being a
registered professional engineer, do you do -- do
you have to take regular continuing education
requirements?
A. Yes, I am required to do so.
Q. Who is your current employer?
A. I am currently employed by the State
of Illinois through the Illinois Department of
Transportation.
Q. And -- and for how long have you
worked for IDOT?
A. I've worked for IDOT since August of
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
312-419-9292
$1 \quad 1985$.

2
Q. Okay. And who did you work for prior to IDOT?
A. Briefly I worked for the Michigan Department of Transportation.
Q. What's your current position with IDOT?
A. I'm currently the Bureau Chief of Maintenance for the Illinois Department of Transportation.
Q. Okay. And for Bureau of

Maintenance, is that for the entire state or a portion of the state?
A. It's for the District 1 portion of the state.
Q. And District 1 comprises what part of the State of Illinois?
A. Northeast corner, which consists of the six counties of Lake, McHenry, Cook, Du- -Kane, DuPage and Will continues.
Q. As the Chief for the Bureau of Maintenance, could you tell us please what your -what your -- the Bureau of Maintenance is responsible for?
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A. The Bureau of Maintenance is responsible for a number of things which include bridge maintenance and -- we have a bridge maintenance section, we have three operations sections, we have a landscape and contracts section and we have a support section.
Q. Okay. In order to -- so in order to understand what your bureau is responsible for maintaining, what information do you rely on to -to help understand what you're responsible for?
A. Within our support section, we -- we keep records of all the construction agreements, agreements with municipalities and we track all those records and those help us keep track of what we are responsible for.
Q. Okay. And -- and what form do the records -- are they kept in?
A. We keep them in -- in hardcopy paper -- paper copies.
Q. Okay. What is the volume of the records that are maintained by your bureau?
A. We have all these agreements and -and I don't know exactly how many there are. I would estimate in the thousands, but we keep them

1 in file cabinets. Approximately somewhere in the 2 vicinity of around 15 file cabinets for records. about doing that using the resources that are available to you?
A. Well, we have -- like I said, we have construction agreements and maintenance agreements and all these agreements and we -- we keep track of them by route name or number. Some of the roads have a number, some have route names and so every route is -- is kept in its own file and then also we have files that we keep by local municipalities, by county, by township and those types of records.

So within the counties, towns and townships, there is -- those route names are
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also recorded under -- under the various villages and municipalities.
Q. What -- so you keep all of these records and you use them to figure out who has jurisdiction over a given parcel of roadway, is that what we understand from your testimony?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Are you familiar with the term jurisdiction and also with another term ownership?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. Could you explain -- could you first define what jurisdiction means as far as IDOT is concerned?
A. Jurisdiction identifies the entity that has the maintenance responsibility, the operation responsibility and the use responsibility of a roadway and when I say maintenance responsibility it is to maintain or cause to be maintained. As far as operational, it would include things like oversized permits, overweight permits, driveway access permitting and signage, regulations, speed limits and such like that as far as operational jurisdiction.
Q. Okay, And ownership, how would --
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what does that term mean in the context of IDOT?
A. Ownership is the entity or person that may own the actual land that the road is constructed on.
Q. Is it possible for jurisdiction and ownership to be divided between -- between local governments or levels of government I should say?

MS. CAISMAN: Objection. Lack of foundation.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. McGinley?

MR. MCGINLEY: Well, he is talking about his experience. I mean, I think he is capable of saying if -- if -- how jurisdiction works between -- an ownership may work between local governments. We've established the foundation because he is responsible for maintenance.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I agree. Overruled. You may proceed. BY THE WITNESS:
A. Yes, it is possible that different government entities could have jurisdiction and ownership.
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1 BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Give us an example of a situation like that, maybe something in the City of Chicago.
A. The Kennedy Expressway. Much of the Kennedy Expressway is under the jurisdiction -all of the Kennedy Expressway is under the jurisdiction of the Illinois Department of Transportation, but much of the Kennedy Expressway is owned by the City of Chicago.
Q. So what -- in IDOT's eyes in terms of discharging its maintenance responsibilities, what is more important, jurisdiction or ownership?
A. Jurisdiction.
Q. And why is jurisdiction important?
A. Because that's the regulating body that has the ability to dictate how the road is utilized.
Q. I think it's fair to say you're familiar with the project that is at issue in this case. You've been already deposed in this case. So what do you know about the Amstutz Project?
A. The Amstutz Project was a project that was initiated and constructed by the Illinois Department of Transportation. It was under the
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Department of Building in the previous name, but currently now as IDOT. It was -- so basically it was built by the Illinois Department of Transportation and it utilizes the markings of Illinois Route 137. So it is a marked highway in addition to being named the Amstutz Expressway.
Q. Okay. I would like to --

MR. MCGINLEY: It appears that the binder of our exhibits is not up there.

MS. CAISMAN: It's on the chair.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's on
the chair.
MR. MCGINLEY: Can I approach,
please, just --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may. BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Mr. Stumpner, I'd like to direct your attention as to what is marked as Exhibit 166 in the binder that is in front of you.

MS. BRICE: Evan, is this stipulated to?

MR. MCGINLEY: It is an exhibit to Mr. Stumpner's deposition. I assume it's stipulated to.
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MS. CAISMAN: It's fine.
MS. BRICE: Yes, it is.
BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Could you please turn to -- it is tabbed -- you'll see Exhibit 166. It is towards the back. Probably the next to last tab that you see in there.
A. One-sixty-six, yes.
Q. Could you take a second to just look that over. I'd like to ask you a few questions about it when you're -- after you've familiarized yourself with it.
A. Okay.
Q. The Amstutz Expressway Project, as best you know, what was actually constructed with respect to this project that is memorialized in Exhibit 166?
A. I'm sorry. I couldn't -- what was the question?
Q. Sure. What is your understanding of what was -- what was actually constructed -- what improvements were made with -- under this project that is memorialized in Exhibit 166?
A. Well, it's the Amstutz Expressway as
well as several roadways in the vicinity of the Amstutz Expressway that were affected by the -- by the construction of the Amstutz Expressway.
Q. Could I -- we didn't -- we don't have individual exhibit numbers so I'm going to have to have people indulge me by just flipping to the proper page. The fourth page in.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Are we still on Exhibit 166?

MR. MCGINLEY: We are, yes. This would be IDOT 01219.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 119.
MR. MCGINLEY: 119. Sorry. BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Have you had a chance to look that over?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. I'm sorry. That should be IDOT
12118. Could you read -- you'll see there is a Roman numeral at the top.

Can you read that first paragraph that is right there, please?
A. From Roman numeral one, "The city will at locations -- it is really hard to read.
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Q. I think it's 50 years old. It is probably a little bit of a challenge.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Keep your voice up, Mr. McGinley.

MR. MCGINLEY: Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you. Mine is hard to read as well.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. "The city will at locations -- and that's about all I can read. BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Okay. Can you -- can you make out any other part of the paragraph?
A. The last sentence is "in accordance with the following."

MS. CAISMAN: I'm going to object to the rule of completeness that if we can't read the whole paragraph --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Who is
objecting?

MS. BRICE: I am.
MS. CAISMAN: That was me before.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. You stipulated to this, correct?

MS. BRICE: We stipulated to our version of a document. Not -- I don't think this -- I don't know if this is the same document.

MS. CAISMAN: We don't mind the admissibility. I just don't think it's proper to be reading portions of the document when you're not reading the full paragraph where that portion is contained. I think that's misleading.

HEARING OFEICER HALLORAN: Do we have a cleaner copy? I mean, can you read yours, Ms. Caisman, or is yours more legible than mine or the witnesses?

MR. MCGINLEY: I can read mine. If it's easier, I'll just read it into the record.

MS. CAISMAN: I think Exhibit 40 is the same and is easier to read.

```
BY MR. MCGINLEY:
```

Q. Exhibit 40-3. It's right in here 40-3.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. Oh, yeah.
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1 BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Sir, directing your attention again to this is the Exhibit $40-3$ in your binder, can you see that?
A. Yes, I can.
Q. Can you read that first paragraph now?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you please read it for us?
A. "The city will at locations noted assume responsibilities pertinent to proposed construction at these locations in accordance with the following."
Q. Okay. And underneath that you see letter $A$, what does that signify next to it?
A. That is a subset of the project at Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. Directing your attention to the last paragraph on the page, could you read that for us, please, and I'd like to ask you a few questions about it.
A. Okay. Paragraph six. "The city will maintain the improvement along Greenwood Avenue in its entirety as indicated on the
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1 attached exhibit. This will include the wearing
2 surface of the grade separation structure over the
3 expressway excluding the structure proper and the
4 railroad structure including the wearing surface
5 and that portion of Sand Street which is
6 reconstructed."
7
8
9 it references an exhibit we'll get to that in just 10 a moment.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Sir. First of all, the improvement along Greenwood Avenue -- and -

What is your understanding of the improvement? What improvements were actually done to Greenwood Avenue as part of this project?

MS. CAISMAN: Object to lack of foundation as to whether he actually has personal knowledge.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. McGinley?
MR. MCGINLEY: He is the Bureau of Maintenance Chief. I mean, he understands what is within the jurisdiction, a portion of what's in the jurisdiction.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I can -I think he can testify to his understanding and
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1 take it for what it's worth, but you may proceed. 2 BY THE WITNESS:
A. Could you repeat it?

BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Sure. What is your understanding of the improvements that were done along Greenwood Avenue?
A. The Greenwood Avenue improvements relative to the construction of the Amstutz Expressway required that Greenwood Avenue be raised, elevated to the point that it could pass over the Amstutz Expressway.
Q. Anything else besides just raising it over the Amstutz itself?
A. Then that obviously would affect other -- other side streets and -- and relative -when you raise Greenwood Avenue, all the side streets would have to be elevated to meet up with Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. What about when they say wearing surface, what is a wearing surface, sir?
A. The wearing surface is the overlay that run -- would ride over the top of the deck. You basically would have a deck and then a wearing
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surface which would be the -- the surface that the vehicles actually drive on.
Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention -- it would be in your volume, sir. It would be 40 -- 40-11, please. It's a colored exhibit and it shows differentiations state funds, county funds, local city funds. What does that mean to you, sir?
A. For a project this large, it -different entities, different forms or levels of government all have a purpose in a project like this and so, therefore, some of the segments were funded exclusively by the state, some were funded exclusively by the county, some were funded exclusively by the city and then there was joint participation.
Q. And those are signified by the different colors that are here on the key, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next page 40-12. Do you have an understanding of what $40-12$ is representing?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Could you explain to us what that represents?
A. 40-12 shows a portion of the project. There was a total project of construction of the Amstutz Expressway. This is a segment of it that identifies Greenwood Avenue and Sheridan Road, the intersection of Greenwood Avenue and Sheridan Road.
Q. Okay. Is this -- and is this the western edge of the project, the middle of the project, the eastern edge of the project? Do you know?
A. This would be the far west of the project.
Q. Okay. Let me direct -- and just again the colors that we see indicated here red and also green, so that would tell you what in terms of the funding for this project?
A. Well, you'd have to go back and it would identify which -- which entities participated in that segment.
Q. Okay. For the -- on 40-12, there is a green segment, what -- do you know what street that corresponds to?
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A. I have to apologize. I can't tell the difference between the colors.
Q. I'm sorry. Mr. Stumpner is color blind with --

MR. MCGINLEY: Can I just make a representation? We can all agree what the colors on this are.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Counsel,
Ms. Caisman?
MS. CAISMAN: I guess I'll object. If he is color blind, that must mean that his understanding of what is colored comes from someone else, which is hearsay.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I think I can take administrative notice that colors are what they are. So I'm not sure it encroaches upon hearsay.

MS. CAISMAN: I'm not disputing the colors. I'm just disputing that he can't really -- what he interprets just based on the colors comes from someone else.

MR. MCGINLEY: He is --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry?
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MR. MCGINLEY: In response to counsel, to simply say, again, Mr. Stumpner is the Chief of the Bureau of Maintenance. Within his duties are the necessity to understand what roads are within the jurisdiction of District 1 , how the allocation and the responsibility falls within District 1. This is completely -- I mean, not withstanding the fact that Mr. Stumpner may be color blind, the fact of the matter is that this is completely under his aegis. This is what he does. This is what he oversees.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, I agree and I think we'll -- you know, again, the weight -- overruled. I think Mr. McGinley can point out what colors and Mr. Stumpner can answer. MR. MCGINLEY: Thank you. BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Mr. Stumpner, in 40-12, can you see the writing that is in the middle of the page on the left-hand side? The -- can you see the writing on the left-hand side of the page?
A. Yes.
Q. What does that say, sir?
A. It says Greenwood Avenue.

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. $312-419-9292$
Q. And so this would be the first and after this, this would be the eastern edge of Greenwood Avenue?
A. No, Greenwood Avenue goes well beyond -- well beyond this page to the east.
Q. Okay. And how would you tell that based on the next two pages, 40-13 and 40-14?
A. There -- there is some stationing identified there, but actually the -- the actual document is a long document that when we made these copies of these pages we couldn't run the whole document through a copy machine. So we had to make segments.
Q. Okay. Can you tell us please -- if you look at 40-13, you'll see some writing at the top of the page, do you see what I'm referring to?
A. I believe 40- -
Q. The writing that is up at the top here.
A. 40-13?
Q. The writing that is on the page, sir, can you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you explain that to us, please?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. That identifies for the bridge structures different maintenance responsibilities --
Q. Okay.
A. -- for those segments.

MR. MCGINLEY: Do I have the Hearing Officer's permission to represent to Mr. Stumpner that the structure that is right here is in red?

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: You do. Over objection. BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. If it's -- the red corresponds on the legend the interpretive key for this exhibit as corresponding to state funds, so what does that tell you?
A. That means state funds were used exclusively for that segment to construct that segment.
Q. And this is the segment that carries Greenwood Avenue over the Amstutz, correct?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. What is directly to the east of -these would be today, these would be the on-ramps and the off-ramps, correct?

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

A. The on-ramps and off-ramps are depicted in this exhibit.
Q. Okay. What would come directly to the east of the on-ramp and off-ramp on the Amstutz between Greenwood Avenue?
A. It would be Greenwood Avenue itself, but also it would be the railroad structure that was referenced earlier in the agreement.
Q. Is that -- is that on 40-13 or is that on the next page 40-14?
A. Well, it shows -- it has the very west edge of the railroad bridge is on Exhibit 40-13.
Q. Okay. So can you point -- could you just lift that out of the book and point, please, so everybody can see.
A. On this Exhibit 40-13, the Amstutz Bridge is the one that is shown in the middle. Right here on the what would be the right-hand side of the exhibit is the beginning of the railroad structure.

MR. MCGINLEY: Can $I$ just ask him to mark that so we have that in the record, please? HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any
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```
objection, Ms. Caisman?
```

MS. CAISMAN: No.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay.
Thank you.
BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. You have some pens up there. Here. Why don't you take that one.
A. Do you want me to just simply

```
circle?
```

Q. Sure, that would be fine.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: NOW, I guess for the record how am I going to get that? Are you going to put it back in the binder?

MR. MCGINLEY: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you want me to take that with me after this hearing?

MR. MCGINLEY: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: You have to make sure I get it.

MR. MCGINLEY: We will do that.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay.
Thank you.
BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. So that's on 40-13, sir. On 40-14, L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292
what do you see depicted there?
A. A segment of Greenwood Avenue at the intersection with Sands Road.
Q. Okay. Can you tell from looking at this what actually -- is this just a road? Is it -- what is actually being depicted here on the -- right where you see the four-way intersection on 40-14?
A. On this document, it shows the area or the limits of those pieces of road that were required to be reconstructed as part of the Amstutz Expressway Project.
Q. And do you have an understanding of what was actually reconstructed right here at this four-way intersection? What is this part of?
A. Well, it would have been a reconstruction of the whole -- the whole roadway. So the road would have been removed and reconstructed.
Q. Okay. Do you know what -- what physically happens to be at this location? Is it just a roadway? Is it more than a roadway?
A. Well, the roadway and the right of way, of course.
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Q. And anything else?
A. I am aware that there was some construction easements relative to -- to doing this project.
Q. And did those -- what were those construction easements used for?
A. Because the roadway was being raised
in grade. They had to build temporary access
to -- to construct that segment, to maintain
traffic through the construction period.
Q. Is it just a flat road that is there today or is there more than a flat road?
A. Well, no, there is an embankment that leads up to the overpasses that lead over the railroad structure as well as the bridge over the Amstutz.
Q. Do you know who is responsible for maintaining that embankment that goes and carries Greenwood Avenue over the railroad?
A. Yes.
Q. My question is do you know who actually -- who is responsible for maintaining the embankment over the railroad?
A. The City of Waukegan.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Per the entire agreement that -- the 1966 agreement with -- between the -- well, the Department of Public Works and Buildings at the time and the City of Waukegan.
Q. In the period of time that you've been with the Bureau of Maintenance, are you aware of any point in time when the bureau has been responsible for the maintenance of the embankment?
A. No.
Q. And how far back does that go, sir? How long have you worked for the Bureau of Maintenance itself?
A. Since November of 1985.
Q. So for the past 30 years in your capacity working in the Bureau of Maintenance, you're not aware of any actual work or maintenance work that has been done on the embankment?
A. No, I'm not aware of any work.
Q. What about Sand Street that's south of Greenwood Avenue, who is responsible for the maintenance of that?
A. The City of Waukegan.
Q. How long -- to the best of your
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1
knowledge, how long has that been the case?
A. As long as I've ever been aware of Sand Street.
Q. If -- if there was a problem with the embankment, whose responsibility would it be to address a repair of the embankment?
A. It would be the City of Waukegan.
Q. What if you're talking about the roadway or the wearing surface, whose responsibility would that be?
A. The City of Waukegan.
Q. And as far as Sand Street, who's responsible for the maintenance of that?
A. The City of Waukegan.
Q. Does IDOT have -- are you familiar with the term state highway?
A. Yes.
Q. Greenwood Avenue east of Sand Street, is that considered to be state highway?
A. No.
Q. How do you know that?
A. From the Bureau of Maintenance, we would have all the records of these agreements and of all -- anything that would be considered a
state highway. We do not have an agreement or anything that identifies Greenwood Avenue east of Sand in our records or Sand Street at all in our records.
Q. Okay. And so nothing from Greenwood east of Sand Street and Sand Street south of Greenwood same situation?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. No maintenance records at all?
A. No maintenance records at all.
Q. Over the course of the past month or two months, have you -- since you've become aware of this lawsuit, have you had any opportunity to review the records or have people working for you review the records concerning maintenance responsibilities in the vicinity of Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And what -- what was the result of -- I mean, who did you ask to do that work for you?
A. My support section had Steve
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 Hooghkirk, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}$. I had asked him to
2 research the subject and -- relative to sand
3 Street as well as Greenwood and we found no
4 records.
5
6
7
8
9
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MR. MCGINLEY: And for the record, Mr. Halloran, I'll state with your permission that the improvement that is depicted on $40-14$ is a mixture of blue and green.

BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. So, Mr. Stumpner, what would that suggest to you?
A. There were mixed funds between the two entities.
Q. And the fact that there is no red markings relative to that improvement would tell you what?
A. That it was not exclusively state funds.
Q. The -- the issue about the embankment, you said that the City of Waukegan has maintenance responsibility for the embankment, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Does the City of Waukegan -- it was constructed as part of the Amstutz Project by IDOT, correct?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. If the City of Waukegan wanted to
tear that down or modify that in some fashion, do they have the right to be able to do that?
A. IDOT would object to that, but technically they would have the right to do that.
Q. Okay. And same question for Commonwealth Edison, would Commonwealth Edison have the right because a portion of the embankment sits on Commonwealth Edison property, what about Commonwealth Edison?
A. Commonwealth Edison would not have the right to do that.
Q. But the City of Waukegan would, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That's fine. Thank you, sir. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Are you

```
finished with direct, Mr. McGinley?
```

MR. MCGINLEY: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Caisman, take your time.

CROSSSEXAMINATION BY MS. CAISMAN
Q. Good morning, Mr. Stumpner.
A. Good morning.
Q. You don't know for how long the City of Waukegan has had maintenance responsibility over Greenwood Avenue north of parcel 0393, do you?
A. No, I do not know.
Q. You didn't review any historical maintenance maps to determine that?
A. No.
Q. And you don't even know whether IDOT maintains historical maintenance maps?
A. We do not maintain historical maintenance maps.
Q. IDOT's maintenance maps only keep records related to actual roadways themselves, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And not abutting parcels?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. So, theoretically, you could look up Greenwood Avenue on an IDOT maintenance map, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you could look up Sand Street on an IDOT maintenance map?
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|  | Page 40 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I could look for sand Street. |
| 2 | Q. Sure. But you didn't do that in |
| 3 | this case? |
| 4 | A. We did look for Sand Street. I |
| 5 | apologize. We didn't look on a map for Sand |
| 6 | Street. |
| 7 | Q. So you couldn't look on an IDOT |
| 8 | maintenance map for parcels abutting an actual |
| 9 | roadway, right? |
| 10 | A. No. |
| 11 | Q. Your belief that the City of |
| 12 | Waukegan has responsibility for maintaining |
| 13 | Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street comes from this |
| 14 | resolution that is Exhibit 40 that you were |
| 15 | looking at with Mr. McGinley? |
| 16 | A. Yes, that is correct. |
| 17 | Q. Do you know the effect of a |
| 18 | resolution from a city or -- from a city or |
| 19 | municipality without an ordinance? |
| 20 | A. No, I do not. |
| 21 | Q. Do you know whether the resolution |
| 22 | is effective without an ordinance from the city or |
| 23 | municipality? |
| 24 | A. No, I do not. |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |

Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 40, you were not involved in drafting this resolution, were you?
A. No.
Q. And you weren't involved in any negotiations related to this resolution?
A. No, I was not.
Q. This resolution if you could turn to 40-2 in the top right-hand corner, do you see where it is stamped division of highways April 13th, 1966?
A. Yes.
Q. The Amstutz Project didn't start until the 1970s, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's approximately correct.
Q. So any improvements made along Greenwood Avenue during the Amstutz Project didn't exist at the time this document was written or recorded in 1966?
A. Could you -- I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question?
Q. Sure. Any improvements that were made along Greenwood Avenue as part of the Amstutz Project didn't actually exist when this document
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```
was written or recorded?
```

A. That is correct.
Q. And the plans for the Amstutz
Project hadn't even been completed by April 13th,
1966, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. If you could turn to -- I think we're at 40-3. Specifically, I'm looking at 1 A-3 in the middle of the page and I guess I'll read it into the record for you and you can tell me if I read it correctly. "The city will reimburse the state for one hundred percent of the cost of all construction necessary along Greenwood Avenue between the stations 22 plus 50 and 31 plus 00 and for 40 percent of the cost of all construction along Greenwood Avenue east of station 13 plus 20 including the railway grade separation structure, intersection work at Sand Street and any reimbursable utility work necessary as indicated on the attached exhibit. Cost to the city estimated to be $\$ 241,000, "$ did I read that correctly?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. Now, the portion of Greenwood Avenue
that is east of station 13 plus 20 is the area of Greenwood Avenue that's immediately abutting into the east of the intersection of Sand Street and Greenwood Avenue, isn't that right?
A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question again or have that question repeated?
Q. Sure. If you want to go to Exhibit 40-13 and do you see where it says 13 plus 20?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. So is that referring to station 13
plus 20?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And if you go east on this document along Greenwood Avenue, you then encounter on page 40-14 Sand Street and Greenwood Avenue?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And so for that portion of Greenwood Avenue, the city was only reimbursing the state for 40 percent of the cost of construction, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. So the state was not being reimbursed for a majority of the construction of that portion of Greenwood Avenue?
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A. That is correct.
Q. If you could turn to -- if you could turn to 40-11. And this is the key that we were discussing earlier. You are color blind, Mr. Stumpner, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you can't actually read this document yourself?
A. I can read the words.
Q. Now, Mr. McGinley had brought out on Exhibit 40-14, the areas for Sand Street and Greenwood Avenue are depicted in varying blue and green colors.

So based on this key, my
understanding is that would indicate that it is -the county and local city funds are being contributed to the cost for those roadways, is that right?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. But that's not what it says in Section 1 on page 40-3, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Those conflict?
A. No, they don't conflict. They just

1 dictate -- this is an agreement with the City of
2 Waukegan. So it would dictate the city's
3 involvement.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10

18 BY MS. CAISMAN: isn't that right? on sort --

Mr. McGinley?
BY THE WITNESS: Greenwood Avenue?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, if you look on 40-14. The coloring on Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street, that's just coloring on the actual roadway itself,

MR. MCGINLEY: Can I just make one point? This is flipped around. This is actually

MS. BRICE: We can't flip it back.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: When you
say this, you're pointing to the screen,
A. If you're --

HEARING OFEICER HALLORAN: Wait.
Q. On 40-14, can you see where it says
A. Yes, I can.
Q. Okay. And can you see where it says

Sand? I think it may be a little cutoff.

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Q. Okay. So does that help you orient that Greenwood is running east/west?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And Sand is running north/south?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. So the portion of Greenwood that we're looking at here is the portion of Greenwood that is east of Sand Street?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Okay. And where there is coloring on this document that's over the actual roadways of Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. The land abutting those roadways isn't colored at all?
A. No, it is not.
Q. So if you could then turn back to Exhibit 40-3. I just want to -- paragraph six does refer to an attached exhibit, isn't that right?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And the page we just looked at was an exhibit -- one of the exhibits that was
attached?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. I believe you testified on direct that your opinion is that the city -- your belief is that the City of Waukegan has maintenance responsibility over parcel 0393?

MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. Misstates
the witness's testimony.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Overruled. He can answer if he is able. BY THE WITNESS:
A. Under direct, I didn't make any reference to parcel -- to the parcel. We were talking about the highway. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. But I believe you referenced the embankment abutting the southern edge of Greenwood Avenue?
A. Yes.
Q. If we could turn to Exhibit 15.

MS. CAISMAN: If I may approach and help him find it.

BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Mr. Stumpner, on Exhibit 15, do you
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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see where Greenwood Avenue is located running
east/west and Sand or Pershing is running
north/south?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And do you see where just to the
south of Greenwood Avenue there is a rectangle
with 0393 in the middle?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Is the embankment that we were
talking about -- is it your understanding that the
embankment we were talking about abutting
Greenwood Avenue is located on that parcel?
A. Yes.
Q. So you testified on direct, and
please clarify if I'm wrong, that you believe that
the City of Waukegan has maintenance
responsibility over the parcel or the embankment
that is on parcel 0393?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Didn't you testify in your
deposition, though, that maintenance
responsibility would be the property owners?
A. I do not recall stating that.
Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 4G,

1 which is another one of those binders in front of 2 you.

3

4

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: There it
is.

THE WITNESS: 4G.
BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. I believe the correct page would be 4G-113. I'll represent that this $4 G$ is the transcript from your deposition.
A. Okay. I found it.
Q. Sorry. I'm actually going to direct you to 4G-110. My apologies.

You were deposed in this case,
Mr. Stumpner?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And on that day you took an oath, is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And you swore to tell the truth?
A. Yes, that's true.
Q. And it's the same oath you took today?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. If you could look at -- the top of
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1 the page is 109, but we're on 4G-110 line six.
2 Did I ask the following question and did you give
3 the following answer?
Question: Does anyone currently
have maintenance responsibility over parcel 0393,
the parcel itself?
Answer: As far as maintenance
responsibility, it would be the property owner.
A. That is what $I$ said.
Q. And ComEd is the fee simple property
owner of parcel 0393, right?
MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. Assumes
facts not in evidence.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. You have to speak --
MR. MCGINLEY: Objection -- I'm
sorry. Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.
Lacks foundation.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
Caisman?
MS. CAISMAN: I guess I can ask him
if he knows. I don't know that there is a dispute
that Comed is really the fee owner of the
property.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't think there is, but you can rephrase or re-ask. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Mr. Stumpner, do you know who the property owner of parcel 0393 is?
A. No, I do not know.
Q. So you don't know if it's the City of Waukegan?
A. No, I do not know.
Q. You know that there is a right of way over parcel 0393?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're aware that it is IDOT that has a right of way over parcel 0393?
A. I don't know that it is IDOT.
Q. You're aware that IDOT needed to acquire an easement over parcel 0393 so it could build the embankment that is on 0393 as part of the Amstutz Project, is that correct?
A. That would not be correct.
Q. They needed to acquire an easement over that parcel so they could build a slope to get over -- to heighten the overpass to get over the rail bridge and connect to the Amstutz, didn't
they?
A. Yes, there was an easement for that purpose.
Q. Okay. So there was an easement over parcel 0393 for that purpose as part of the Amstutz Project?
A. The easement was not for that purpose.
Q. Well, IDOT needed to obtain access to that parcel to do that work as part of the Amstutz --
A. Yes, that is --
Q. -- didn't they?
A. -- correct.
Q. And IDOT would have gotten that easement by acquiring an easement or right of way over that parcel?
A. They did acquire the right of way.
Q. Over parcel 0393?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And so on parcel 0393 because Greenwood Avenue was at the same grade as the bridge, IDOT had to raise the road over Greenwood Avenue, isn't that right?
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A. They had to raise Greenwood Avenue itself, yes.
Q. So they needed an easement or right of way to go on to parcel 0393 to raise that road?
A. Yes.
Q. That road and the grade of the road along Greenwood Avenue still exists today?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. So the embankment that helps prop up the grade of that road on Greenwood Avenue still exists today?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And IDOT was able to do its work on parcel 0393 because it had received a grant for a public highway?
A. That is correct.
Q. So a grant for public highway and the rights given under that grant is different than jurisdiction, would you agree?
A. No, I would disagree with that.
Q. Well, you don't think IDOT ever had jurisdiction over Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street, right?
A. That's correct.
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Q. But they had an easement over parcel 0393 ?
A. They did not have an easement.
Q. They had a right of way --
A. Yes.
Q. -- over 0393?
A. Yes.
Q. So having a right of way over a particular piece of property is different than having jurisdiction over the roadways abutting that right of way?
A. That is correct.
Q. You testified that IDOT would object if the City of Waukegan would tear down that embankment or wanted to tear down that embankment on parcel 0393, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And, in fact, the City of Waukegan would need IDOT's approval to do that?

MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. Misstates the witness's testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he is able. Overruled.
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```
BY THE WITNESS:
```

A. In my opinion, the -- the City of Waukegan would need IDOT approval. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. If you could turn to what has been marked as Exhibit 89.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Here it is.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Exhibit 89 is a Notice of Service of Rule 206(a)(1) Notice of Deposition that was admitted yesterday.

```
                                You were deposed as a corporate
representative of IDOT on certain topics, isn't
that correct?
    A. Yes, that is correct.
    Q. If you could turn to 89-11, I
believe. It should be the last page of that
exhibit. And you were designated as IDOT's
representative with respect to topic seven, isn't
that right?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And were you prepared to testify
```
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```
    regarding that topic?
```

    A. Yes.
    Q. And the topic is generally
    jurisdictional responsibility and/or maintenance
responsibility over Greenwood Avenue, Sand Street
parcel 0393 and improvements on parcel 0393, isn't
that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. But you don't know for how long the
City of Waukegan in your mind has had
jurisdictional responsibility over Greenwood
Avenue north of parcel 0393?
A. That is correct.
Q. And, in fact, you didn't think
anyone had jurisdictional responsibility over
parcel 0393?
A. Yes, that would be correct.
Q. So in your -- it was your belief
that no entity had jurisdiction over improvements
made on parcel 0393?
MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. I think
that misstates the witness's testimony.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can
answer if he is able.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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BY THE WITNESS:
A. For the documents that $I$ had in possession in the Bureau of Maintenance, we did not have the parcel 0393 identified and as such at the time I identified that, I did not know who had jurisdiction on those.

BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. And the Bureau of Maintenance doesn't maintain grant documents giving, for example?
A. No, we do not.
Q. You also didn't know for how long in your belief the City of Waukegan had maintenance responsibility over Greenwood Avenue north of parcel 0393?
A. That is correct.
Q. If you can turn to topic eight. You were designated as IDOT's corporate representative for a deposition with respect to topic eight in Exhibit 89 as well, weren't you?
A. I believe, yes.
Q. And that topic asks about certain databases such as the Illinois Roadway Information System, the Illinois State Geological Survey Extra
L.A. Court Reporters, L.I.C.
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Net and Geographic Information Systems Resources,
    is that correct?
    A. That is correct.
    Q. And were you prepared to testify on
that topic?
    A. No.
    Q. Because you didn't know what kind of
records were maintained in the Illinois Roadway
Information System, is that right?
    A. Yes, that is correct.
    Q. And you didn't know what type of
records were maintained in the ISGS Extra Net?
    A. That is correct.
    Q. You didn't know what records were
maintained in the GIS resources?
    A. That is correct.
    Q. So you didn't know how any of those
databases treated parcel 0393?
    A. NO.
    Q. If you can turn to Exhibit 3F, do
you recognize these in 3F? These are respondent's
    responses to plaintiff's third set of
    interrogatories.
    A. Yes.
```

                L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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    Q. If you can, quickly we'll go through -- excuse me. Turn to Exhibit $3 H$ and then we'll go back to 3 F . 3 H consists of verifications to the responses to the third set of interrogatories in 3 F . If you turn to $3 \mathrm{H}-3$--
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that your signature on the verification page?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were verifying that IDOT's Responses to Interrogatories number one through four were true, accurate and complete, weren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. If you turn back to $3 F$ and if you could turn to $3 \mathrm{~F}-3$.

Now, at the time you verified the response to this interrogatory number one on the bottom of $3 F-3$, you had actually never seen this -- the language of this interrogatory before, had you?

MR. MCGINLEY: Objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Why, Mr. McGinley, please?
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MR. MCGINLEY: It's argumentative.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can rephrase that, please. Sustained. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. At the time you signed the interrogatory verifications for these responses, had you actually read interrogatory number one on the bottom of $3 F-3$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. You were deposed in this case, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did I ask the following question and did you give the following answer? Question: But you have never seen the question that it was responding to that number one?

Answer: I don't necessarily
recall seeing that question, but I do recall seeing that response.

MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. Lacks
foundation. If she wants to ask the witness a question about his deposition testimony, perhaps she can direct him to --
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree. Sustained.

MS. CAISMAN: Sure, I was trying to flip back.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I know. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. If you can turn to Exhibit -- let's go back to Exhibit 4G. We'll be looking at 4G-15.

I'll go a little further back
than I just read. Start on line 19 and let me know if you recall I asked the following questions and you gave the following answers.

Question: Okay. So, for example, if we turn to page three towards the bottom of the page, there is a bold heading saying Responses to Interrogatories and then there is a number one.

Answer: Yes.
Question: Have you seen that number one before, the language following that number one? Describe what, if any, interest or rights...
A. I'm sorry. Which page are you on?
Q. $\quad 4 \mathrm{G}-15$.

> A. Okay.
Q. Do you need me to read that again? HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Please. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Line 19.

Question: Okay. So, for example, if we turn to page three towards the bottom of the page, there is a bold heading saying Responses to Interrogatories and then there is a number one.

Answer: Yes.
Question: Have you seen that number one before, the language following that number one, quote, describe what, if any, interest or rights..."

Answer: Yes, I don't -- that would be --

Question: I'm just asking whether or not you have seen that short paragraph before.

Answer: I don't recall seeing that. I don't recall seeing that.

Did I ask those questions and
did you give those answers?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. Yes.
Q. Now, if you could turn back to 3F-4, which contains IDOT's response to interrogatory number one. In the second paragraph, it states that the grant for public highway in question was only used in conjunction with the construction of an overpass across railroad tracks as part of the construction of the Amstutz Expressway, do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And you verified that was a true response?
A. Yes.
Q. But you're aware that the embankment that IDOT built on parcel 0393 as part of the Amstutz Project still exists today?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're aware, of course, that the grant for public highway was rerecorded in 1984 after the Amstutz Project was concluded?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of what investigative work IDOT did on parcel 0393 in 2011 and 2012?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. No.
Q. So you don't know if IDOT performed field surveys on 0393 after construction on the Amstutz was completed?
A. No.
Q. You don't know if IDOT took soil borings on parcel 0393 after construction on the Amstutz was completed?
A. No.
Q. You don't know whether IDOT employees accessed parcel 0393 to do inspections after the Amstutz Project was completed?
A. No.

MR. MCGINLEY: Mr. Halloran, just
for the record I'm going to object because Mr. Stumpner had not been designated for that purpose. He was designated to talk about maintenance for the 206(a)(1) deposition.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.

MS. CAISMAN: He verified these responses under oath that they were true, accurate and complete. So IDOT put him in that position. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know,

1 I'd have to agree with Ms. Caisman. I think this
2 line of questioning is appropriate. So, thank
3 you.
4 BY MS. CAISMAN:
5 Q. Looking at interrogatory number two,
$6 \quad 3 F-4$. When you signed the verification for IDOT's
7 response to this interrogatory, you had also not
8 seen the language of that actual interrogatory
9 before, had you?

10
11
A. I had seen -- I had seen the language. When I signed this document, I had seen the language.
Q. If you could turn back to Exhibit 4G, your deposition. Specifically, 4G-17. Again, you were under oath when you were deposed in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And did I ask the following question and did you give the following answer starting at line one?

Question: Okay. What about number two on page four, have you seen that question before?

Answer: Once again, $I$ don't
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```
recall seeing that question.
```

    A. Yes, that is correct.
    Q. To determine what steps IDOT took to
    determine whether it had an interest in parcel
0393 you only looked to the 1966 resolution that
was Exhibit 40 that we discussed and two grant
documents?
A. That is correct.
Q. You didn't talk to anyone else at
IDOT about what steps they had taken to determine
whether IDOT had an interest in parcel 0393?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You didn't talk to Steven Gobelman?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You didn't talk to Keith Stoddard?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You didn't talk to Steven Warren?
A. No, I did not.
Q. So you don't know what they did to
determine if IDOT had an interest in parcel 0393?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. And you verified that this response
was complete, correct --
A. Yes.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Q. -- and accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't know -- when you verified this response, you didn't know whether anyone at IDOT had also consulted Sidwell Maps or Tax Maps?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You don't know whether anyone had reviewed a project report for a bridge conditioning project that occurred around 2010 to 2012?
A. No, I did not.
Q. You didn't know that IDOT had ordered a title commitment with resect to parcel 0393?
A. No, I did not.
Q. If you could turn -- quickly turn back to Exhibit 40. This resolution does not use the word jurisdiction, does it?
A. I do not recall seeing that.
Q. If you could turn to 40-3 and looking at Section 1A for Greenwood Avenue, nowhere in that section is the word jurisdiction mentioned, is it?
A. One -- Section I capital A I do not
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```
see the word jurisdiction.
```

Q. Okay. And specifically in IA-6, there is no mention of jurisdiction?
A. That is correct.
Q. Do you know whether this concept of jurisdiction used by IDOT even existed in 1966?
A. I do not know.
Q. Since the Bureau of Maintenance doesn't keep copies of the grant documents, you don't know how those grant documents would impact jurisdiction, do you?
A. No, I do not.
Q. And you don't have experience reviewing grant documents in your course of employment at IDOT, do you?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You don't have experience interpreting them at all?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You don't have any legal background?
A. That is correct.
Q. So you don't know what rights and responsibilities come when a grant document is given to IDOT?
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May 25, 2016
A. That is correct.
Q. Other than the 1966 resolution, you haven't seen any other documents identifying who has responsibility over -- maintenance responsibility over Greenwood Avenue and Sand Street, have you?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you haven't seen -- other than what you claim is the 1966 resolution, you haven't seen any documents that state that the City of Waukegan has jurisdiction over parcel 0393, have you?
A. That is correct.
Q. The embankment that is being used to, I guess, hold up the grade of Greenwood Avenue that is being used for a highway purpose, isn't that right?
A. That is correct. MS. CAISMAN: No further questions. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms. Caisman. Mr. McGinley, redirect, please. MR. MCGINLEY: Yes, please.
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REDIRECT
EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGINLEY
Q. Mr. Stumpner, counsel just asked you regarding the resolution that she had you looking at whether or not the term jurisdiction was actually used in the resolution document and you said no, it is not.

However, if you go back and look at the section of $40-3(1)(a)(6)$, is there a way from looking at that that you might be able to -to make an educated understanding about who actually has jurisdiction over Greenwood Avenue particularly with respect to the portion of Greenwood Avenue that lies east of the off-ramp for the Amstutz Highway?

MS. CAISMAN: Objection. Lack of
foundation. Lack of personal knowledge. Speculation.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may answer. Overruled. BY THE WITNESS:
A. The verbiage that the city will maintain and then the various aspects being identified would lead me to believe that the city
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1

```
would have jurisdiction.
BY MR. MCGINLEY:
```

Q. Do you recall counsel asking you a few moments ago about whether or not you had documents regarding jurisdiction over Greenwood Avenue east of the off-ramp for the Amstutz Expressway, do you recall her asking you about that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you testified earlier as I understand it that the Bureau of Maintenance maintains records over -- maintains records for the roadways that it actually has jurisdiction for, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So what would you infer from the absence of documents in your files?

MS. CAISMAN: Objection.
Speculation. Lack of foundation. He is guessing about an absence of a document.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: But that's his job. I mean, he's been there since, what, 1985? I think you can infer. Overruled.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. Could you repeat the question, please? BY MR. MCGINLEY:
Q. Sure. So you stated at the -- early on that the Bureau of Maintenance maintains records for all of the roadways that are under District 1's jurisdiction, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So what would you infer from the absence of records pertaining to a given piece of roadway?
A. The absence of a record would indicate that IDOT doesn't have jurisdiction over that portion of roadway.
Q. And you testified earlier that the reason why you maintain all of these file cabinets full of paper is because of what reason?
A. The reason why IDOT maintains all these records is to ensure the safety of the motoring public. Should a segment of road not be maintained, the motoring public would see that and so we maintain these records so that we know all the aspects that we're responsible for.
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MR. MCGINLEY: No further --
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Ms. Caisman, I presume recross?

RECROSS
EXAMINATION BY MS. CAISMAN
Q. Mr. Stumpner, do you know if
maintenance was treated by IDOT the same way it's treated today in -- IDOT was treated -- sorry. By -- maintenance was treated by IDOT in 1966 the same way it's treated today?
A. I -- I believe it to be the case.
Q. But you don't know how jurisdiction was treated, if at all, in 1966?
A. Once again, I believe that to be consistent, the way we're treating jurisdiction now.
Q. You testified on direct that you didn't know when IDOT first started using this concept of jurisdiction?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you didn't know how it was used in 1966?
A. Once again, $I$ don't know as a fact, but I did work for people that were employed by
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```
IDOT in 1966.
```

Q. You haven't investigated how they
treated jurisdiction in 1966?
A. No.
Q. So you don't know how that would relate to maintenance in 1966?
A. Yes, that would be correct.

MS. CAISMAN: No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you Mr. McGinley?

MR. MCGINLEY: I want to ask one question.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MCGINLEY
Q. IDOT has maintained records from apparently the 1910 s coming forward to the present day and continues to maintain records, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And the reason why it maintains those records from the 1911 s to the present day is what, for what purpose?
A. To maintain order and know exactly what we're responsible for and -- to know what exactly IDOT is responsible for and to ensure the
safety of the motoring public.

MR. MCGINLEY: That's it. Thank you.

F U R THER
EXAMINATION BY MS. CAISMAN
Q. Mr. Stumpner, IDOT doesn't maintain historical maintenance maps?
A. That is correct.
Q. So you can't know for certain how -whether IDOT had maintenance responsibility as depicted in any historical maintenance maps?
A. We do not have maintenance records -- maintenance -- historic maintenance maps.
Q. That wasn't my question.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. You just testified with Mr. McGinley that the maintenance records are maintained so that IDOT can know for sure what its responsibilities are and so because you don't maintain historical maintenance maps, my question was whether or not you could know for sure whether IDOT had maintenance responsibilities historically?

MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. It mischaracterizes the witness's testimony, it's compound and ambiguous.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree it's compound. If you can rephrase. BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Because IDOT does not maintain historical maintenance maps, IDOT cannot tell for sure whether it had historical maintenance responsibility, isn't that right?
A. From the maintenance maps, we would not be able to tell, but we do have files on every road that the State of Illinois ever was responsible for the maintenance of.
Q. And I'm just asking about the historical maintenance maps.

MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. Asked and answered at this point.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't think it has. If you can answer. BY THE WITNESS:
A. We do not have maintenance -historic maintenance maps.
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1 BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. So you could not rely on those historic maintenance maps to tell you for sure whether or not IDOT had maintenance responsibility over a particular area?

MR. MCGINLEY: Objection.
Objection. It is mischaracterizing his testimony. I mean, he has testified that they don't maintain any historic maintenance maps. He has testified they have records. I mean, what more is there to be left to be said about this?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.

```
Caisman?
```

MS. CAISMAN: I'm not making any kind of summary as to his testimony. I'm trying to elicit a yes or no answer if as to whether or not that's true.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I'll let it in. If you can answer -- if you remember the question. I think we should wrap this up soon.

MS. CAISMAN: I'm trying. I'm trying.

THE WITNESS: If you can repeat the
question.
MS. CAISMAN: Mr. Court Reporter, can you please read it back.
(Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)

BY THE WITNESS:
A. Correct.

BY MS. CAISMAN:
Q. Thank you.

MS. CAISMAN: No further questions.
MR. MCGINLEY: We have nothing
further for this witness.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any
questions from the Board?
Thank you, Mr. Stumpner. Let's
take a 15-minute coffee break, please, or whatever.
(Whereupon, a break was taken
after which the following proceedings were had.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back on the record after a short break. We just finished up with IDOT's witness Mr. Stumpner.

Ms. O'Laughlin, we interrupted

1

Mr. Gobelman's direct. Are we going to continue with Mr. Gobelman?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes, please.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Thank you. Mr. Gobelman, you may stand up. Mr. Brickey, will you please swear him in and I know he was sworn in yesterday, but $I$ guess it never hurts.

WHEREUPON:
STEVEN GOBELMAN
called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

D I R E C T EXAMINATION BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. Mr. Gobelman, when you started working on this matter, who did you work for?
A. When I first started working on this, I was employed by the Illinois Department of Transportation.
Q. And when did you stop working for the Illinois Department of Transportation?
A. The last working day of July 2015.
Q. And prior to July of 2015, you were employed by IDOT, is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you currently work for whom?
A. Andrews Engineering, Incorporated.
Q. And are you being compensated for your work in connection with this matter at this time?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is your compensation?
A. I am paid $\$ 157$ an hour.
Q. And are you a professional engineer?
A. Yes, I'm licensed in the State of Illinois.
Q. Do you have any other licenses?
A. I am also a licensed professional

```
geologist.
```

Q. If you can turn to Exhibit 59.
A. I am there.
Q. Do you recognize this document? Wait. Excuse me. I'm sorry. Strike that. Nevermind. Go to Exhibit 59.
A. Yup.
Q. Turn to 59-2.

1
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with this document?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is it?
A. It's a request from US EPA
requesting information from IDOT regarding the Johns Manville Superfund site in Waukegan.
Q. Did you have any connection to this 104(e) request?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your connection?
A. At the time, I was employed with the Illinois Department of Transportation and as my role as sort of an environmental expert for the department regarding soil and groundwater issues I was asked by Randy Schick who was the assistant chief counsel at the time to -- obtain some information from me.
Q. And what did you do to obtain information for him?
A. I tried to track down whatever information he asked me to get.
Q. And so what did you do?
A. Some of the information he was

1 looking for was historical aerial photographs.
2 Any other documents that $I$ could find in regards
3 to this type of project.
4 construction project during a telephone call with J. Randle Schick, assistant chief counsel, in October 2000 recalled dealing with asbestos pipe during the project and burying some of it. As the department does not have any information about where ACM was located at the start of the project and where it is alleged to have been disposed, he was unable to ask Mr. Mapes to provide more information. The department has no other information responsive to this question."
Q. And what does this response indicate
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1 about asbestos pipe?

```
about asbestos pipe?
```

A. In my opinion, this indicates that IDOT as part of this construction project encountered asbestos concrete pipe and removed some of it and buried it somewhere on the project.
Q. Would this be limited to transite pipe?
A. When it is talking about specifically saying asbestos pipe, to me, it is referring to what would be considered at the time asbestos-containing pipe which I think everybody has been locally using it as transite pipe.
Q. Could this also include drainage pipe?
A. At the time of 1970 asbestos-containing cement pipe was allowed as a specification for piping.
Q. Are there other pipes that would have been present at this project besides transite pipe, for instance, storm sewers?
A. Yeah, there would have been other types of pipes potentially.

MS. BRICE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can

```
answer if he is able.
```

BY THE WITNESS:
A. In construction back then, they would have -- the department would have specified that there would have been a certain type of pipe requirement that would have to go in to whether it was drainage, storm sewer, water line or whatever they're building or need to have and the spec book allows what types of pipes meet those types of qualifications. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. But I'm asking you about paragraph ten. In reference to asbestos pipe, could the reference to asbestos pipe could it have been referred to other pipes such as storm sewers or cement underdrains, for instance?

MS. BRICE: Objection. Calls for speculation.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: MS. O'Laughlin? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I think

Mr. Gobelman is more than qualified to comment on what type of pipes would be in a project like
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```
this.
```

```
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And why is that?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: He -- as we brought out yesterday in his testimony, he has reviewed thousands of construction plans, reviewed -- he actually worked on this response. I can ask him why he might be familiar if you want more information.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. I know how \(I\) was going to rule. I just
``` wanted to hear it on the record. Overruled.

MS. BRICE: Can I respond, please?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry.
MS. BRICE: I can't respond?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can respond.

MS. BRICE: Sorry. I thought I was going to respond. He has testified that he has absolutely no -- he has never seen a construction plan from the 1970s, he wasn't working for IDOT during the 1970s. In his deposition, he said he had no -- nothing to do with response number ten.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I
thought -- didn't you testify yesterday that you did look at some construction plans from the late '70s, possibly late '70s, early ' 80 s?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. BRICE: '80s. He said '80s.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think he said late '70s, too, because I took note of that. Overruled. You may answer.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. Well, the spec book at the time that this project allows for the use of asbestos-containing material in construction projects. So in part of doing work whether it's with the state or local or a county doing work that refers to the spec book, they -- they -- the contractor can use whatever pipe that he can use in building storm sewers, drainage pipes, anything else. So there would be no way of knowing when we're building a project, this particular project, what types of pipes we would be encountering, you know, if we hit pipe.

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And the reference to project, is
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 that -- could that have meant the entire Amstutz Bridge Project that was contained within that as-built construction plans we were looking at yesterday?
A. Yes, I believe that's exactly what this is referring to. He -- and asked the question did one touch asbestos-containing pipe. The term project would -- in Mr. Mapes' mind would mean the entire project.

MS. BRICE: Objection. Calls for speculation as to what someone else's mind -- what his state of mind was. He is no longer alive.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
```

O'Laughlin, can you rephrase?

```

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can I respond a
```

    little bit?
    ```

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure.
MS. O!LAUGHLIN: Ms. Brice raises an interesting point. We're here trying to superimpose what was meant by this. We've already objected to the prejudicial nature of this document and of these statements. Johns Manville has been able to talk about what they believe it means and, consequently, I believe IDOT should be
\[
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1 able to talk about what it means especially by
2 someone who actually worked on this.

MS. BRICE: I don't dispute that they can talk about what they think it means. I don't think he can say what he thought Mr. Mapes thought at that time.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, I agree with that question and I keep hearing objection to speculation. Come on. I mean, this is 46 years ago. Nobody was around then. So it is kind of a forensic thing, but I agree with Ms. Brice as far as Mr. Mapes' state of mind.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. Very good. I'll rephrase the question.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. What do you think it means,
```

Mr. Gobelman?

```
A. What \(I\) think it means is that asbestos-containing material was buried somewhere throughout the entire project.
Q. And have you ever responded to a 104(e) request -- Strike that. What has been your involvement
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
\]

1 with IDOT in responding to any \(104(e)\) response 2 from the US EPA?

3
4
A. I assisted on a couple other \(104(e)\) requests with the department in sort of the same capacity, as sort of trying to find information, historical information, regarding what we have done or how the project was done associated with that material.
Q. And do you recall how many \(104(\mathrm{e})\) responses you had worked on for IDOT?
A. Off the top of my head, I know I could name two.
Q. And what are those two?
A. One of them is our involvement with the Sauget No. 1 Superfund site in Sauget, Illinois and the other one is a part of the radioactive sites of Ottawa.
Q. And do you know what the consequence of those \(104(e)\) responses -- did US EPA name, include IDOT in a -- as a PRP?
A. Yes, IDOT has been named as a PRP in both of those.
Q. I just want to ask a couple of clarifying questions from yesterday.
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Who was the contractor for this
Amstutz Bridge Project?
A. I believe their name was Bolander.
Q. Was -- okay. Do you want to look at

```
```

    a document?
    ```
    a document?
A. It would be on the top of the bid
documents I think because it is their bid document
they submitted.
    Q. Okay. If you can turn to Exhibit
20.
    A. Okay.
    Q. And who is the contractor?
    A. The contractor is Eric Bolander.
            Q. Can you spell that?
            A. B-O -- Eric, E-R-I-C,
B-O-L-A-N-D-E-R, Construction Company.
    Q. And I have some questions about how
IDOT awards a contract to a contractor.
                                    Is there a prequalification
process?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And what is that?
    A. The prequalification basically is a
    qualification to make sure that you're, in
```
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essence, qualified and can meet the financial
    responsibilities of doing the work and that you're
    capable of doing whatever portion you're being
    qualified for.
    Q. And was -- would Bolander have been
    qualified --
    A. I would assume.
    Q. -- or pre-qualified? Excuse me.
    A. I would assume so since they were
    awarded this contract.
    Q. Turning to Exhibit 21A.
    A. Okay.
    Q. On the cover page of that document,
    I believe it references as-built?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Can you explain the difference
    between as-built versus a construction plan?
    A. The construction plan is what is
        used as part of the bidding process and what the
        contractor uses as part -- to build what he --
        what he was awarded to do under the contract. The
        as-builts sort of become that doc- -- the contract
        plans he adds to it, what features he thinks are
        important that need to be historically preserved
```
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    1 for the future people to deal with the next time they do a project here.

So one of the things he might mark on there was if utilities are not in the right place that were originally there or if storm sewers or drainage pipes were marked at a certain station and offset, but when he goes out in the field he finds them at a different station and a different offset he will mark that so that the next person who does design can then correct those -- those types of issues.
Q. Okay. Thank you. If we can turn to Exhibit 141.
A. Is it in this one?
Q. I believe so.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recognize this document?
A. Yes.
Q. Yesterday we talked about the shoofly detour?
A. Yes.
Q. Does this document explain that detour or help explain that detour?
A. It's a change order. It's a change

1 order or authorization number one and what change orders are is if something has not previously been identified in the contract plans as a pay item and quantities if there is a change, then they will have to do a change order to either add additional money or deduct money depending on what the change is regarding and in this particular case it's a change order regarding the need to construct a temporary road around the site of the proposed bridge carrying Greenwood Avenue over the future FA Route 42, which is the Amstutz Expressway, and then it says this roadway will permit the contractor to start the structural work now and continue through the winter completion and use of the regular planned detour roads depending upon the completion of a railroad crossing which is to our best estimate will not be ready until the Spring of 1972.
Q. And what is a shoofly?
A. A shoofly is just sort of a colloquial term that we've used to mean a turnout. It's a lot of times used in railroads also as a means of moving a train over so a main line can go through. So it's sort of a detour to allow -- a

1 short detour to move through-traffic over and 2 around something.

15 no further remediation letter to show that the 16 contamination has all been contained or addressed 17 in some way in order to meet the TACO regulations 18 and in our Highway Authority Agreement process we 19 have the means in addition to the model Highway 20 Authority Agreement that's in the regulations to 21 recoup future costs and recoup all of our past
Q. Thank you. What is a Highway Authority Agreement?
A. A Highway Authority Agreement is an agreement that is regulated in the TACO regulations that allow for a property owner that has impacted and contaminated what is in the soil or groundwater state right of way and it's a means by which they, the property owner, can get IDOT to sign off in allowing that contamination to occur on our right of way without having to remediate it and that agreement then would allow them to go to Illinois EPA so that they can get relief through a costs and sometimes that past cost means projects that are occurring today and, in essence, we -once we identify those costs whether it's
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1 historically, something that occurred 20 years ago or something that occurred two years ago, we calculate the cost of managing soil and groundwater in a way that was different than was required under normal means if it wasn't impacted. We put that cost in the agreement and IDOT does not sign this agreement until we receive the funding from our past cost.
Q. Thank you. Yesterday, Mr. Gobelman, you talked about stations along Greenwood Avenue and involved with the Amstutz Bridge Project. Can you explain a little bit more what you -- what those stations are? What is a station in terms of this project or any project?
A. Well, in order to provide the contractor with means of direction and spacing of where things are at, IDOT has a method of creating a stationing along -- tried to keep it throughout multiple contracts so that the stationing stays the same so that every hundred feet there is a demarcation of a station.

So, in essence, somewhere there would be a station 1 and then every hundred feet it would be -- it would go two, three, four, five
and what that does and it's marked along the center line of the proposed right of way and in a case that there is a roadway being adjusted left or right for the new construction project, then it would be a new center line associated with a new road, too.

> So there would be sort of a baseline and a center line for the existing and what that does is it allows the contractor to know the center line of the road is where everything is going to be measured off of. So then in the contract plan there would be -- if something was 30 feet to the left of the road, then it would be identified that that stationing is 30 feet left or 30 feet right and so, therefore, the contractor would know his orientation on the project to the plans to know that is where he is supposed to be within and that's what needs to be done.
Q. Is the -- is that a standard measurement?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that measurement?
A. The measurement -- we measure every
hundred feet.

1
Q. So it's -- a station is a hundred feet?
A. Yes.
Q. Does every road have stations?
A. Yes. And, in fact, railroads do the same thing with mile markers in how they do their marking of how they do their railroad lines. There was a stationing process to them to do the same thing.
Q. Okay. Thank you. I'd like to turn to Exhibit 164. Okay. Turning to Exhibit 164.
A. Okay.
Q. Did you prepare this document?
A. The final version of it, yes.
Q. The first page of Exhibit 164 I'm going to -- is this a replication of the first page of Exhibit 164?
A. Well, in this book, it is technically the third page.
Q. Okay.
A. It's sort of backwards.
Q. Okay. So the third page, is that a replication of the third page of Exhibit 164 ?
A. Unfortunately, it is not.

[^1]Q. Why not?
A. Because this was the most current version that was submitted and the one that is in this book is an earlier version.
Q. Okay. What is the difference?
A. The difference is that in this book we use the A plus A prime to signify that it was going through S 1 through 8 S . In reality, it was in this revised version we moved the line over to show A-A prime was actually beginning at the LFR test pit excavation. There was some clarity in the circles because some of the circles were bigger than they should have been and then I added some circles to some borings that I had missed in identifying asbestos boring that had asbestos-containing material.

MS. BRICE: Okay. I'd like to object because we have never received a copy of whatever document he is talking about.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: This has been at least the third exhibit that it's been changed from this exhibit book in the last two hours of his testimony.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I believe it's the

|  | Page 99 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | second. |
| 2 | HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay. |
| 3 | Two out of three. But how -- how are you going to |
| 4 | respond to that? I guess can you clear it up or |
| 5 | can you give Ms. Brice a copy of it? |
| 6 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yeah, I can. Why |
| 7 | don't we make sure the copy is correct and we'll |
| 8 | do that in the lunch break and we'll make sure |
| 9 | everybody has a copy. |
| 10 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 11 | I'll reserve ruling, Ms. Brice, is that okay? |
| 12 | MS. BRICE: Okay. |
| 13 | BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: |
| 14 | Q. Let's put Exhibit 164 to the side |
| 15 | for now. |
| 16 | Do you recall yesterday during |
| 17 | Mr. Dorgan's testimony that they had -- that he |
| 18 | used a cross section of $A-A$ and $B-B$ ? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. Turning to Exhibit 84. |
| 21 | A. Yes, I'm there. |
| 22 | Q. Are you able to -- are you able to |
| 23 | state what station the western edge of cross |
| 24 | section $A-A$ is on the IDOT map depicted in the top |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |

1 left-hand corner?

4 around station 9 plus -- I would say 9 plus 20,9
5 plus 25 without having a scale.
Q. And how do you know that?
A. There is stationing marked along -as discussed earlier, the IDOT stationing is marked there. It shows stationing 6 through -- at least marked and identified station 6 through 11, but there are also ticks where I believe the plus $50 s$ are in there. So you're roughly -- that whole line is roughly 5 plus 22. I would say almost -I don't think 12 was shown there, but at least 12, 25 or so.
Q. If you can keep that map in front of you, but turning to Exhibit 35, but keep that demonstrative in front of you, but also turning to Exhibit 35. Are you --
A. Yes. I'm sorry.
Q. What is the significance of this document?
A. This is sort of the final change order that was submitted by the contractor.

1 Authorization number 18 and next to 18 is in
2 parenthesis final and what this does is it's sort
large deduction of that stating that none of this material -- a lot of this material it did not occur.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Because there was a deduction of quantities and if you look at the original bid item of the quantities that were in play.
Q. Would that be Exhibit 20?
A. Yes, it would be the pay items that Bolander bid on or basically listed in Exhibit 20 75 through 79 and so if -- and if you look at the historical record, there were no other additions put into the contract plans for those particular pay items. So, in essence, the total volume being removed and was -- was deducted. So there was -there was a percentage that was not being used.
Q. And so what is the significance of that in terms of the fill for the embankment?
A. Well, to me, it would show that -can I draw a picture?
Q. Sure.
A. Will it be easier --

MS. BRICE: Can I make an objection? There is no opinion in his report relating to this

1 line of questioning.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you
point me to some document as far as his disclosure
report? I don't have it offhand.
MS. BRICE: It's Exhibit 8.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Exhibit
$8 ?$
MS. BRICE: Yes.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It is also directly
responsive and I'll tie it to Mr. Dorgan's
testimony. It is directly responsive to some of
the things he testified.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Mr. Gobelman, if you can turn to
Exhibit 8.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Your response again, Ms. O'Laughlin, and if you can direct me to the page of his rebuttal -- or I'm
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1 see Site 3 a ton. nothing relating to -sorry. Excuse me.

```
sorry -- his expert report.
```

    MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It's more or less
    throughout he talks about borrow material at page
four. He talks about --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Hold on.
THE WITNESS: It's -- I've
identified in my bibliography documents cited as number seven Authorization of Contract Change not Involving Section Length Authorization \#18 (final)

MS. BRICE: Right. But you haven't discussed anything about the composition of the embankment in your Site 6. Actually, Site 6 is not really discussed very much at all in your expert report. It's focused on Site 3 .

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I

MS. BRICE: I believe there is one section that might discuss Site 6, but it is

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Fourteen. I'm

MS. BRICE: Eight.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Eight the
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1 department did use, spread, place or dispose of 2 ACM. contractor would have used material from the parking lot to build the embankment and our theory is that it does not make sense and consistent with construction practices that the contractor would have used the transite pipe and, in fact, the sample results can support that theory.

So Mr. Gobelman has given opinions that the contractor would have not used this transite pipe and he is showing exactly how they did not use it and they would not have used it in the embankment and it's directly pertinent to this --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I

```
    remember --
```

    MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- matter.
    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
312-419-9292
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Page 106

1

2

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I
remember in his testimony yesterday. I still don't see it in his opinion, you know, report on --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That you don't
see --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't see him referencing Site 6 and if you can just point me to it.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Well --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You say
throughout --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I mean --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: -- and
then we have a different version of throughout, which he was on.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sporadic.
MS. BRICE: I mean, there is nothing about what was composed of the fill in the embankment in this report.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: On page four, he discussed porous granular embankment.

MS. BRICE: That's just with respect to it's a material that can be used in general.

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. $312-419-9292$

1 It's talking about general materials and that's 2 the background section, not that it was placed in 3 the embankment in 6.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: If you can speak up.

MS. BRICE: Sure. Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I need Mr. Brickey to take this down. Yeah, I don't really see it's a stretch to argue -- to argue that it's in here what he is testifying to today. So what I'm going to do is sustain Ms. Brice's objection, but I'll take it as an offer of proof and let me know when you're outside that offer of proof and get back into --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go ahead.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Excuse me. We'd also like to support some cases in our support on our behalf that what Mr. Gobelman is testifying is consistent with his expert opinion and we're allowed to elicit testimony from him in this regard in the support --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can put that in your post-hearing brief, but as far as

[^2]1 right here I don't really see anything --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: And it is directly responsive to Mr. Dorgan's testimony and we're allowed to defend ourselves and explain it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: But it's not in his disclosure, correct?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It is in his disclosure throughout, sporadic. It's consistent with his theory about how and why the contractor would not and did not -- would not have used transite pipe in building the embankment in number six and that is directly relevant here and useful to the finders of fact in whether IDOT should be liable.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice, he testified to some of it yesterday that there was no objection. Is there a reason for today? As I recall, I thought he did.

MS. BRICE: Yesterday he talked about laying some foundation for some documents and stationing, but he never talked about what the embankment was composed of and that's what I'm going after here. I mean, he is trying to take this document which frankly relates to the entire
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project and say that what he said in his deposition relates to the entire project and say "Oh, well, this embankment right here they didn't have enough, you know, fill material. They didn't remove enough unsuitable material" and make some argument how that relates to everything, which I'm not quite sure where he's going because it was never disclosed. It's not something I have ever encountered before and I took an exhaustive deposition, this argument.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anything
further, Ms. O'Laughlin?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I think we've stated our objection -- we've stated our response. I reserve the right to supplement our response to Johns Manville's objections because I believe this to be very important.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sure
it's important, but I don't see it in this
disclosure, his report.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If I may reserve the right to expand upon our objection --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- in the future.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: In the future.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Certainly. That's good. This is in the offer of proof?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Correct.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. Mr. Gobelman, you -- turning to Exhibit 84-1 and the cross section $A-A$ that is shown there.
A. Yes.
Q. And you were present when Mr. Dorgan was testifying that this area was fill or are you aware of Johns Manville's theory that this material depicted in cross section $A-A$ is fill material?
A. I believe that's correct what the figure $A$-- cross section $A 1-A$ the dotted line at the bottom is depicting that that is the base elevation of the fill material.
Q. And given what you just reviewed in the previous documents, can you give your opinion on this matter?

[^3]312-419-9292

## May 25, 2016

A. Yeah, my opinion would be I don't believe -- although that may be the baseline of -of some fill, $I$ don't believe it's a representation of the baseline of what IDOT's fill is.
Q. And why not?
A. Well, one, the construction of Greenwood Avenue ends at station 7 and, in essence, to be able to -- and that is just -- but the tie down of the embankment that is coming off of sand street actually touches down at around station 9 plus 00. Somewhere in that area it touches down to be at grade and then the remaining 200 feet or so is more of a road improvement within the existing baseline.
Q. And where would those stations be on cross Section $A-A ?$
A. Well, they're not depicted on cross Section $A-A$ other than it's noting it through the boring locations as it relates to the map above it.
Q. And so do you agree with Mr. Dorgan?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Do you know where the -- so correct
me if I'm wrong, 1 S was located approximately station 9, is that correct? Is there anything that would help you to determine that?
A. Well, I believe it's in the area of 9. It's sort of covered up by other elevation markers, but there is like, I think, a 1 S between the two elevation markers. So I would say, yes, it's near -- it's near the offset of station 9 .
Q. Do you -- there is a picture. It says "approximate location of old IDOT pipe."
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what that is?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Did you try and figure out what that represents?
A. I tried through -- looking through the plan documents and I couldn't see a reference. To me, this would be a reference to some sort of drainage structure that would cross Greenwood Avenue somewhere between the LRF excavation and $1 S$ and I didn't see any notation in the contract plans of a drainage structure that would go through there.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That concludes the

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1
offer of proof, but I'm going to go back to it once we get the correct --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let us know and I'll let Mr. Brickey know. Thank you. MS. BRICE: Can I do a cross of the

```
    offer of proof?
```

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry? Oh, yes, you can.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We're not finished with the offer of proof. Why don't we complete what we're going to talk about in terms of this offer of proof when we have the complete demonstrative exhibit this afternoon.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm going to let Ms. Brice do it now. I think it makes a cleaner record. She can respond to what was just in the offer of proof. You may respond.

MS. BRICE: Okay. Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. First of all, I'd like to turn your attention to exhibit -- the plans I think it's 19 or 21. 21. Thank you. 21A, I believe.
A. Yes, 21A.
Q. Great. Thank you. Can you take a

MS. BRICE: Do you mind if $I$
approach?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No, go
ahead.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. If you can grab 21A, please.
A. A.
Q. That's weird. That's Al. Sorry. It's not very helpful. This one it is $21 \mathrm{~A}-8$. I'd like to direct your attention over to the left of this page and it says blend to match existing conditions, what does that mean to you?
A. It means that they -- they had -when the paving came through, they had to match up the existing to the new pavement. They blended to match it.
Q. And the new pavement, why would they have to do that there at that location?
A. Well, because that is -- is --
Q. That's --
A. That's roughly at the end of the match because the construction ends at station 7 . So they're not going beyond Greenwood Avenue to

1 the east any further. So they -- there -- at some point before they're going to blend the road to make sure there is a smooth transition from new pavement to old pavement.
Q. And the new pavement is coming up from the west, correct?
A. The new pavement -- yeah, the new pavement is coming from the west.
Q. And do you see here this is at -what station does this blend to match say that it is at? That's hard to see.
A. Well, the arrow to the left, which is the east, shows the construction limit at seven plus.
Q. That wasn't my question. My question was what does this say? What does the -blend to match 7, is that what it says?
A. Well, it says here that the end -construction end -- the limit is at 7. There is no -- something to say --
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. -- you know, how far that distance is other than it doesn't exceed -- go to station 8. So it's somewhere -- I would assume that it is

```
somewhere between station 7 and 7 plus 50.
    Q. Thank you. If you can stay with
    that document, please. I apologize. I wasn't
    prepared that this was going to happen right now.
    MS. BRICE: I think we actually have
    a board of that. Pardon me. Here we go.
    BY MS. BRICE:
    Q. I'm going to show you what has been
blown up here and this is a soil profile and it
is -- we're going to get the correct exhibit
number, but it's JM001157, do you see this?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what is this?
A. It is depicting what the existing soil profile was put in the plans and what the proposed grade line is going to be and where the existing pavement surface was located at.
Q. Okay. And if you could -- if I could direct your attention to the top -- the top photo here and if you can tell me what station is there at the far left side.
A. The last station.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. Just for the record we can't see what is going on.
```
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MS. BRICE: Do you want to approach?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Could we display
somehow?
MS. BRICE: Here.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. The station -- the far station to
the left that is marked 7.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. And what does it say right above that station? What is that demarking that says something has to be removed, right, removal of unsuitable material?
A. Yes, it says that there was -- well, it doesn't say removal. It just says -- this marking that there is a PETE material there.
Q. And if you look down here on the -over here to the right there is -- there is a key and what does the key relate to with respect to those hashmarks over there by station 7?
A. It is stating that that type of symbol indicates unsuitable material that they believe will need to be removed.
Q. Thank you. And how far to the right on this document, which would be to the west on

Greenwood Avenue, is there some necessary removal of unsuitable material?
A. Well, the document shows that throughout the entire -- well, virtually, I'll say -- maybe 85, 90 percent of some were underneath Greenwood Avenue, but there is some unsuitable material being identified.
Q. Thank you. And directly above where station 7 is there is some information. It says black cinder fill, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it doesn't say fill containing any other type of material, it just says black cinder fill, is that right?
A. It's identified as black cinder fill.
Q. And I believe you testified in your deposition that black cinder fill would most likely come to this property from the Midwest Generation Plant, which is currently on the ComEd property, isn't that true?
A. That -- that would be a potential source.
Q. But you said that that was the most
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Page 119
1 likely source. I think it is stated there.

2

3
A. It's the closest source --
Q. Okay.
A. -- I stated.
Q. And it's stated in your report, correct?
A. That is the closest source.
Q. And do you have any reason to dispute the accuracy of this document exhibit that I'm holding right now?
A. No, I have nothing to -- to dispute the accuracy of that because that is what was provided in the contract plans that the contractor would need to be identified.
Q. And these are the as-built plans, correct?
A. Well, it is also the -- as far as I know, it's the plans that was in the construction plans, too.
Q. Right. But the as-built plans are supposed to signify what was actually done, isn't that true?
A. It is, but I don't know if that meant there was cross sections on this was that it
was to be removed. This doesn't say that it was to be removed. This indicates that it is indicating unsuitable material to be removed.
Q. Right. But this is the as-built plans, correct?
A. I do not know if that's the as-built plans.
Q. I'll represent to you it is coming off of the as-built plans. So assuming that representation is correct, these are the as-built plans, correct?
A. That is what is in the as-built plans if that's what you're representing.
Q. Thank you. Do you have any information to indicate that this document is -Strike that. Thank you.

MS. CAISMAN: Just for the record that is a blowup of $21-A-26$.

MS. BRICE: 21-A-26. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're outside the offer of proof, Steven. You may continue on your direct, Ms. O'Laughlin.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Turn to Exhibit 107.
A. Okay.
Q. Before we get to asking questions about this document, what is your background in aerial analysis of topography?
A. In my undergraduate, I took coursework in remote sensing and geomorphology and obviously remote sensing dealt strictly with aerial photography and how it is produced and how it's done, how to view it and stereo geomorphology was more using the same types of tool sets to identify through aerial stereoscopic approaches land forms and being able to identify them in nature through the aerial photography.
Q. What is -- you mentioned stereo. What is that? What do you mean?
A. In essence, when IDOT flies or when people typically fly areas it isn't necessarily a picture taken next to a picture. There is a certain percentage of overlap and within those overlaps you can see both things. You can use a special reading glass, in essence, that sits that you look down almost like a microscope in a sense,
but you look through and focus your eyes on looking straight down on that eventually it blurs the image into one image and when those two images come together it creates a $3 D$ representation of that photograph, of that area that you're looking at.
Q. And what is some of the purposes of looking at aerial photographs through a stereo image?
A. Well, it helps you identify relief to a major point, but it also allows to sometimes identify structures that may not be able to easily be identified in a normal 2D approach of looking at a single photo.
Q. Now, turning to Exhibit 107, do you know what this document is?
A. It was an aerial -- not an aerial. It is a topographic figure that $I$ received from the Illinois State Geological Survey.
Q. Okay. And why did you do that?
A. I wanted to -- as far as putting together the historical of that whole area, I on top of obtaining all of the aerial photography that was flown that IDOT knew of in that area, I
a lot of stagnant water or maybe even a drainage pattern of water through the middle of this map.
Q. And when was this -- what is the date of this topographic map?
A. The date itself is -- it is represented by -- it was 1939.
Q. If you can turn to Exhibit 53-P.
A. What did you say?
Q. Five-three P.
A. Okay.
Q. $\quad 53-\mathrm{P}$, as in Peter, what is this?
A. It's an aerial photography of -of -- it doesn't actually give a date on this picture, but it's represented by 1939 the year.
Q. And what is significant to you about this picture?
A. This is sort of a pre, you know, before any of this -- sort of the oldest, historical photograph that IDOT had in its possession and it is showing -- there is a circle that is marked there and I'm not sure if that was -- I think that might have been on the photograph to begin with that we had and that represents the intersection of Sand Street and --
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and Greenwood Avenue and so the area to the southeast would be the areas of site 3 and Greenwood somewhat and somewhere in the middle of or right at the very beginning of $I$ would say site 6 and basically it shows this area was a little wet area. It showed sort of dudish features from sort of the existing conditions.
Q. At the top of the exhibit it states Johns Manville, is this a picture that IDOT obtained or Johns Manville?
A. This is their exhibit, but I believe IDOT has this photograph.
Q. Is this similar -- but this is -you have seen this photograph or something like this, is that correct?
A. Yes. Because $I$ know the photograph that $I$ obtained from IDOT has that circle in it. So --
Q. And - excuse me. I'm sorry. Are you able to identify where Site 3 is located?
A. Roughly, yes.
Q. And what are the conditions of site 3 in 1939?
A. In that particular area, when $I$
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1 looked at it in stereo, in essence in 3D -- MS. BRICE: I'd like to object. There was no disclosure that he used stereoscopic technology to look at aerial photographs in his deposition or in his report. This is a --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Didn't he testify to it yesterday because I wouldn't have forgotten that?

MS. BRICE: No, they asked him if he used it. No one has ever -- this is brand new. Okay? This has never been raised. This is a technique that you have to be qualified in. You know, I don't even know if he has the qualifications to look at this. I mean, this is coming out of nowhere. There is no disclosure that this was ever done before.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I can't account for them not asking this in the deposition. They certainly had the opportunity to. Aerial photographs were cited in his bibliography. They were included as an exhibit to his deposition. They've had every opportunity to ask him about aerial photography. They have his CV. He is qualified. He looked at them. He stated he

1 looked at them. They're pertinent to this matter.
2 For her to claim she neglected to ask him a
3 question, that's not -- that's not -- that doesn't
4 mean we shouldn't be able to ask him questions.
in that were never disclosed or discussed in his deposition and I would say what methodology did you use? All I did was I looked at the documents and put together the story, not that I used some sort of specific technique to look at aerial photographs or I did a reconstruction of anything.

I mean, that would be the kind of thing that you would disclose in some -- in a deposition that you used some sort of specific technique that is not common.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. Ms. O'Laughlin? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: They had the opportunity to request it. I would say that it is common. Anyone who is qualified to look at aerial photographs should know about stereo imaging. The fact that it is new to them just shows that they're not prepared and they really don't know what they're talking about. As well as Steven Gobelman, I think we should allow him to interpret the photography, he has the qualification. If he wants to use stereo, he should use stereo.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Again, it should have been disclosed. What I'm going to
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1 do -- I'm sorry, Ms. O'Laughlin, you're making a 2 face for the record?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No. No. No disrespect. I'm listening for your ruling.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay. I can always revisit this.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. I would like to proceed. Excuse me.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go ahead.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Should we proceed in an offer --

HEARING OEFICER HALLORAN: In an offer of proof, yes. Thank you. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Going back to this picture, what does -- what does this picture tell you about Site 3?
A. Well, as I said, Site 3 is not identified, but the area that would have encompassed Site 3, to me, was a low-lying area and it had some sand dune sort of ridges as I'll call them throughout that whole area.
Q. Turning to -- I think it was a picture they used Exhibit 52. Do you still have
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 your large photographs that you used?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Are we still in the offer of proof?

MS. BRICE: Yes, we are definitely still in the offer of proof with respect to my objection at least, sorry, on 52. It was not mentioned.

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. I believe this has been represented as Exhibit 52 in this matter, although there is no identifying exhibit number. It is -- has a Bates number of JM1296. Have you seen this picture before?
A. It is part of my deposition of when I first saw a copy of this.
Q. And what does this picture tell you about Site 3?
A. Well, the area of Site 3 contains the existing -- the parking lot that was in place at that time and shows, to me, that the parking lot is elevated based upon this part here and the rest of this area, to me, is at a lower elevation than -- than the parking lot. I don't know exactly how far this photograph takes -- exactly

1 Site 3-- or how far to the northern portion of 2 the photo that Site 3 would go based upon -- it's 3 hard to see any landmarks.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I believe we can go
out of it. I think he did testify about fill material.

MS. BRICE: He did testify about fill material. He did not testify about that document nor is it noted in his expert report. It was produced on $12 / 12 / 2014$, which was more than eight months before his deposition.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Would you like to make -- do a cross within the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
$$

```
offer of proof?
```

MS. BRICE: Yeah, I guess I will ask a few questions at least. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. With respect to the 1939 photograph, when was the first time you did this stereoscopic review of that photograph?
A. I would -- I believe they would have been -- I would have done them -- I do not remember whether or not -- because I provided Randy Schick and the $104(e)$ stereo sets of the photographs. I don't necessarily remember whether I viewed them at that time, but I know I viewed them when Phil McQuillan was involved when I believe this first case came to IDOT's attention. I viewed the photographs in stereo. I provided stereo sets to Phil McQuillan. I provided blowups of those areas and then showed them to Phil McQuillan who was the assistant attorney with IDOT at that time of the stereo sets and the viewing of it.
Q. Okay. But in your deposition and in your report you say nothing about reviewing stereo sets, correct?
A. No, I do not. And that is because I just feel it is common practice. I didn't think it was something special.
Q. And do you with respect to the
photograph -- the 1952 photograph I believe you
just said the first time you saw that was in your
deposition?
A. Yes, I believe you showed it to me
in -- I can't remember if it was a picture of the
picture or of the actual picture.
Q. Okay. So when was the first time you reviewed that in stereoscopic?
A. I don't have a stereo set of that picture.
Q. Okay. So you haven't reviewed that in stereoscopic?
A. I never said I have.
Q. I wasn't aware of that. I was assuming that you had.

So you have never reviewed that
in stereoscopic, is that your testimony?
A. That particular photograph, no.
Q. So your testimony is based upon just
looking at that photograph from -- from this --

$$
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1 from just a 2D perspective, is that correct?

2
3
4
5
6
7
8

```
A. Yes, and based upon the -- what I
``` had viewed and observed in the 30 s aerial photography knowing that that was a lower area. So the parking lot to get to that level there had to be an elevation.
Q. Okay. But as I recall from -- give me one second here.

What you testified to, I
believe, in your report and in your deposition was that you based this low-lying elevation issue on the topographic maps, is that not correct?
A. It provided information in regards to the condition of the property in the '30s, yes.
Q. Okay. I believe on page eight of the report you stated that -- and it's Exhibit -it's Exhibit 8. It is at 08-10. Do you see that?
A. I'm on page ten -- or 08-10 I should say.
Q. Thank you. You say up here at the top of page eight a review of historical topographic maps from 1908, '14, '29, '39, '60, '72, ' 80 , ' 93 and '12 the area shown is marshy wet from 1908 to 1960 where it is no longer depicted
\[
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as a wet area. A review of the 1939 aerial photograph of Site 3 shows the area as vegetative with swale. The swale is a low-lying area, a wet depression between ridges. Then you say in order for Johns Manville to create a dry parking area for their employees, Johns Manville would have had to add fill material to bring up the parking area to a similar elevation as Greenwood and to keep the parking lot dry during the wet times of the year, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In the report, you say that the area was wet in 1939 and dry in 1960, isn't that correct?
A. I believe the -- what I'm stating there is that the topographical maps that \(I\) obtained showed a -- symbols of marshy areas from 1908 to the topographic map of 1960.
Q. I believe you say -- it says until 1960 where the area was no longer depicted as a wet area, do you see that?
A. Yes, according to the topographic -historical topographic maps.
Q. Understood.
\[
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A. Yes.
Q. So you just said that it was still a wet area in 1960, but I think your report says it was no longer wet in 1960.
A. I think I was saying here that
between ' 08 and the ' 60 topo that it was still
wet. It showed marshy areas.
Q. Okay. But let me read it into the
record again. In a review of historical
    topographic maps from '08, '14, '29, '39, '60,
    ' 80 --
                                    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: If you
can slow down a little, please, for the court
    reporter.
                    MS. BRICE: Sure.
    BY MS. BRICE:
    Q. -- 1993 and 2012 the area shown as a
marshy, wet area from 1908 until 1960 where the
area was no longer depicted as a wet area, is that
    in your report?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Did you review any topographic maps
        between 1939 and 1960?
    A. No, there was none.
Q. And we continue with this line of questioning in your deposition. So let's go with what I thought you were intending in your deposition was that it was dry in 1960.

Who would have filled it in your -- in your opinion?
A. In my opinion, at that time, my opinion was that I believe Johns Manville had filled that in because they wanted a parking lot.
Q. Okay. When was the parking lot constructed?
A. Well, my earliest aerial photograph that I had was at the time of my deposition I believe was '61 the parking lot existed and the report stated that the parking lot was sometime constructed in the late '50s they thought.
Q. Okay. So if the parking lot was constructed in the late '50s and the area is still wet, then isn't it true that Johns Manville didn't create -- use fill to create the parking lot?
A. I don't understand what you're asking.
Q. Okay. So you're saying here that the area is still wet in 1960, right? That's what

1
```

    you said --
    ```
A. No.
Q. -- a moment ago.
A. I'm saying the statement here says
    that from '08 until '60 the area no longer
    depict -- that area is no longer depicted as a
wetland after '60.
    Q. Okay.
    A. I think we're reading the same
    thing. I don't know what the issue is here.
    Q. Okay. Let's get it straight because
I think you said two things.
                                    Are you saying that in 1960 the
area was wet or it was dry?
    A. Well, I believe in the sentence that
you read in ' 60 is where it was no longer depicted
as wet.
    Q. Okay. So you're saying it was dry
in 1960, is that what you're saying?
    A. No, I'm saying that it's no longer
depicted as wet.
    Q. Fine. It's no longer depicted as
wet in 1960, right?
    A. Correct.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Q. But you haven't reviewed any -- you haven't reviewed any topo maps between 1939 and 1960, right?
A. No. Because they didn't exist.
Q. Assuming you're right and the area was wet in ' 39 and no longer wet in 1960, you don't know when the change in hydrology took place, do you?
A. No, I do not.
Q. It could have been 1940?
A. It could be any time between the last aerial photography or topographical map until 1960, '61.
Q. It could have been 1940, right?
A. We can go every year, yes.
Q. 1945, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Assuming, again, that you're correct that the parking lot was wet in 1939 and no longer wet in 1960 you also don't know what or whom was responsible for the change in hydrology, do you?
A. Only from what was read in the reports.
Q. Okay. But you don't know for sure one way or another, do you?
A. No, I do not know factually.
Q. In fact, you agree that it's
possible that ComEd did this filling on Site 3,
isn't that true?
A. I don't necessarily think I ever stated that.
Q. Okay. Let's take a look at your deposition and I will hand you -- where is the 4 H ? It is 4 H , but, here, I have a copy for you.

MS. CAISMAN: 4C.
MS. BRICE: That might make it slightly easier. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. You took a deposition in this case,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were under oath in the deposition, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Can you please turn to page
191. Is this the same --

MS. BRICE: Page 191, Hearing
\[
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1 Officer Halloran, on 191 of the deposition page and for purposes of my cross-exam I think it will be way easier and way faster if we use the deposition page number and then we can go in and correlate it. I'll be happy to do it to the exhibit number, but the deposition page number is
```

    a lot easier to cite to.
    ```

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Fair enough.

MS. BRICE: Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Do you have page 191?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did I ask the following question?

Question: Right. So do you
have any topo information between 1939 and '60
that you haven't referenced in this report?
Answer: No, I do not believe that I do.

Question: Okay. So it's
possible that between 1939 and 1960 ComEd filled the area that is depicted on the topo maps?

Answer: Yes. In using the
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 142 \\
\hline 1 & topos as a guide -- \\
\hline 2 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. \\
\hline 3 & Brice, could you slow down? \\
\hline 4 & MS. BRICE: Yes. Sorry. \\
\hline 5 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank \\
\hline 6 & you. We have a court reporter feverishly trying \\
\hline 7 & to type this. \\
\hline 8 & MS. BRICE: I apologize. \\
\hline 9 & BY MS. BRICE: \\
\hline 10 & Q. Answer: In using the topos as \\
\hline 11 & your guide and under your theory, that is \\
\hline 12 & possible, yes. \\
\hline 13 & Do you see that? \\
\hline 14 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 15 & Q. Did you say that during your \\
\hline 16 & deposition? \\
\hline 17 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 18 & Q. Actually, in your report, you said \\
\hline 19 & that the fill, quote, most likely came from the \\
\hline 20 & waste products from a coal fired power plant \\
\hline 21 & Midwest Gen, didn't you? \\
\hline 22 & MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I object. It seems \\
\hline & we've clearly moved out of the offer of proof by \\
\hline 24 & now. We're going to his opinions regarding what \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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he has testified to and I'd like to ask my own questions first about the fill material before Ms. Brice --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, this is going a little far upfield of what your original objection on nondisclosure was.

MS. BRICE: I was just going to the marshy area.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. Well, let's not. You can address that on your cross.

MS. BRICE: All right. Sounds good.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're outside the offer of proof. Ms. O'Laughlin? BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Mr. Gobelman, in order to build -in order to have a parking lot exist on Site 3, what needed to have been done to the surface?
A. Material had to be added to bring the area that you wanted to use as a parking lot up to the existing grade of Greenwood Avenue.
Q. And do you know what was added to the area to bring it up to grade?
A. The only thing that has any record
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
\]

May 25, 2016
of what was used for it was that the ELM report stated that they had -- somebody from Johns Manville stated that the parking lot was filled with asbestos-containing material.
Q. What about the fill material to raise the parking lot -- to raise the surface of the parking lot that was used on top of it?
A. I don't know exactly what the material -- where it came from, but one part of the report had that it was -- that it contained asbestos-containing materials.

THE COURT REPORTER: Can you do something about that noise?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's lunchtime.
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's take a break and I'll see you back at 1:25. Thank you. We're off the record.
(Whereupon, a break was taken after which the following proceedings were had.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
\[
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1 right. We're back on the record, back from a
2 lunch break. It is approximately 1:35.
3 Ms. O'Laughlin is still on her direct of
4 Mr. Gobelman. You're still under oath. You may 5 proceed.

6 MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I have a
7 demonstrative exhibit here which I have just
8 tendered to counsel, opposing counsel, and through
9 a copying snafu it was my understanding this was want to mark it as a new demonstrative exhibit, but I don't know -- and I relayed this to Ms. O'Laughlin. I'm not sure what his testimony is going to be about this and to the extent it is outside the scope of what was in his report, which looks like it might be but I don't know, and that's why I was waiting to see what he was going to say about it, you know, we're going to object

\footnotetext{
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MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm sorry. I don't mean -- may I correct the record because I believe counsel unintentionally misstated the record regarding this exhibit? This was what we attempted to use as 164, but through a copying snafu it was not our most recent version. This is our most recent version. We have not used it yet. Once we learned that there were a couple more things added, I took it back and said we'll do it again after lunch.

I understand they'll have objections to what we bring out in testimony, but what I'm asking here is just merely how to identify this exhibit whether it's correcting Exhibit 164 or we go with a new exhibit Exhibit 202 and if Johns Manville's counsel has an objection, let's just go with 202.

That way you can do whatever you want with either one.

MS. BRICE: Fine.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So you still object to Exhibit 202?

MS. BRICE: I don't object. I mean, she can correct it if she wants. I mean, but I
\[
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1 object to the fact we didn't see it before, I now 2 see it, but I will have other objections about the 3 documents. That's my point. I don't want -- not

4 to the correction not being correct, but to the 5 testimony being elicited.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Terrific. So we're working off 202 now, but it hasn't been moved or anything.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'd like to tender to the Hearing Officer Exhibit 202 for identification purposes.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is this marked on here, Ms. O'Laughlin? MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No, it's not. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'll mark it.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Mr. Gobelman, this demonstrative exhibit in front of you has been marked Exhibit 202 for identification purposes.

Do you know what this document
is?
A. It's a document I produced using
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 Mr. Dorgan's rebuttal report Figure 2 and then
2 Arcadis' Engineering and Evaluation Cost Analysis
3 Report Revision 4 April 2011 Figure 5 and then
4 utilizing table two of that report.
5 Q. What was the last thing you
6 identified?
7
8
A. Table two of that same report.
Q. Of what report?
A. Of the Arcadis Engineering and
```

Evaluation Cost Analysis of 2011 Revision 4.

```
Q. So it's the Arcadis report and
```

Mr. Dorgan's exhibits?

```
A. Yes.
Q. Is there anything else?
A. No. I mean, I used those to mark -some of the locations were marked I believe in Mr. Dorgan's report. He marked the location of transite pipes and I sort of added based upon the Arcadis report the location of asbestos-containing materials.
Q. On this Exhibit 202, are you able to identify the right of ways?
A. Part of the right of way is marked.
Q. And what part of the right of way is
\[
\begin{aligned}
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17 Avenue as the right of way line.
Q. And is detour road \(A\) exhibited on this exhibit?
A. Yes, detour road \(A\) exists from the northeast side of the map and runs diagonally to the southwest.
Q. And is the temporary easement shown on this map?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.I.C.
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A. Yeah, the temporary easements are marked in a red crosshatch to the south of the -the limit is marked to the south of \(\operatorname{detour} A\) and also to the north of detour A and up to the north along the eastern side of detour road B.
Q. And are the construction limits displayed on this exhibit?
A. Yes, they're marked in a small dash along the detour \(A\) on both sides and along the eastern side of detour road \(B\) and along the southern side of Greenwood Avenue and on the northside as well.
Q. Is the embankment for Site 6 shown on this exhibit?
A. Well, Site 6 is identified in this -- in this figure.
Q. And how is it identified?
A. It's identified in a blue rectangle along -- encompassing Greenwood Avenue starting somewhere around 920,925 station. It gets marked also along Greenwood Avenue. It runs to the east and it doesn't end. It goes off the map.
Q. And what is that again, that is --
A. That is -- that's what was

1 identified on this figure as Site 6.
Q. And that was the embankment that is partially located on this site, is that shown on this map?
A. The embankment is very faint, but there is -- the embankment is shown through elevations starting at the western side of Greenwood and down to -- to where it comes down to grade and it shows also the elevations of the rest of Greenwood Avenue to the east.
Q. And how about the IDOT construction limits for the embankment?
A. Yeah, those are also I pointed out represented by a short dashed line.

MS. BRICE: And just for the record this -- to the extent we're talking about the elevation, this goes to some of the stuff that we objected to earlier, but I'll just note that for the record.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Thank you.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And what about sample results or logs, soil boring locations or other sampling
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
results, are those shown on this exhibit?
A. A lot of the subsurface sample locations are shown there and then I added some borings going through the Arcadis document of circling in red the borings that had asbestos-containing materials and noting what depths they were encountered and then there was also a bunch of red hexagon-ish -- red drawings around areas that have been identified as where transite pipe was.

MS. BRICE: Right. And we would object to the extent that Mr. Gobelman never testified to the locations of any of the asbestos-containing materials in his documents, in his report, and testified that this is based upon Mr. Dorgan's rebuttal report.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think you guys -- I'm going to give you a project between now and June, what is it, 23 rd . I'll give you a three-page brief on this. Whole offer of proof and your objection.

MS. BRICE: Sure.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think I know how I'm going to rule because I may revisit

\author{
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1 it, but that would probably be a good thing to do
2 in your time off, but, anyway, your objection is so noted. I'm sorry, Mr. Gobelman, you may continue. BY THE WITNESS:
A. Is there a question, I forget now, on the books?
```

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:

```
Q. What are these red circles or red hexagons on this exhibit?
A. They're the locations of where either transite pipe was identified in the subsurface or asbestos-containing material.
Q. And what does the circle mean?
A. The circle means that it was an asbestos-containing material and not necessarily transite pipe.
Q. And what about a hexagon?
A. Hexagon is noted as being transite pipe.
Q. And next to these red marks, either a circle or hexagon, is there some color code next to it?
A. Well, when -- when looking at the

1 borings that are associated with \(A\) and \(A\) prime, \(B\) 2 and B prime on the north and south of Greenwood

3 Avenue, I added a sort -- because there was a
4 mixed bag of materials that were identified in the
5 borings, I sort of added a color code associated
6 with the depths of what types of materials were
7 found within a three-foot boring or a trench that
8 was dug.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
Q. And where did you obtain that information?
A. I obtained that also from the Arcadis report.
Q. And are those --
A. I should also state that that was also -- a lot of that information Mr. Dorgan's figure showed just the hexagon where the transite pipe was and I added the color scheme, the associated depths to that, but I also then added circles to the other borings that had asbestos-containing material and the color depths associated with it.
Q. In building detour road \(A\), what is the area that the Bolander contractor would have operated within?
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A. Well, he would have operated within the -- the construction limits.
Q. Can you keep that up and show where he would have operated within?
A. Well, this is only a part of detour road \(A\). Detour road \(A\) will continue down until it hits Sand Street somewhere. You know, this is just at the intersection. So there is another 300 or 400 feet before it ends at Sand. So he would have operated -- and this is sort of represented by the shading of the green as depicted by the IDOT construction limit.
Q. And also is the former Johns Manville parking lot shown on this demonstrative exhibit?
A. Yes, it is identified by this black line and I think it's got some sort of textured figure, I mean, a crosshatch.
Q. And would the contractor have gone outside the construction limits?
A. He is not allowed to go outside the construction limit or IDOTs right of way easement without permission from the RE and permission from the land owner.
Q. What area exactly is the contractor allowed to operate within?
A. Well, it's defined as the construction zone because that's where he is expected to do all work.
Q. That's within the construction limit?
A. Within the construction limit, but there is additional right of way or easements that IDOT has purchased to sort of allow sometimes movement of vehicles or machinery to give them a little bit more of a buffer outside the construction limit, but all work is within the construction limits.
Q. Okay. So the construction work is within the construction limits, but the contractor is allowed to use the easement area?
A. He can touch it, yes. He can maneuver around it. He is not constructing anything in it.
Q. Okay. And explain why it is unlikely the contractor would have met or gone outside the construction limits --

MS. BRICE: Can I do something to
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 158 \\
\hline 1 & limit the record? \\
\hline 2 & BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: \\
\hline 3 & Q. -- or the limits of the easement? \\
\hline 4 & MS. BRICE: I'm sorry, Ellen, but \\
\hline 5 & just to clarify the record because this is \\
\hline 6 & confusing. He did testify about the construction \\
\hline 7 & limit issue. He hasn't testified about the \\
\hline 8 & borings, but -- so it gets kind of confusing here. \\
\hline 9 & So to the extent your ruling would apply here, I \\
\hline 10 & want to be clear that that was in his report. \\
\hline 11 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All \\
\hline 12 & right. Right. And I did notice and, again, I'm \\
\hline 13 & not making a ruling. I noticed Exhibit 84 that \\
\hline 14 & was processed in May of 2016 that Mr. Dorgan \\
\hline 15 & referred to in his testimony regarding soil \\
\hline 16 & borings and whatnot. So, you know, that's just \\
\hline 17 & for the record, but you may continue. Thank you. \\
\hline 18 & BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: \\
\hline 19 & Q. Why is it unlikely the contractor \\
\hline 20 & would have operated outside of the easement \\
\hline 21 & limits? \\
\hline 22 & A. Well, if he has -- as I said \\
\hline 23 & earlier, if he has to go outside and do some work \\
\hline 24 & or do something that he wants to do outside of the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 area that the department has defined for him, he 2 can do so with the permission of the resident engineer and with the property, but the issue is going to be that if he needs to get permission from the property owner, then it roughly becomes at his cost. So the property owner could charge him a fee to do whatever or, you know -- but it's on him. It's not on the department.
Q. If the contractor had gone outside of the construction limits, would he -- would the agreement of the IDO'T engineer have been needed?
A. He would need his permission to do so.
Q. And would the engineer give it?
A. I would say in most circumstances they would, but that would also depend upon exactly what they planned to do and what the condition that the contractor is going to leave that property in, too, because they don't want to have some sort of issue with damages coming back to them.

MS. BRICE: Objection. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. So what process would have to have
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

1 been gone through for the contractor to operate 2 outside of the easement?

MS. BRICE: Okay. I will object to this line of questioning. There was nothing in his report about this level and I think they're trying to say now the contractor is liable if he has gone outside the limits and that was not an opinion of his.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
```

    O'Laughlin?
    ```

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm just trying to be accurate here. I'm just trying to be accurate, that is the contractor. It's just the way it's done. There is nothing -- I'm just trying to be accurate. That's all. And we can use IDOT or IDOTs contractor --

MS. BRICE: Thank you.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- you know, if that's a preference.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Or IDOTs
contractor. Although, I do think it helps to clarify what exactly IDOTs role is. So we'll just

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. \(312-419-9292\)

1 continue with the questioning.
2 BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Is there anything else significant about the easement limits or construction limits in your demonstrative?
A. No, I don't believe so.
Q. So if the contractor -- if IDOTs contractor were to encounter concrete or transite pipes on the parking lot, what would he have done with it? How would he have handled it? How would it have been handled? Excuse me.
A. My opinion is that he would consider it an obstruction and would be required to clear the pipes out of his way.
Q. And why -- what is that based upon? Your opinion is based upon what?
A. It's -- it's sort of -- the pipes if they were sitting on the surface of the parking lot have no use in the building of that detour road and needs to be moved out of the way so that they can build that detour road. So the ' 71 spec talks about clearing of material that's -- you know, that needs to be removed.
Q. So if they ran into pipes, they
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 would remove the pipes or concrete pipes?

> So, in this case, they would most likely at the time that this was going on there is a current structure being built that is going to need a lot of material and it would be easy for that material to be utilized as part of the embankment outside of this area.
Q. Why would -- would the contractor or IDOT have used concrete or transite pipes within
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. I don't believe it's possible --
Q. Why is that?
A. -- based upon the amount of
```

embankment that's occurred within areas -- Site 6

```
is very little.
Q. I'm sorry. I asked you about detour road A.
A. Oh, detour road A. Sorry.
Q. Could you show on the --
A. Detour road \(A\) isn't occurred because they already have -- they already have according to the plans an excessive amount of cut material that is plenty to build this road. So for them to move pipe over and crush and take time to do it, it's easier for them to put that material in a place that they no longer have to move it twice. Because according to the plans, once -- they know once that road is built and in place, it's going to have to be renewed to meet what is required on our obligations to ComEd as how we leave this property. This road and all detour roads have to be removed. So it would be a wasted effort to use a viable product that can be used in embankments
\[
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\hline & Page 164 \\
\hline 1 & if it meets certain criteria in an area that is \\
\hline 2 & going to have to be removed and this area is going \\
\hline 3 & to be removed after all the embankments are in \\
\hline 4 & place. So there is no place for him to remove \\
\hline 5 & this material other than off the project itself \\
\hline 6 & because he has to grade Site 3 back down to a \\
\hline 7 & condition that is existing and allow it to drain \\
\hline 8 & properly. \\
\hline 9 & Q. You read Mr. Dorgan's report and you \\
\hline 10 & understand his theory that IDOTs contractor or \\
\hline 11 & IDOT would have buried concrete or transite pipe, \\
\hline 12 & are you familiar with that theory? \\
\hline 13 & A. Yes. \\
\hline 14 & Q. And do you agree with it -- \\
\hline 15 & A. Well, I agree -- \\
\hline 16 & Q. -- on detour road A? Let's take it \\
\hline 17 & site by site. Detour road A. \\
\hline 18 & A. No, I do not agree that IDOT or its \\
\hline 19 & contractors would have buried any transite pipe in \\
\hline 20 & detour road A. \\
\hline 21 & Q. How about Site 3? \\
\hline 22 & A. I do not believe they would have \\
\hline 23 & buried any of that material that was pulled off \\
\hline 24 & the top of the parking lot at the time this was \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{gathered}
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1 being built into the rest of Site 3 .

2
being built into the rest of Site 3 .
    Q. And why is that?
    A. As I said, the material that they
would have found on the surface has a value. It
    serves them no purpose to go off the right of way
and bury something that he could save -- be able
to utilize in an embankment someplace else. It
has value. It doesn't have -- it reduces the
amount of material that he is going to have to try
    to find from a borrow source.
    MS. BRICE: Can I just interject for
    a second? It seems like you're changing your
    opinion from -- completely and agreeing with us.
    MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection.
Objection.
    MS. BRICE: Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can
have at him on cross if you like to.
    MS. BRICE: I'm not -- this is
    surprising to me.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, you
    can address it on cross, but thank you.
    Ms. O'Laughlin, you can continue.
    MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can you read the
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 last question, please?
(Whereupon, the record was read as requested.)

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Do you agree with -- do you believe that the concrete or transite pipe would have been buried throughout Site 3 ?
A. No.
Q. And why is that?
A. Because, like I said, it serves no purpose for the contractor to take extra effort to go offsite and get rid of a product that he can utilize someplace else.
Q. Is there -- what is the requirement about using such a material as a concrete or transite pipe? I believe Mr. Dorgan testified about four-inch pieces being placed below the surface of the finished earth grade, do you remember that?
A. Yes, it's what's identified in -- in the spec book for embankments of -- if the contractor chooses to use concrete in his embankment, the criteria for which he can utilize it. If -- off the top of my head, if he is going
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1 to use it outside of the roadway in the embankment, then I believe it's 12 inches below the ground surface and if it is under the pavement, it has to be nine inches or something like that or six inches below the pavement.
Q. Is there something that you want to look at?
A. I can look at the original '71 spec.
Q. Spec book. Exhibit 19, I believe. Would it be spec 207.04?
A. Yeah, I just didn't turn the page enough. Yeah, if it is being placed before an existed -- existing ridged type pavement or base coarse, then there is no less than three inches or no more than 12 inches within the -- below that base coarse ridged pavement. So it has to be somewhere between three and 12 and then --
Q. So knowing this, what would have had to occur for IDOT or its contractor to use transite pipe or concrete pipe in the building of the embankment for detour road \(A\) ?

MS. BRICE: And just to preserve the objection, there was no discussion of the specifications or the size or the types in his
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 168 \\
\hline 1 & report or deposition. \\
\hline 2 & HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted. \\
\hline 3 & Thank you. \\
\hline 4 & BY THE WITNESS: \\
\hline 5 & A. For them to build -- use the pipe in \\
\hline 6 & detour road A? \\
\hline 7 & BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: \\
\hline 8 & Q. Right. How -- how -- correct. \\
\hline 9 & A. Well, I don't believe they did use \\
\hline 10 & it in detour road \(A\). \\
\hline 11 & Q. Right. And why -- why -- and why? \\
\hline 12 & A. Because there was plenty of soil in \\
\hline 13 & the -- in the cut material in the building of that \\
\hline 14 & detour road that they had plenty of soil to \\
\hline 15 & utilize as part of the detour road and then the \\
\hline 16 & other issue was that road has to be removed. So \\
\hline 17 & whatever they put in that -- in building that road \\
\hline 18 & is going to be removed. \\
\hline 19 & Q. Looking at your demonstrative, are \\
\hline 20 & you able to show what -- are you able to -- does \\
\hline 21 & the low area, the existing grade, is that shown on \\
\hline 22 & this demonstrative? \\
\hline 23 & A. Outside of the construction limits, \\
\hline 24 & there is some grade elevations shown. \\
\hline & L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Q. Where is that?
A. If you look at the southeast side of Site 3, you'll see some faint lines with numbers of like 588, 587, 589, 586, I think it says, 588, 587.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Would the -would IDOTs contractor have used it within the embankment of Site 6 ?
A. No. Because it's -- it's a very small, you know, embankment that is being built at the end of the western end of Site 6 . It's maybe only a foot or so of embankment at that particular point, if that:

MS. BRICE: Objection again.
Entirely new opinion.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted.
Thank you, Ms. Brice.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Would you use your demonstrative and show the area.
A. Site 6 western end is marked right here around 920. At that particular place, it's the end of the embankment is going to end somewhere around 9 plus 00 . So at that time there
\[
\begin{aligned}
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& 312-419-9292
\end{aligned}
\]
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was very little embankment material that is occurring around there.
Q. And how do you know that about the amount of embankment material?
A. That is based upon the contract plans cross sections.
Q. Okay. And if you can go on, so where does the embankment begin on your demonstrative?
A. Roughly -- it's not really laid out other than there are some topographical grades, but roughly it's around at 9 is where the road itself is going to start coming above its present grade.
Q. And what is the western limit of Site 6?
A. On this figure, it is shown somewhere around 9 plus 20, 25.
Q. And do you know how much embankment material would be needed at that point?
A. It's maybe a foot.
Q. And how do you know that?
A. Based upon the contract cross sections for Greenwood Avenue.

```
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    1
    2
    ```
    MS. BRICE: I just have to state --
```

    MS. BRICE: I just have to state --
    objection -- state for the record this whole
    objection -- state for the record this whole
    theory is completely the antithesis of what was
    theory is completely the antithesis of what was
    stated in his --
    stated in his --
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, I
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, I
    disagree, but it's so noted and this I know
    disagree, but it's so noted and this I know
    dovetails from your offer of proof.
    dovetails from your offer of proof.
    MS. BRICE: I understand.
    MS. BRICE: I understand.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And
    that's what the three to four-page brief will do
    that's what the three to four-page brief will do
    come probably June 20th, but I note your objection
    come probably June 20th, but I note your objection
    and the record notes it. Thank you.
    and the record notes it. Thank you.
    BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
    BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
    Q. Turning to Exhibit 164.
    Q. Turning to Exhibit 164.
    A. Okay.
    A. Okay.
    Q. And the part of the exhibit that is
    Q. And the part of the exhibit that is
        noted as cross section A-A, can you just pull that
        noted as cross section A-A, can you just pull that
        out of your binder.
        out of your binder.
            Matching your demonstrative with
            Matching your demonstrative with
        this -- where does this cross section A-A come
        this -- where does this cross section A-A come
        from?
        from?
    A. It comes from the results that were
    A. It comes from the results that were
        identified in the Arcadis Engineering Evaluation
        identified in the Arcadis Engineering Evaluation
        and Cost Analysis Report.
    ```
        and Cost Analysis Report.
```
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    Q. If you can identify just for the record, Exhibit 164, does it have a figure number on it?
A. It has Figure 2 .
Q. Continue, please.
A. And it has -- and it also came from Mr. Dorgan's -- one of the figures that was provided at some point in this process regarding these cross sections that $I$ think was previously used and then what $I$ did is $I$ added soil borings number seven and number eight which are two borings that continued to the east along Greenwood Avenue to the south of -- to the south of Greenwood Avenue I should say.
Q. Is that 7 S and 8 S ?
A. Correct.
Q. If you could mark on your exhibit where station 7 approximately begins.
A. Okay.
Q. And how are you able to mark that with any degree of certainty?
A. I was utilizing the Figure 1 demonstrative that has the station numbers along the center line of Greenwood Avenue.
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Q. And, remind me, how much embankment material would have been needed at station 7 ?
A. At that point for -- in regards to Greenwood Avenue, it would be no material because that's the end of the project along Greenwood Avenue.
Q. And if you could mark on Figure 2, Exhibit 164, approximately where station 9 is located?
A. Okay.
Q. And how are you able to mark that with any certainty?
A. It's -- it's for the same reason that the Figure 1 Greenwood Avenue has the station names along the center line of Greenwood Avenue.
Q. And how much embankment material would have been needed at station 9 ?
A. About a foot.
Q. In looking at this cross section of A-A in regards to -- is asbestos-containing material shown on this cross section $A-A$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. What type of ACM material?
A. There is transite pipe identified,
there is roofing material, hard fibers materials,
raw material, insulation materials, brake shoes
and fibrous sludge.
Q. Would IDOT or its contractor have
used ACM fibrous sludge as an embankment material?
A. I do not believe so.
Q. Would IDOT or its contractor have
used ACM brake shoes as embankment material?
A. I do not believe so.
Q. How about roofing material?
A. Nope.
Q. Insulation material?
A. Nope.
MS. BRICE: Again, objection to a
new opinion.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted.
You may continue.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. It looks like there is ACM material
throughout the embankment -- Strike that.
It appears to be that there is
ACM material throughout the sampling results
displayed in cross section $A-A$, is that a fair
characterization?
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A. Yes, there is ACM material in every -- in every boring.
Q. And what about transite pipe?
A. There is not transite pipe in every one.
Q. How is transite depicted on this?
A. Transite pipe is marked in sort of dots is the best way $I$ can describe it, I guess.
Q. And are there -- it's displayed as what?
A. Like dots. Speckles.
Q. And are there some of these dots or speckles mixed in with this roofing material?
A. Yes.
Q. Moving to Figure 3 of Exhibit 164.

MS. BRICE: Could you hold for a second? It's not in the binder. It's not in your binder.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Is this it?
MS. BRICE: No.
THE WITNESS: It's in the back.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's A-A. It's not right behind -- can you take that?

MS. BRICE: For some reason, it's
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1 not, but do you mind if I take this one?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No. Please do.
MS. BRICE: Thanks. Are you going to ask him questions about $B-B$ ?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
MS. BRICE: Okay. This, I --
Mr. Gobelman testified in his deposition that there was no --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is this an objection?

MS. BRICE: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. You may proceed.

MS. BRICE: Sorry. He testified in his deposition that there was no asbestos on the northside embankment at all. This wasn't depicted in Mr. Dorgan's figure. This is entirely new out of left field information.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Well, maybe you can address that on your cross, but the objection is noted.

MS. BRICE: But if he is going to offer opinions on it, we object for the same reasons that this has never been -- it's coming up

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
> $312-419-9292$

1 for the first time here.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
And the question is regarding these diagrams?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. Just one more
foundation.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. On your demonstrative exhibit, is
this cross section $A-A$ shown on your demonstrative exhibit, which is --
A. Yes.
Q. -- now Exhibit 202 for
identification?
A. Yes.
Q. And how about cross section -- where is that located? Where is that shown on your demonstrative?
A. It's shown on the south end of -- of Greenwood Avenue going through the borings.
Q. And how about cross section $B-B$ ?
A. It is shown on the north end of

Greenwood Avenue.
Q. And did you create this diagram
cross section $B-B$ ?
A. Yes.

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Q. And how did you do that?
A. I utilized the materials that -- the
materials that are identified in the Arcadis
report of 2011.
Q. And what does this cross section $B-B$ tell you about ACM material?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry? BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. What does this cross section $B-B$ tell you about ACM material?
A. That it also exists on the northside of Greenwood Avenue.
Q. How is it depicted in this cross section $B-B$ ?
A. It's used in the same notations that were identified in the $A-A$ cross section.
Q. It also looks like there is an ACM fibrous paper here, is that also depicted?
A. Yes.
Q. Otherwise, ACM -- there is ACM
fibrous sludge?
A. Yes.
Q. There is roofing material?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. Yes.
Q. There is transite pipe?
A. Yes.
Q. In the cross section marked as $A-A$,
do you know if all unsuitable material identified
in this cross section was actually removed?
A. No, I do not.
Q. And why not?
A. Because the -- one, the plans don't show what materials were removed and there is actually a deduction in the volume of unsuitable material that shows that it was -- that there was a -- you know, 17 percent or 20 percent reduction in the amount of unsuitable material that was not removed because they found the material -- the existing conditions to be suitable enough for the road.

MS. BRICE: Objection. New opinion. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted.
Overruled. You may proceed.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And how do you know that? Was that
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|  | Page 180 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | in the materials furnished to Johns Manville in |
| 2 | this matter? |
| 3 | A. Yes, it was in the plans giving the |
| 4 | quantities that was bid upon and then there was |
| 5 | the final change order that identified what the |
| 6 | deductions were. |
| 7 | Q. Can you turn to Exhibit 35? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. Is this the document that you were |
| 10 | referring to? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. And are you able to calculate the |
| 13 | percent reduction? |
| 14 | A. Not in my head, but yes. |
| 15 | Q. Is there something that would |
| 16 | refresh your recollection? |
| 17 | A. Well, I had calculated it, you know, |
| 18 | before. |
| 19 | Q. Would this refresh your |
| 20 | recollection? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | MS. BRICE: Okay. I'm going to |
| 23 | object. |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |

1 Ms. O'Laughlin you were objecting to the same
2 thing that Ms. Brice did.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Since then I think you finally got around your witness as far as volume. You finally got the answer in that you asked of --

MS. BRICE: We ended up getting a percentage. We didn't get the number.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm going to give you leave to get a percentage in.

MS. BRICE: I got the percentage in. I didn't get the number.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can get the number in.

MS. BRICE: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Because do you remember you objected?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes. If I recall, I objected and then Ms. Brice showed it to me and I said you can show it to him and then she turned around and decided not to.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Since then, I went back to my bargaining days, but in
L.A. Court Reporters, L.I.C.
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any event, yes, you can get your number in. Let me know how you want --

MS. BRICE: Thank you. Mr. Ebihara can get the number in.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yeah, I had no objection to her approaching the witness. I just wanted to look at it first and I'd be happy to show it to Ms. Brice as well.

MS. BRICE: That was not my understanding. You said I couldn't show it. So I was thinking that $I$ couldn't. So go ahead. However --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You objected.

MS. BRICE: Sure. No. Right. However, you'd like to proceed.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I thought they objected. So next time let me know whether you do or not. I heard an objection.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I apologize.
HEARING OFEICER HALLORAN: No. No apologies. In any event, here we are. She is going to get her number in and you're going to show him.
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MS. O'LAUGHLIN: May I approach the witness?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Does this refresh your recollection?
A. Yeah. Because what I did is I looked at the -- the total bid quantity that was being -- that the contractor had to bid upon the removal of unsuitable material which was 44,809 cubic yards and then the final deductions it's -it is -- there was 14,700 cubic yards of unsuitable material that was not removed. And so when you calculate the percentage of that, it is roughly 32.8 percent reduction in the amount of unsuitable materials that were -- that was scheduled to be removed, but left onsite because the material was suitable for roadwork.

MS. BRICE: Objection. That's a new opinion.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If you could turn to -- for the record, we'd like to move Exhibit 202 into evidence, into the record here.

MS. BRICE: We object because
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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    1 it's -- the foundation of it is all sorts of new
    2 opinions.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you object to the exhibit itself?

MS. BRICE: The exhibit itself as far as what it's showing I don't know because there were changes made to it and I haven't had a chance to know if they're accurate or not.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, you
know what, I'll reserve ruling until June $23 r d$. That should give you plenty of time to take a look at it.

MS. BRICE: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: SO I'll mark that on my notes. Thank you. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. If you could turn to the exhibit that is your -- Mr. Gobelman's deposition, which is --

```
                            MR. MCGINLEY: It's 4C.
```

        BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
    Q. It's 4C.
A. Okay.
Q. I'm going to ask you to move to the
exhibits to your deposition.
A. Okay.
Q. One second, please. If you can turn to -- turn to page 04C-481.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you see what has been marked as Exhibit No. 9?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this the document that you earlier testified to regarding the percentage reduction in the embankment?
A. It's where I received the deductions that were coming from the unsuitable, the borrow and the coarse granular embankment. It's the final authorization 18.
Q. Is that the same document as Exhibit 35 that you testified to earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. Turning the page -- what exhibit is that marked as, the document that I just asked you about?
A. It's marked as -- this is Exhibit 04C-481 and it is marked as Exhibit No. 9.
Q. During your deposition?
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A. During my deposition.
Q. If you can turn the page.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What is
it here, Exhibit 4?
THE WITNESS: 04C-481.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Thank you.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Turning the page is it marked for the trial exhibits as 04C-482?
A. 482, yes.
Q. And do you know what number is marked as your deposition exhibit?
A. It's marked as Exhibit No. 11.
Q. And we jumped from Exhibit 9 to Exhibit 11?
A. Yes.
Q. I just wanted to lay that foundation so I could ask you about these aerial photographs, which I believe were inadvertently left out of the record, the exhibits.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Your --
your record?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No. The exhibit
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 binders we received Monday morning and I'd like to
2 ask Mr. Gobelman about some exhibits that should
3 have been in the binder, but they're not.
4 Unintentionally, I'm sure. And I'd like to
5 establish that they were -- should be there and to
6 lay the foundation so that I can ask him about
7 them.

MS. BRICE: Have they been produced?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Have you talked to counsel about this?

MS. BRICE: They were part of the deposition --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Let's just go
through it and you can object if you want, but I'm asking him questions about -- photographs that you asked about to him during his deposition.

MS. BRICE: Sure. Understood. But have these been produced by somebody at some point?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: You asked -- they were in -- they're an exhibit to his deposition.

MS. BRICE: I get that, but --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's go off the record.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. I'd like to show you, Mr. Gobelman, the aerial photograph dated June Ilth, 1970, which has -- which has been identified as Exhibit 54-S in this proceeding.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: $S$ as in
Sam?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: $S$ as in Sam,
correct.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Okay.

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Have you seen this before?
A. I've seen the aerial photo and aerial stereo sets of this photo, yeah.
Q. Can you display it so we can all see it, if you can. Multitalented. And can you identify Exhibit -- excuse me, Site 3 on this. You can just point to it to give an orientation.
A. It's roughly coming down I'm not
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 sure how far down it's going to come, but it 2 starts over here at the turn and then comes down

3 across and up somewhere in this area.
sure how far down it's going to come, but it
starts over here at the turn and then comes down
Q. And do you see any pipes or any
material -- excuse me. Strike that. Do you see
any pipes in this aerial photograph?
A. In this blowup, it's difficult to
identify what is in this aerial.
Q. Do you see any demarcations of
parking spaces?
A. No.
Q. Do you see any pipes?
A. Like I said, it's very hard to tell what is in this area because the blowup distorts the system.
Q. And do you see any cars parked there?
A. No.
Q. Could this perhaps have been a Saturday or a Sunday, a non-working day?
A. I believe this is a working day because there appears to be cars in the other parking lot.
Q. And so what does this aerial
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 photograph suggest to you about the use of the parking lot located on Site 3 ?
A. Well, it appears that at the time that the parking lot was no longer being used or is not being used.
Q. Okay. Is there anything of significance that you see in this aerial photo?
A. It's hard to say. It doesn't seem anything out of the ordinary that I can tell that is occurring here.
Q. Okay. If you can next move to the aerial photograph of 1972, which has been identified as Exhibit $54-\mathrm{Q}$ in this matter.
A. Okay.
Q. And what does this aerial photograph depict?
A. It depicts the -- it's sort of the beginning, middle of the construction project probably more towards the beginning than the middle that shows -- it shows the location of detour road A that comes around from Greenwood Avenue from the northeast to the southwest. It ends to the southern part of sand Street. It shows a portion of detour road $B$ that T's off of
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1 detour road A and moves upward to the north and then it goes further up off the figure and it sort of shows a sliver of detour road $A$ that is in the northwest corner of this blowup that cuts across the map.
Q. I'm sorry. Did you mean detour road A or --
A. C. I thought I said C. I didn't.
Q. Anything -- can you point out the location of the parking lot at issue here?
A. At this time you cannot make out the parking lot. It could have been roughly in this area.
Q. And what about where is Site 6?
A. Site 6 would have been somewhere to the west of where detour road $A$ comes in to Glenwood (sic) and then moves to the east along Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Is there anything else of significance on this aerial photo?
A. It's already depicting the bridge embankment associated with the Amstutz Expressway. Already gone because they had a jump in the process and then sort of the beginning process of
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1 the railroad bridge and that's about all the
2 construction, you know. There is some access
3 roads that are here, but this appears to be the
4 places between the bridges, it looks like backfill
5 is going in for the embankment. I guess that's
6 about it as far as the construction.

7

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry.
MS. BRICE: I don't think we have a blowup of that, at least not here.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We can go
off the record until we figure it out.
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're
back on the record.
MS. BRICE: I think -- sorry. Just
to help clarify. I think $54-\mathrm{R}$ is 72.
THE WITNESS: It is.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
L.A. Court Reporters, L.I.C.
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1 some of the material -- some of the ground was
2 disturbed, do you recall that?
parking lot within Site 3 .
Q. Do you see a, quote, unquote,
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1 disturbance in this photo?
MS. BRICE: This is 54-Q.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 54-Q.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 54-Q.
Okay. Thank you.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. What was the question again?
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Do you see a disturbed area of ground outside three?
A. Yes.
Q. And then turning your attention to Exhibit 31-1-2 and 3 .
A. Okay. Thirty-one. And what were the numbers after?
Q. $31-1-2$ and 3, I believe.
A. Okay.
Q. Can you identify that document?
A. It's a change order authorization number five dated October 19th, 1972, and the authorization was to allow the contractor to
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install drains so that the area in the middle of the connection of Greenwood detour road $A$ and $B$ can properly drain.
Q. And what was the date of the change order?
A. The change order authorization -the change order was October 19th of '72, but it was authorized or approved I should say on November 2 nd of ' 72 .
Q. And this aerial photograph from '72, what is the date of this aerial photograph?
A. I'd have to look at the -- the blowup doesn't have the ' 72 date on it. It just has the year.
Q. If I represented and told you it was October 26 th, 1972 , because the copy we have the photograph doesn't have the precise date --
A. Yes, that would be accurate.
Q. And is October 26th, 1972, close in time to November 2nd, 1972, and October 19th, 1972?
A. It would be right around -- the photo was taken probably right after the submission of this change order.
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Q. And would this change order explain the darker area on Site 3 ?
A. It would explain the disturbance area of -- within that triangle area.
Q. On this aerial photograph, did you look at this in stereo?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And did you observe any piles?
A. No, I never observed any piles.

MS. BRICE: Objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go ahead, Ms. Brice.

MS. BRICE: Same objections.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Stereo?
MS. BRICE: New -- stereo. New -new opinion.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted.
MR. MCGINLEY: Can we respond?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can we put
something into the record on these objections, on these aerial photos?

MR. MCGINLEY: It is simply this. Ms. Brice questioned Mr. Gobelman extensively about the aerial photos that he looked at in the
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1 formation of his opinion and I would call -- I don't have the exhibit number pages handy, but pages 198 through 200 certainly represent extensive discussions about that. Furthermore, there was only two instances during the deposition where she asked about his qualifications. So, I mean, to the extent --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What
document are you looking at, Mr. McGinley?
MR. MCGINLEY: This is --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Exhibit 4F, I believe.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What I said earlier the objection is noted. Your guy's homework over the next few weeks is to brief those -- the offer of proofs that I've done. One was the cross section and then the stereos. The stereo scope, is that --

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
MS. BRICE: I was assuming that it would also apply to my -- these other objections that I've made that are not the same -- that is not the same objection because they're new opinions that are completely different from the
L.A. Court Reporters, L.I.C.
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1 ones you're talking about.
ones you're talking about.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: The other
new opinions?

MS. BRICE: When $I$ was saying new opinion, it was a new opinion that wasn't related to those two opinions. It was a completely new entire opinion that has no relationship to those other two. So I was thinking we were briefing them all. We haven't done --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can brief them all. You'll have the transcript by then.

MS. BRICE: Right.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think we have to learn how to get along because I think we're splitting hairs and it's got to stop. I understand your objections, but -- but we have to move on. This witness has been here four-and-a-half hours already and the parties represented to me that it will probably go two, maybe three days and we're not even done with direct of Mr. Gobelman, but, yeah, you can brief your objections. We'll get the transcript back. I'll take a look at them regarding all these new
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Page 200
1 opinions that supposedly aren't in his -- his
2 report or the deposition or the things that had
3 been manufactured after his report or deposition
4 that was brought in by various other people. So
5 that's my -- that's my ruling.

HEARING OFEICER HALLORAN: Thank you. You may proceed. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Next, if you can turn to your demonstrative exhibit that has been marked as Exhibit 202.
A. Okay.
Q. I'd like to ask you about the location of $A C M$ related to the location of utility lines.
A. I believe that there is a strong indication that the asbestos-containing material follows a lot of the utility lines.
Q. Can you expand on that? Which utility line? For instance, in cross section $A-A$ in that vicinity? What utility lines are located in that area?
A. Well, between $A$ and $A$ prime is --
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

1 there is telephone, there is gas, there is
2 electric and there is fiberoptics. I think that
3 catches them all. Yeah, I don't think there is
4 water in the $A$ to $A$ prime.
Q. How could ACM come to have been buried within installation or maintenance of utility lines?
A. Well, when -- if they were excavating out depending on the types of excavation, but if they were excavating out of the trench, then they're going to excavate out the top portion of the material and place it beside the trench that they're excavating and then take another bucket of material and place that on top of the first bucket and they will continue on in that process so that you end up -- beside the trench you'll end up what was on the surface being at the bottom of this new pile and what is at the base where they want to put the utility is now on top of the pile.
And so then after they have
installed what they want to backfill the typical procedure would be for the contractor to just move that material back into the hole as quickly as
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

$$
312-419-9292
$$

1 possible. So there is basically going to be either a flip of the material or at best it is going to just be a commingling of that material within the horizons that were excavated.

MS. BRICE: And just for the record I'm not sure if this is a new opinion or not, but I want to note it because it seems different from the one that was offered.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: You can brief that as well.

MS. BRICE: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. So noted. You may continue. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Is that a process by which ACM on the surface could cause to be buried --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and appear below surface?
A. Yes.
Q. Moving to -- further south on your demonstrative the -- some of the sampling that occurs B3-22 in the middle of the parking lot in the middle -- in the middle of Site 3 .
A. Yes.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Q. There is a line marked I believe it's G, is that correct?
A. Yes, it's a gas line that runs east/west through the middle of Site 3 .
Q. And can you explain the sampling results in relation to the gas line?
A. Well, when you look at the subsurface impacts, there are a number of samples that correspond to that gas main that I believe was installed back in the ' 40 s.
Q. And is there areas of ACM contamination that is aligned with the gas line?
A. There is -- there is not only asbestos-containing material fibers that were identified in those borings, but there was also transite pipe identified in borings near that gas line.
Q. Is there another possibility of how ACM material could have come to be buried on Site 3? For instance, the continual bumping of pipe.
A. Well, there was noted that transite pipe was used as borders and bumpers since the '60s and maybe even the early ' 50 s and the continuing use of those bumpers through
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 weathering and through cars running into them
2 would have naturally broken a number of those
3 things that they would have to replace them and
4 move -- you could have moved the excess material
5 off the parking lot and replace them with newer
6 transite pipes.
7
8 remediation that is being required by US EPA for Site 3 and Site 6?
A. Yes, I'm familiar with what is being required and what is being required there, yes. Q. And what is US EPA -- excuse me. What is US EPAs concern regarding remediation on Site 3?
A. Their main concern is to make sure that for all future that there isn't going to be any exposure to asbestos-containing material whether it's airborne, through the air to nearby residents, or by utility workers that have to maintain utility lines that are going through this area.
Q. And what about the freeze/thaw
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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cycle, how does that play in?
A. Well, it plays a part if nothing is going to be done there as far as -- it's a cycle that exists, but once you're installing a cap on it you've reduced the depth of the freeze/thaw cycle and with the cap and that reduction of that freeze -- the frost line being elevated then you've eliminated that freeze/thaw cycle.
Q. Are there utilities located on the Illinois State Beach Park?
A. I'm not aware of any underground utilities.
Q. If not for the transite pipe, would the remedy have been different for Site 3 ?
A. I don't believe so. I think the remedy that US EPA is proposing would be the same.
Q. How about Site 6?
A. I believe it would be the same.
Q. Is one of the other issues with the Illinois State Beach Park the fact that the ACM material is less friable, is that a factor?
A. It's hard to say. I mean, the material washing up at some point is wet, which makes it less friable, but then it dries out if it
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|  | Page 207 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. That would be -- it's the same. It |
| 2 | would be the same conclusion because there are |
| 3 | other materials there other than transite pipe. |
| 4 | So those -- those corridors would still have to be |
| 5 | protected to allow workers to work in those areas |
| 6 | or any future utilities that want to be installed. |
| 7 | Q. And what is your experience with |
| 8 | remediation issues, for example? |
| 9 | A. Issues of what? |
| 10 | Q. Remediation issues of contaminated |
| 11 | sites in general. |
| 12 | A. Well -- |
| 13 | Q. What is your experience? I just |
| 14 | want to get your background experience in |
| 15 | analyzing these types of issues. |
| 16 | A. Well, when I was at EPA, I was a |
| 17 | project manager dealing with state funding |
| 18 | cleanups and also overseeing voluntary cleanups |
| 19 | where I reviewed hundreds of reports of -- I think |
| 20 | at one point I might have had a hundred or so |
| 21 | projects that I was overseeing in the voluntary |
| 22 | cleanup process and plus I've done probably 20 or |
| 23 | so site funded remediations. I also as part of |
| 24 | IDOT being in charge of the soil and groundwater |
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```
1 investigation was also on sites in construction
projects dealing with projects dealing with the management of those soils and not -- you know, so I viewed, you know, probably thousands of reports that we investigated along our roadway since ' 93.
I was also involved in the
management of those -- the results of those
    reports that were put in the construction plans.
    In some instances, if there was confusion or
problems, I would have to go out in the
construction and deal with those issues in
    construction as well. They dealt with analytical
    of all types, you know, from volatile,
    semi-volatile, metals, PCB impacts.
    Q. Thank you. In your opinion, did
        IDOT use, spread, place nor dispose of ACM on Site
        3?
            A. I do not believe that is possible.
            Q. Is it your opinion that IDOT did not
        use, spread, place, nor dispose of ACM on Site 6?
            A. I do not believe that was possible.
            Q. If we can just take a short break, I
        believe we'll wrap up. Would that be okay?
                        HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Why would
```
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|  | Page 209 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | you need a short break? |
| 2 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: To just cleanup and |
| 3 | see if there is anything more we want to go into. |
| 4 | I think we're about done, but if I can have a |
| 5 | short break just to make sure we've covered |
| 6 | everything. |
| 7 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All |
| 8 | right. And, Ms. Brice, I'm sure you'll have a |
| 9 | long cross because it was a long direct. Let's go |
| 10 | off the record. |
| 11 | (Whereupon, a break was taken |
| 12 | after which the following |
| 13 | proceedings were had.) |
| 14 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 15 | We're back on the record. Ms. O'Laughlin was |
| 16 | trying to figure out if she had any more questions |
| 17 | for direct. |
| 18 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We have nothing |
| 19 | further. |
| 20 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Nothing |
| 21 | further. Okay. Ms. Brice, cross, please. Thank |
| 22 | you. |
| 23 | MS. BRICE: Thank you. |
| 24 |  |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |
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A. Supplemental report to what?
Q. To supplement any opinions that you had, did you provide a supplemental report?
A. No.
Q. Is it fair to say that many of the opinions you are offering in this case deal with what you believe happened during the Amstutz Project from approximately 1970 to '76?
A. Yes.
Q. And these opinions are based upon your expertise in IDOT historic practices and construction methodologies, correct?
A. Among other things, yes.
Q. Okay. If you can turn to your deposition at page 66, please.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Which
exhibit is that, Ms. Brice?
MS. BRICE: He has it in front of him and we marked it earlier. It's 04-C.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. What exhibit is --
MS. CAISMAN: 4C.
HEARING OFEICER HALLORAN: 4C.
Thank you.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292
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1 BY MS. BRICE:
Q. And we are on the deposition page of

60-- 65. Okay. Question at line 24.
Question: So you are
offering -- are you offering any opinion on how the asbestos currently buried on Sites 3 and 6 became buried on Sites 3 and 6?

Answer: My opinions were based upon the IDOT construction methodology and how IDOT did its work.

Question: Right. But I want to know what your opinion is, how did it get there? How did the asbestos on Sites 3 and 6 that is buried on 3 and 6 get there? Are you offering an opinion on that or not?

Answer: I believe the only opinion that is in my report had to do with utilities and their being installed through asbestos-containing material and being maintained in asbestos-containing material.

Question: Okay. But are you saying that's how it got there or that's a possibility?

Answer: I'm saying that
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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those -- material was there and that the
installation of utilities would have potentially
moved that to a different horizon from which it
was originally in.
Did you say those things in your
deposition?
A. That's not all on 65 .
Q. It's 65 to 66 .
A. I didn't --
Q. I apologize.
A. That's what is written in the
transcript, yes.
Q. Now, obviously, you did not work for
IDOT in the 1970 s, correct?
A. No.
Q. And you did not start to work for
IDOT until 1994, right?
A. No.
Q. No? Okay. When did you start to
work for IDOT?
A. $\quad 1993$.
Q. I don't think it's worth pointing
out that you said something different in your
deposition, but that's fine.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Q. Other than this case, are you doing other work for IDOT through Andrews?
A. I work on those contracts that Andrews has with IDOT. Yes, other contracts.
Q. And how many contracts are those approximately?
A. I believe there is two -- well, there is two open contracts.
Q. Okay. And since you've been working for Andrews almost a year, how many contracts for IDOT have you worked on?
A. I suspect it would be three contracts.
Q. Okay. Can you explain to me what you mean by contracts?
A. Well, the contracts that are at issue to our statewide -- statewide consultants through IDOT are a contract that is issued that is a work order derived contract. So the contract itself is just for a period of time for a certain volume of money that is put into those contracts and it is distributed based upon the work that is assigned to those consultants through a work order.

1
Q. Okay. So since you began working with Andrews, how many work orders have you worked on for IDOT?
A. I would say somewhere in the neighborhood of 30 or 40 I would think. Somewhere in that neighborhood.
Q. Thank you. As to your expertise in this matter, you've not taken any special courses on IDOTs historic road and bridge construction practices, have you?
A. I do not believe one exists.
Q. Okay. You claim, do you not, that you taught yourself about these practices, right?
A. Which practices?
Q. The ones you're testifying about. There were historic practices -- there were many opinions in your report at least that were based upon IDOTs historic practices, isn't that true?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that's what I'm trying to figure out is you taught yourself about those practices, right?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Well, if you can turn to page
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Answer: Yes, I have reviewed the 1970 spec book.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So other than that, did you collect
a bunch of IDOT project files from the 1970 s to study to learn about these historic practices?
A. No.
Q. Actually, isn't it true that you
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Page 218
1 just read one historic file to become an expert on
2 IDOTs historic road and bridge construction
3 practices?
4

6 in your expert report. Not your expert report.
7 I'm sorry. Your deposition.
8

9 book outside of this project for things -- how things were done in the 197- -- how they did in the spec book.

Question: I'm sorry. I'm confused by your answer. You reviewed the spec book, right?

Answer: Correct.
Question: What else have you done to become an expert on how materials were handled by IDOT and its contractors in the 1970s?

Answer: You're asking me a question that is related to the entirety of all IDOT work --
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|  | Page 219 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Question: Sure. |
| 2 | Answer: -- in the 1970s? |
| 3 | Question: Sure. |
| 4 | Answer: And in doing so, I |
| 5 | reviewed the spec book in regards to how IDOT |
| 6 | managed materials -- |
| 7 | Question: So other than that -- |
| 8 | Answer: -- other than what's in |
| 9 | this case. |
| 10 | Question: So you reviewed the |
| 11 | materials in this case and you reviewed the spec |
| 12 | book, is that your answer? |
| 13 | Answer: Yes. |
| 14 | Do you see that? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. Since you did not study an |
| 17 | assortment of files, you must have interviewed a |
| 18 | bunch of people who worked at IDOT on IDOT |
| 19 | projects from the 1970s to educate yourself, |
| 20 | right? |
| 21 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. |
| 22 | Mischaracterizes his testimony. |
| 23 | MS. BRICE: It's a question. |
| 24 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |
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|  | Page 220 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I'll allow it. Yes, I will allow it. |
| 2 | BY THE WITNESS: |
| 3 | A. Could you ask it again, please? |
| 4 | BY MS. BRICE: |
| 5 | Q. Sure. Since you didn't study an |
| 6 | assortment of files, you must have interviewed |
| 7 | folks that worked on IDOT projects from the 1970s |
| 8 | to educate yourself about their practices, right? |
| 9 | A. I don't believe so. |
| 10 | Q. In fact, you never spoke to anyone |
|  | who did road and bridge projects in the 1970s for |
| 12 | IDOT or its contractors to ask them how they |
| 13 | handled materials, have you? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. All you know about IDOTs historical |
| 16 | practices from the 1970 s is what is in the file in |
| 17 | this case and the specifications, correct? |
| 18 | A. No. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. I think we already went |
| 20 | through that on page 60. So we already agree that |
|  | you testified to what you testified on page 60. |
| 22 | While at IDOT from 1993 to 2000 |
|  | and from 2011 until August of 2015, you were |
| 24 | responsible for conducting assessments and |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | investigations of special waste, is that right? |
| 2 | A. Throughout most of that, yes, I was |
| 3 | investigating special waste investigations. |
| 4 | Q. And this work was from District 1, |
| 5 | right? |
| 6 | A. It was statewide. |
| 7 | Q. Statewide. Okay. And were you the |
| 8 | special waste coordinator during these timeframes? |
| 9 | A. The central office does not have |
| 10 | special waste coordinators. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Did you serve -- how were |
| 12 | you -- what was your relationship to the special |
| 13 | coordinator for District 1? |
| 14 | A. I was their -- I was the person that |
| 15 | they submitted the information to process their |
| 16 | work that needed to get done in their district. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. And would you see all of the |
| 18 | information that was -- would they -- so they |
| 19 | would gather information and then submit it to you |
| 20 | and what would you do with it? |
| 21 | A. I would task it to -- if necessary, |
| 22 | to the appropriate statewide consultant for an |
| 23 | investigation. |
| 24 | Q. And then were you the one overseeing |
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1 these -- the consultants doing the investigations?
A. Yes.
Q. I believe you testified in your
deposition that you were a hundred percent certain
that your opinions you were offering in this case are correct, isn't that right?
A. I believe I stated that.
Q. Let's talk about how you arrived at these opinions concerning the Amstutz Project and its historical practices.

The project was from roughly '70
through '77, correct?
A. I don't believe it was that long, but -- or -- it started roughly ' 71 to maybe ' 75.
Q. Okay. Are there any people who actually worked on the project still around?
A. No.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Based upon the $104(e)$, the only person that was able to be tracked down was Duane Mapes and I believe he passed away within six or so months after the interview with Randy Schick.
Q. Other than Duane Mapes, did you attempt to locate anyone who worked on the project
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1 in the 1970 s in the course of working on this?
A. I did not.
Q. And how do you know that Duane Mapes would be the only person from IDOT that ever worked on this project in the 1970s?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. Mischaracterizes his testimony.

MS. BRICE: Sorry. I think you're right. I did say the wrong thing. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. You said Duane Mapes was the only person who would be tracked down, how do you know that?
A. Because he was the only person interviewed.
Q. Okay. But that doesn't mean that he was the only person from IDOT that ever worked on the Amstutz Project in the 1970s, does it?
A. It does to me.
Q. Didn't you testify in your deposition that you didn't bother to find anybody around because it was, quote, such an old project I didn't think there was anyone around anymore? Did you say that?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. I don't recall saying it.
Q. Okay. Would you disagree with me if

```
I told you you said that?
```

A. It would be hard for me to say
whether I did or didn't say that.
Q. Okay. Let's take a look then on page 31 of your deposition. Are you there?
A. Yes. I'm sorry.
Q. I'm on page 31 at line ten.

Question: Did you attempt to locate anyone who worked on the project in the 1970 s in the course of working on this?

Answer: No. Sorry.
Question: Why not?
Answer: Well -- it was -- I
think my perception was that there was no one else alive.

Question: And why was that, did someone tell you that? Why was that your perception?

Answer: Well, I -- because it was such an old project $I$ did not think that there was anyone around anymore.

Now, do you recall saying that?
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A. If it's written that way.
Q. Don't you think that someone who actually worked on the project would be a good source of information as to how IDOTs historical practices were actually applied to the Amstutz Project?
A. Yes.
Q. But you didn't talk to anyone who worked on it, right?
A. No.
Q. In fact, you didn't try to find anybody who worked on it, isn't that true?
A. No. No. Or yes for --
Q. If somebody was 20 years old and working on the project in 1975, right now they would be 61, isn't that true?
A. I guess, yes.
Q. Couldn't you have talked to IDOT HR or someone similarly situated to try to figure out how to locate some of these folks identified in the records? There are names in the records, right?
A. I don't know. I'm not aware.
Q. Well, you've seen lots of IDOT
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1 documents, have you not, in the record?
documents, have you not, in the record?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is lots of people's names
on there, people who worked for IDOT, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You could have gone to $H R$ at IDOT to
try to figure out whether these people were
actually still alive, couldn't you?

MR. MCGINLEY: I'm going to object at this point. You know, Mr. Gobelman was not -Mr. Gobelman was supporting Randy Schick's work in trying to ascertain the information and I think it's pretty clear from Mr. Gobelman's earlier testimony and what we know about this is that all he did was pull information for Randy Schick. I mean, that wasn't his job.

MS. BRICE: I'm not talking about that. I'm talking about for his expert report. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I think it's fair game, particularly what happened on direct, but your objection is noted. You may continue.

MS. BRICE: I'm -- this inquiry is
intended to be going to what he did with respect
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 to his expert report and I'm sorry if that was not 2 clear.

3 BY MS. BRICE:

4
Q. Did you understand that's what I was asking, Mr. Gobelman?
A. I have no idea what you're asking.

I was just answering your questions.
Q. Okay. Would your answers change -MS. BRICE: Do we have to go back
through it?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We probably better, make it cleaner and clearer.

MS. BRICE: Okay.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. We established that your opinions are based upon your expertise in IDOTs historic practices and construction methodologies, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm referring to the opinions that you're offering in this case, correct? You understand that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So this line of question -questioning relates to your opinions being offered

[^5]May 25, 2016

2

```
1 in this matter. All right?
in this matter. All right?
    A. Okay.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. This is the opinions in his report?
    MS. BRICE: Correct.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's
what I understood.
    MS. BRICE: And/or opinions being
offered that are new opinions being offered today.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's --
    MS. BRICE: Any opinions.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may
proceed.
    MS. BRICE: Correct.
BY MS. BRICE:
    Q. And with respect to these opinions I
    had asked you if you had taken any special courses
    on IDOTs historic road and bridge construction
    practices in order to become an expert on this
        topic and you said no, I believe, is that correct?
        A. No.
            MR. MCGINLEY: Objection. Misstates
        his testimony.
```

        L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. What is your answer to the question?
A. I do not believe they exist.
Q. Okay. But you didn't take them, did you, you didn't take any?
A. I cannot take what is not existing.
Q. Rather you claim you taught yourself about these practices, right?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Let's turn back to page 59 of your deposition. On line 17

Question: Have you ever
attempted to study how IDOT or its contractors handled materials on road and bridge construction projects in the 1970s?

There was an objection.
Answer: Yes, I have reviewed
the 1970 spec book.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then further down on page 60 I ask

Question: What else have you
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 done to become an expert on how materials were
2 handled by IDOT and its contractors in the 1970s?

Question: Sure.
Answer: -- in the 70 s ?
Question: Sure.
Answer: And in doing so, I reviewed the spec book in regards to how IDOT managed materials --

Question: So other than that --
Answer: -- other than what's in this case?

Question: Okay. So you reviewed the materials in the spec book and you reviewed -- I mean, you reviewed the materials in this case and you reviewed the spec book, is that your answer?

Answer: Yes.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Then I said did you collect a bunch of IDOT project files from the 1970 s to
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Page 231

```
1 study to learn about these historic practices, did you?
A. Are you reading from the transcript or are you asking me a question?
Q. I'm asking you a question.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Did you collect a bunch of IDOT project files from the 1970 s to study to learn about these historic practices?
A. No.
Q. Actually, isn't it true that you just read one historic file to become an expert on IDOTs historic road and bridge construction practices?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I will refer back to what we just read. And that one file was the file in this case, correct?
A. You lost me. What file?
Q. The project file in this case.
A. Yes.
Q. You testified in your deposition that in order to become an expert on this you read the project file in this case and the spec book,
```
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```
isn't that true?
    A. Correct.
    Q. Did you do anything else?
    A. In regards to this case, no.
    Q. Then I said since you didn't study
    an assortment of files you must have interviewed
    folks that worked on IDOT projects from the '70s
    to educate yourself about their practices, but you
    didn't do this, did you?
    A. Correct.
    Q. In fact, you've never spoken to
    anyone who did road and bridge construction
    projects in the 1970s for IDOT or its contractors
    to ask them how they handled materials, have you?
    A. Correct.
    Q. All you know about IDOTs historical
    practices from the 1970s is what is in the file
    and the standard specifications, right?
    A. Correct.
    Q. While at IDOT from 1990- -- I don't
        think we have to go through that. You remember
        those questions about special -- special waste and
        division one and being -- were those questions
        clear to you?
```

            L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
                        312-419-9292
    A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. Okay. Then we talked about how you're a hundred percent certain that the opinions you are offering here today are correct, right, or at least the ones that you -let me put it this way.

I was questioning you about this report, isn't that right, Exhibit 8 when $I$ took your deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. And you told me, did you not, that you are one hundred percent certain that the opinions contained in this report were correct, isn't that what you told me?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's talk about how you arrived at these opinions. Okay.

We talked about -- I asked are there any people who actually worked on the project still around. What is your answer to that?
A. They don't exist.
Q. I said "Don't you think that someone who actually worked on the project would be a good
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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|  | Page 234 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | source of information as to how IDOTs historical |
| 2 | practices were actually applied to the project"? |
| 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. But you didn't talk to anyone who |
| 5 | ever worked on the project, correct? |
| 6 | A. I don't know. |
| 7 | Q. Well, earlier, you said that you |
| 8 | didn't? |
| 9 | A. I believe you asked me a different |
| 10 | question. |
| 11 | Q. No, I asked exactly the same |
| 12 | question. |
| 13 | A. The answer to your last question is |
| 14 | I don't know. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Turn to page 29 of your |
| 16 | deposition, please. I'm at line 16. |
| 17 | Question: Did you ever talk to |
| 18 | anyone that worked on the project in the 1970s? |
| 19 | Answer: No. |
| 20 | Question: Have you ever talked |
| 21 | to anyone at any time who worked on the project in |
| 22 | the 1970s? |
| 23 | Answer: No. |
| 24 | Do you see that? |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |
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1
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, you didn't even try to find anyone who had worked on the project in the 1970s, did you?
A. Correct.
Q. You thought that it was -- the project was so old that no one would be around, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And I said if someone was working -was 20 years old and working on the project in 1975 they would now be 61, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Then I said couldn't you talk to IDOT HR or someone similarly situated to figure out how to locate some of the folks identified in the records?
A. No, I couldn't.
Q. You could not have done that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Well, that's not what you said a few minutes ago.
A. No.
Q. IDOT HR does not have records of

May 25, 2016

1 people who work with IDOT?
A. IDOT doesn't have an HR.
Q. Well, IDOT has some form of Human

Resources department somewhere in IDOT where people are able to follow the historic employees, right, some people get, you know, pensions, but I can't really go through -- but there is a system for tracking employees from IDOT, isn't that true?
A. There was a system to track
employees, I would assume.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. May I
ask a clarification? If she is -- on the
timeframe of the -- is she requesting this
information about in preparation for doing the report in 2014 or in response to the 104 (e) request --

MS. BRICE: These are --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- in 2000? I'm just not clear. If I can get a clarification --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
Brice?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- and the

```
timeframe of the --
```

MS. BRICE: This is all in preparing
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292
opinions.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you.
MS. BRICE: Okay.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. So do you now understand that?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Looking at your --
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I understand. I believe I'm
answering your question.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. Is there a source within IDOT
that you could go to to find out if someone who
worked on the Amstutz Project in the 1970 s was
still alive?
A. No.
Q. How about is there a source within
the State of Illinois that you could go to to find
out if there is someone who worked on the Amstutz
Project still alive?
A. I would assume so.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
312-419-9292
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Q. Did you do that?
A. No.
Q. But that would have been a good idea, don't you think?
A. If I believe someone existed, yes.
Q. Okay. But someone who was once again 20 in 1975 would be 61, isn't that true?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And they could actually still be an IDOT employee, isn't that true?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to mark Exhibit 91, please.

MS. BRICE: Sorry. If you don't
mind, could I approach?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may.
MS. BRICE: Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Sorry. My copy is missing. Do you have 91? Thank you. And I am turning to Exhibit 91-2, do you see this?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review this document in preparing for your expert report and opinion in this matter?
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Q. Pardon me. Seven?
A. I think.
Q. Thanks. Did you try to find Ray

Rickert?
A. No.
Q. Did you try and find Mr. Riddle?
A. No.
Q. Did you try and find Mr. Mullejons?
A. No.
Q. Did you try and find Mr. Hall?
A. No.
Q. How about Mr. Lorentzen?
A. No.
Q. How about Mr. Blum?
A. No.
Q. And I might be missing one, but -thank you. Did you talk to anyone with the contractor Bolander Construction?
A. No.
Q. Did you try to find anyone from the contracting company Bolander?
A. No.
Q. And, therefore, you didn't actually speak to anyone who worked on the project in
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1 forming your opinions about how IDOTs historical practices were applied on this project, isn't that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Thus, you really don't know what the contractor did, do you?
A. I don't understand your question.
Q. You really don't know what actually happened, do you?

MR. MCGINLEY: I'm going to object and note that in prior pleadings that we've filed in this case we've submitted something -- a certified record from the Secretary of State showing that Bolander Construction went out of business in the '90s. So if counsel is asking Mr. Gobelman if he had the opportunity to speak to anybody at Bolander, they didn't exist at that point.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can redirect whenever we meet back next June 23 rd, but your objection is so noted.

MS. BRICE: And that wasn't the question that I had asked.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Q. Right. And the point of the as-built drawing, is it not, to add to the drawings to depict actually what occurred that was a deviation from the bid drawings?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection. Vague. BY THE WITNESS:
A. I disagree with that.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. What is your --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'll withdraw my objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We have
to keep it to one attorney and I think you're Mr. Gobelman's attorney. Your objection?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'll withdraw my objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Let me rephrase. The as-built drawings are more accurate as to what actually occurred on the site during the -- during the Amstutz Project than the bid documents, isn't that true?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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|  | Page 244 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I don't believe you're representing |
| 2 | what the as-builts mean correctly. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. Well, the as-built drawings |
| 4 | are intended to demonstrate some changes that were |
| 5 | made during the project, isn't that right? |
| 6 | A. Correct. |
| 7 | Q. You also didn't talk to anyone from |
| 8 | the US EPA in reaching your opinions, did you? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. Or anyone from IEPA? |
| 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | Q. Now, you put together the 104(e) |
| 13 | response to US EPA regarding the project in 2000, |
| 14 | right -- |
| 15 | A. No. |
| 16 | Q. -- we talked about that? Well, you |
| 17 | were involved in that, right? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. You were involved in it. And you |
| 20 | were involved in compiling information for this |
| 21 | response, is that accurate? |
| 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. I believe you said earlier |
| 24 | Mr. Schick asked you to provide information, is |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |
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1 that a more accurate description of what you did 2 for the 104(e)?
You spoke earlier about IDOTs
104(e) response, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you talked about Mr. Mapes -the reference to Mr. Mapes in that response, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But you didn't talk to anyone else who was involved in the $104(e)$ response to see if

May 25, 2016
you could figure out what Mr. Mapes had intended, did you?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And you didn't talk to anyone else who was involved in the $104(e)$ response to figure out what else was done in putting together the 104(e) response outside of what you were working on, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And Mike Hine I believe is someone who worked on the $104(e)$ response, isn't that true?
A. I do not know.
Q. Well, I'll represent in your deposition that you indicated that he was. Is he still at IDOT?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Turn to page 50 of your -did he recently leave in the last year?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry. He is with the Federal Highway Administration, is that correct?
A. I'm not sure at this particular time.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 answerable question.

Answer: I considered the record that was in the file of how the construction job was created.

Question: Okay. And what are the possible ways that the asbestos ended up on Sites 3 and 6? You know, I imagine you came up with a variety of theories and then said "This is the right theory." So what theories did you
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292
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```
analyze?
```

Answer: I did not come up with a variety of theories.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. I think actually at one point in your deposition we talked about some hypothetical situations and $I$ said if the utilities weren't there and if the concrete transite pipe had been left there by JM in the 1970 s and it wasn't IDOT that buried it, how else could it have gotten there, do you remember that line of questioning?
A. Yes.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'll object to this -- this hypothetical. Continue. Just note my objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. It's in the deposition, I think, right, you were asking him?

```
                                    MS. BRICE: Yes.
```

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: SO overruled. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. And isn't it true that your response L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292
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Page 250
1 was "Well, it could possibly be nature or
2 gravity"?
3
4
5
6
7
8

```
    question then was --
    Question: By whom?
    Answer: Well, it could be by
nature itself depending upon the wetness and the
water and the muckiness --
    Question. Okay.
    Answer: -- and, you know,
        gravity could have done something.
            Do you see that?
            A. I don't see it -- you said 82?
            Q. Eighty-three. It's at the top of
        83.
```
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| 1 | A. I thought you said 182 and 183. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Yes. |
| 3 | Q. As I understand it, your opinions, |
| 4 | at least the ones that were in your report, are |
| 5 | based upon five things; your review of |
| 6 | Mr. Dorgan's report, is that correct? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Your review of the available IDOT |
| 9 | construction file, right? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Documents provided to you by |
| 12 | counsel, correct? |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. The standard specifications? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. And aerial photographs and |
| 17 | topographic maps? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And am I missing anything? |
| 20 | A. I don't believe so. |
| 21 | Q. You -- you reviewed the construction |
| 22 | file that was available, right? So you did not |
| 23 | review the entire construction file, isn't that |
| 24 | true? |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Well, wasn't the engineer's logbook missing from the construction file?
A. Correct.
Q. And that logbook would have contained the events of the day, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And would include what material came in and left that day, correct?
A. Possibly.
Q. Okay. Turn to page 193 of your deposition, please. I'm on line 13

Question: And what type of
information does an engineer put in his logbook?
Answer: Typically, he would
document the events of the day. You know,
material that comes in and material that leaves, where they're working. He would include in that how people were working, you know, whatever was required for him to document in his reports regarding, you know, for payment so that he can document when he sees the bills come through that that is an acceptable pay.

Do you see that?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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A. Yes.
Q. So without the logbook, you cannot testify as to exactly what happened during the project, can you?
A. I would disagree with that.
Q. Okay. But once again you don't
know -- you can't testify as to what materials came in one day and what materials left, can you?
A. I believe you can.
Q. You can testify as to what happened on a specific day?
A. No.
Q. Okay. You can testify as to what happened on a specific week?
A. No.
Q. You can testify as to exactly what type of fill material was used in the embankment on the southside of Site 6, right?
A. I don't believe there was fill on the southside of -- along south six -- Site 6 .
Q. Okay. But I think you just had a long discussion about earlier -- earlier about how there were soil borings and there are -- I think it's your testimony, at least now, that there is
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 at least one foot of fill material at some points
2 in the embankment on the southside of 6?
3
4

5
at least one foot of fill material at some
in the embankment on the southside of 6 ?
A. There is. I agree there is fill
material on the southside of Site 6, yes.
Q. Okay. But you can't testify for
sure exactly what type of material was placed
there, can you, by IDOT?
A. I don't agree that fill material was
placed by IDOT.
Q. Okay. Let's assume for a second
that IDOT did place -- IDOT placed fill material
some place in the Amstutz Project, isn't that
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There was thousands of yards of
borrow material that came onto the site, isn't
that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And borrow material is, in essence,
fill material, isn't that true?
A. It's used as fill, yes.
Q. Okay. You can't tell me where any
particular borrow material was used at any
specific location on the site, can you?
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1
A. Yes, I can.
Q. Oh, you can? Okay. Can you tell me then -- for instance, let's take a spot.

Where is the drawing for the
Amstutz? Okay. There was cut and fill material on the detour roads, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Can you tell me exactly which fill material was used on detour road $C$ and where it came from?
A. I cannot.
Q. You can? Exactly? You know for a

```
hundred percent that a specific type of fill
```

material was used on detour road C?
A. I didn't say that.
Q. Sorry. I misunderstood.
A. I said I cannot.
Q. I thought you said you could.

Sorry.
A. For that question, I answered it correctly.
Q. Thank you. And you cannot tell me exactly the source of the fill material for detour road $A$, can you?

L.A. Court Reporters, I.I.C. 312-419-9292
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1
A. Correct.
Q. Nor detour road B, isn't that true?
A. Correct.
Q. Nor the shoofly road, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you can't tell me the source of
the fill material in the embankment on the southside of the embankments along Sand Street, can you?
A. Correct.
Q. You also reviewed other documents.

You said you read documents provided to you by IDOTs attorneys, isn't that true?
A. Correct.
Q. But you didn't review the entire file, did you?
A. I reviewed the entire file that I had.
Q. Okay. But you don't know if that was the entire file of everything that was produced, do you?
A. I have no idea.
Q. You didn't go look at the Bates numbers and match them up with the production and
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A. Correct.
Q. And the Removal Action Work Plan is
the document that details how the remedy is going to be implemented, isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I'd like to talk about the
standard specifications for road and bridge construction. Those were one of your sources of information, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Let's pull out Exhibit 19, please.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. You've reviewed these, right?
A. What's in this book?
Q. These are the standard specifications that have been referred to in this case. So I'm assuming yes, but the ones -- yes, these standard specifications. Have you reviewed them?
A. Yes.
Q. And you relied on them in rendering your opinions?
A. Not these pages.
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1 them and see about that.

```
them and see about that.
```

            IDOT is the one who prepared the
    specifications, correct?
    A. Correct.
    Q. And the IDOT resident engineer is
    supposed to enforce the specifications, right?
    A. Correct.
    Q. And the contractor is required to
    follow them?
    A. Correct.
    Q. As well as the decisions of the
    resident engineer, the contractor is required to
    follow the decisions of the resident engineer?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And if the contractor wants to
    deviate from the specifications or the plans, he
    has to get IDOTs approval, right?
    A. No.
    Q. Okay. Please turn to page 89 in
    your deposition.
                            HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms.
        Brice, do you want to get Mr. Ebihara's number in
        before we take the long break?
                            MS. BRICE: We would love to, but he
    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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Page 261

```
1 doesn't have it.
    doesn't have it.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you
write it on a piece of paper? All right. So then
he'll have to come back on June 23rd?
    MS. BRICE: Unfortunately.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sorry.
    MS. BRICE: Are you able to come
    back on that day?
    MR. EBIHARA: Yes.
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may
proceed. Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
    Q. Question -- this is page 89 line 13.
    A. Okay.
    Q. Question: And if the
contractor wants to deviate from the plan, does he
have to get approval from IDOT?
                            Answer: If he is deviating from
what the contract's plans are, he has to get IDOTs
approval.
                    Question: And why is that?
                    Answer: Because it's IDOTs job.
    It's their project.
                            Do you see that?
```
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A. Yes.
Q. Now, before we end --

MS. BRICE: I think maybe after this next section might be a good place to stop.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Let me know.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Before we dive into the opinions, I want to clarify something. We're dealing with Site 3 and Site 6, correct, in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And a lot of your opinions, if not all of them, most of them, deal with what you think that happened when the parking lot area was created, isn't that true, at least with respect to what was in the original report?
A. I lost you halfway through that. Can you repeat that, please?
Q. That was a really bad question. A lot of your opinions in your original report deal with what you think happened when the parking lot was created, isn't that true?
A. I would disagree with that.
Q. Okay. Didn't you offer an opinion
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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1 about how the parking lot was created?

```
about how the parking lot was created?
```

A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And didn't you offer an opinion as to the materials used to create the parking lot?
A. Yes.
Q. And didn't you offer an opinion about the fact that the parking lot was covered with asphalt?
A. I believe I disagree with that part.
Q. Okay. Let's pull out your opinion. What are you disagreeing with, that it's asphalt? Are you -- are you quibbling with the word?
A. Of course I am.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm quibbling that you narrowed it to just asphalt.
Q. That's what I'm trying to understand. There was an opinion about the parking lot being covered with some sort of bituminous or asphaltic or some type of material, is that right?
A. Correct.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.I.C.
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1
Q. And there was an opinion about what happened during the construction of the parking lot with respect to their -- it being a very low-lying, marshy area, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So I want to focus for a second here on Site 6, which Site 6 doesn't overlap with the former parking lot area, right?
A. Correct.
Q. It's actually north of the former parking lot area?
A. Adjacent, yes.
Q. Adjacent to the north?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, part of 6 -- part of Site 6 is an embankment that IDOT built in the 1970s, isn't that right?
A. I would disagree.
Q. Okay. So if $I$ can approach, I'd like to show you Exhibit 202.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. And this might help clarify for me a couple of things about your earlier testimony. So
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1 if $I$ can show you this. It's your opinion at this
2 point I take it that nothing east of station 920
3 is -- contains any embankment on Site 6?
4 A. I'll change my -- I was confused how
5 you -- you're representing that, but, yes, the
6 western end of -- of Site 6 along Greenwood
7 contains a portion of -- the beginning of the
8 embankment, yes. Sorry.
9
Q. Okay. Can you please clarify for me -- actually, can you draw on this piece of paper, we're not going to enter it into evidence right now, just so $I$ understand, and we can if we have to, where you're saying the embankment is located on Exhibit 202 and why don't we do that and we'll turn this document -- in blue if you can outline for me --
A. On this?
Q. Perfect. If you can outline in blue where you actually believe the embankment to be located.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If we could see what the witness is doing and maybe --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I agree.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Point to it so
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```
everyone --
```

    MS. BRICE: I'm happy --
    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We'll
    publish it as soon as Mr. Gobelman --
    MS. BRICE: He hasn't gotten the pen
        BY THE WITNESS:
    A. Am I only to use what is on this
        figure as reference to be able to identify the
        exact position where the embankment begins?
        BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
            Q. Yes. Because I think you testified
        earlier, did you not, based upon that figure where
        the embankment begins.
            A. Just clarifying.
            Q. I'd like you to circle the whole
        embankment area from where you think the
        embankment is located on site -- in general. If
        you can -- if you can outline the embankment for
        me on that map.
            A. Well, that would be difficult to do
        using this map without having proper scaling
        abilities.
            Q. Well, why don't you give it your
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1 best shot.
A. This should be pretty close. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: If you can show Ms. O'Laughlin and Mr. McGinley. MR. MCGINLEY: Can you bring it closer? I can't see that far. MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I know.

MS. BRICE: Before you -- go ahead. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. So your drawing here as I understand it is what you believe to be the eastern end of the embankment?
A. It would be the eastern end of the embankment for the elevated Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. How far south does that

```
embankment -- can you please put a line on there?
```

A. I believe I have.
Q. How far south?
A. I believe I have an open box that
depicts --
Q. I mean, as far as the width, right, the width of the embankment.
A. Yes, I believe I have already identified that for you.
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Q. Okay. And I believe -MS. BRICE: I need 21-A-26.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. If you can please turn to 21-A-26, I believe we were looking at this in an offer of proof earlier, but that was an offer of proof. Do you recall that?
A. I recall seeing this diagram previously, yes.
Q. Okay. And this is a blowup of that diagram, is that not true, right here?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. At what station does the slope begin to ascend on this document that -- the profile of Greenwood Avenue?
A. This, I can't necessarily see the existing profile line, but it would appear that it's somewhere past station 8 , between station 8 and station 9, I believe.
Q. Well, station 8 is right here.
A. Yes.
Q. So at station 8 if you move up, the line is starting to ascend, is that not true?
A. There is -- you're asking me where
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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```
1 the contact between the existing pavement was.
the existing pavement was.
    Q. The existing pavement is right here.
There is a line, do you see that?
    A. I understand that. I'm just saying
I do not see that on the --
    MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection.
    Objection. May we please see what is being
    pointed to. I'd like to see what Mr. Gobelman
    pointed to. Your back is to me and you guys are
    having this exchange and I can't see.
    MS. BRICE: Would you like to
come --
    MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No, I would like
    you to step away and have him look at it and show
    it to everyone so that we can all see.
    MS. BRICE: Sorry. I was not -- I'm
    just trying to point.
    MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I understand.
        BY MS. BRICE:
    Q. There is something that says
    existing pavement surface, do you see that?
    A. There is a text pointing to a line
        in the middle of the figure.
        MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can you show where
```

        L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
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    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you speak up?

THE WITNESS: Five-ninety-one.
Sorry.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Where the text is being pointing to.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Can I look at that, please?

Existing pavement surface, do you see this line right here? And I'll come show you.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. There is probably no way to do it.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

$$
312-419-9292
$$

May 25, 2016

|  | Page 272 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Do you see this line? |
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. And this line goes over here? |
| 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. And then over here, where is that? |
| 6 | What is the elevation right there? |
| 7 | A. Where you're pointing to at the end |
| 8 | it came across as somewhere around 589. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. This is -- this is the |
| 10 | existing pavement line, correct, the one you say |
| 11 | that is at 589? |
| 12 | A. No, I was pointing to where you |
| 13 | pointed at the end over here. You pointed over |
| 14 | here where the elevation was. I said 589. I |
| 15 | cannot tell because there is also a line also |
| 16 | marking the cinder fill that corresponds with the |
| 17 | pavement surface. So what line is what when it |
| 18 | crosses there -- |
| 19 | Q. Okay. Great. The document will |
| 20 | speak for itself. We can have Mr. Dorgan testify |
| 21 | about it. |
| 22 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Just for |
| 23 | the record, it's 4:35 and I suggested earlier that |
|  | we'd wrap it up about now. So, Ms. Brice -- |
|  | L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292 |
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MS. BRICE: I think that's fine. Let's just stop here. That's fine.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Before we go off the record, I just want to note for the record that we, by agreement, are not finished yet with this matter 14-3. I'm going to continue this matter, this hearing, on record to June 23 rd in Room 11-512. That is up in the Pollution Control Board's offices and I also want to state that any objections to my rulings are due by June 9th, responses by June 14 th and then I guess we're going to get Mr. Ebihara's number in the next time we meet or before we finish the hearing. Anything else we need to talk about? Ms. Caisman?

MS. CAISMAN: Because we're taking such a long break I know that we moved the exhibits that we used in our case in chief into evidence yesterday at the close of our case in chief. Obviously, subject to how you want to handle it, but I might suggest that we move in the exhibits that we used on cross-examination today and we can make that as part of our homework to compile a list of the exhibits moved into
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```
evidence.
```

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, that is music to my ears.

MS. CAISMAN: So Johns Manville --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You showed me a list this morning.

MS. CAISMAN: Right. And that was the list for the exhibits moved in our case in chief. So we would just move to enter into evidence the exhibits that we used today on cross-examination and we'll add that to that list.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, the other issue out there Ms. O'Laughlin was inquiring the other day about the ones that you all stipulated to, but you haven't used at the hearing and I reread the hearing report and there is nothing to distinguish between stipulation to the authenticity and/or the admissibility. So I don't know, Ms. Brice, you had some objection to that I think. I'm not sure.

MS. BRICE: Right. I think in some of the situations we said on the prehearing, at least ours, we were stipulating to the authenticity, not the admissibility. I don't
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1 know. I just had understood we were using --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, the report you gave me on May 17 th there were some outstanding exhibits out there, but the ones you stipulated to doesn't distinguish between whether you're going to use them at the hearing or not. MS. BRICE: Right. I understand that. And I was just communicating yesterday that my understanding is I thought is we were -- it was only asked what we were using. That's generally how it is done. So I was suppose confused and that was not my understanding.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. So what -- your objection is it was a misunderstanding, but why do you object now? Do you have a problem with --

MS. BRICE: Well, yeah, I mean, if they're going to start using exhibits in post-hearing briefs and we don't have a witness and have any idea what that witness is going to say about those exhibits or, you know, what that exhibit is being offered for, it's sort of a strange situation. You're not being able to cross-examine. You're not being able to deal with

[^6]1 the evidence in the way that you normally do in a hearing.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, it is strange. You stipulated to it and you didn't distinguish. So I would ask you to put your reasons on paper and have them filed on the responses -- have them filed by June 9th with the objections and then response is due June 14 th to that and, Ms. O'Laughlin, Mr. McGinley, if you could also state in there what exhibits do you plan to use that weren't used at hearing.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Right. Following our discussion yesterday, we did go over the exhibit list and identify the additional exhibits additional to Johns Manville that we would like to be part of the record and to be entered into evidence and to be able to be used in a post-hearing brief. So we have identified a list. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: How extensive is that list?

MR. MCGINLEY: A little bit more than -- it's less than a page and a half.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So that's 40?
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. MCGINLEY: I think we're talking |
| 2 | like two dozen. |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. |
| 4 | Well, you know, brief it June 9th and then |
| 5 | response June 14th. Anyway, have a great break, |
| 6 | everyone. |
| 7 | MS. BRICE: Can we clarify |
| 8 | something? |
| 9 | MS. O'LAUGHLIN: On the record or |
| 10 | off the record? |
| 11 | MS. BRICE: Actually on the record. |
| 12 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's go |
| 13 | back on the record, Steve, please and based on the |
| 14 | avalanche of exhibits and the number of markings |
| 15 | on them, some of them have two or three and they |
| 16 | have been referred to throughout the hearing two |
| 17 | or three different ways we're going to need some |
| 18 | kind of understanding on how and I think you |
| 19 | briefly mentioned this yesterday, maybe Monday, on |
| 20 | how you're going to approach that in your |
| 21 | post-hearing briefs. That would be a big help. |
| 22 | MS. BRICE: We'll figure it out. |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, |
| 24 | especially for the Board so -- |
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2 out. and --

MS. BRICE: Sure. We'll figure that

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MS. BRICE: All right. I do have a question. Are you planning to call Mr. Tracy or Mr. Ebihara in your case because I don't want to -- you know, they don't live here -- or Mr. Clinton? They don't live here.

MR. MCGINLEY: No. I mean, the answer would be we don't intend to call them at this point, but we reserve the right to call them should that be necessary.

MS. BRICE: Okay. But we're not going to have them come out here if you're not going to call them. They're not going to fly out here just to be here in case. You know, I mean --

MR. MCGINLEY: You said Ebihara

MS. BRICE: Not Ebihara. I was confused. Clinton and Tracy.

MR. MCGINLEY: You're confusing me.
MS. BRICE: I know. It's been a long day. Clinton and Tracy or Ebihara if he needs to come down.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
& \text { 312-419-9292 }
\end{aligned}
$$

May 25, 2016

Page 279

MR. MCGINLEY: One moment. Yes, we don't care as far as Mr. Clinton and Mr. Tracy are concerned, that's fine.

MS. BRICE: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGINLEY: But we reserve with respect to Mr . Ebihara because --

MS. BRICE: He has to come down to do the numbers anyway.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. I think we're finished?
MR. MCGINLEY: Can I just ask one question as a matter of clarification, Mr. Halloran? So essentially when we come back on the 23 rd and 24 th next month this would be to continue the cross-examination of Mr . Gobelman and what else does the Hearing Officer expect us to be doing at that time?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You're going to be calling your next witness, I guess, and then we'll setup and I'm sure Ms. Brice -- I almost forgot your name. Ms. Brice will have a rebuttal. I don't know how many more witnesses
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MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Excuse me. May I please finish? You agreed to the admissibility of his expert report is my understanding and based on those we had not planned to call him to date, but we can revisit this in the future.

MS. BRICE: You designated an expert. You made us go through expert reports. This was your idea to have an expert introduced into this entire matter. We then went through the trouble -- because we wanted to go to trial back in March -- went through the trouble to hire an expert to rebut your expert and spent a ton of money dealing with this issue and you're now telling me you're not going to call your expert. I'm not conceding, I'm sorry, to anyone stipulating to an expert report without your expert showing up when his expert report is nothing like what his deposition was.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Well, our understanding was --

MS. BRICE: That's highly prejudicial.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Our understanding was that you were stipulating to the admissibility
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1 of his expert report.

MS. BRICE: I'm sorry. That was with the understanding that he was going to be here to testify about it. That is incredibly prejudicial and I vigorously object to that.

MR. MCGINLEY: You subpoenaed him.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: You're the one --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. You know what, I think we've heard enough on the record. You all can talk --

MS. BRICE: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: -- in the next 29 days.

MS. BRICE: Well, then, we'll have to subpoena him I guess in our rebuttal case. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, all.

MR. MCGINLEY: Thank you.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

$$
312-419-9292
$$

$\qquad$ , A.D., 2016.
direction.

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS )
```

STATE OF ILLINOIS )
) SS.
) SS.
COUNTY OF COOK )

```
COUNTY OF COOK )
```

    I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
    Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
shorthand the proceedings had at the trial
aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
complete and correct transcript of the proceedings
of said trial as appears from my stenographic
notes so taken and transcribed under my personal
Witness my official signature in and for
Cook County, Illinois, on this day of
$\qquad$ day of

16
17
18
19

21 -

```
C
```


8 West Monroe Street
Suite 2007
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Phone: (312) 419-9292
CSR No. 084-004675
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.
312-419-9292

May 25, 2016
Page 284

| A | account 126:17 | 176:20 | 164:15,18 | amount 163:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-A 98:10 99:18 | accuracy 119:9 | addressed 94:16 | 166:5 220:20 | 163:13 165:9 |
| 99:24 110:10 | 119:12 | adds 91:23 | 254:3,8 265:23 | 170:4 179:14 |
| 110:16111:17 | accurate 59:12 | Adjacent 264:12 | agreed 280:22 | 183:14 |
| 111:19 171:17 | 64:22 67:1 | 264:13 | 281:2 | Amstutz 14:21 |
| 171:20 173:20 | 160:12,12,15 | adjusted 96:3 | agreeing 165:13 | 14:22 15:6 |
| 173:21 174:23 | 184:8 196:18 | Administration | agreement 29:8 | 16:14,24 17:2 |
| 175:22 177:8 | 243:21 244:21 | 246:22 | 33:2,3 35:1 | 17:3 22:9,12 |
| 178:17 179:4 | 245:1 | administrative | 45:1 94:4,5,6 | 22:14 24:5 |
| 200:21 | ACM 82:11,19 | 25:15 | 94:13,18,20 | 28:20 29:5,17 |
| A.D 1:15 283:15 | 105:2 173:23 | admissibility | 95:6,7 159:11 | 31:12 32:16 |
| a.m 1:15 | 174:5,8,19,22 | 19:5 274:18,24 | 273:5 | 37:21 41:13,17 |
| A1 114:9 | 175:1 178:6,11 | 280:9,22 $281: 2$ | agreements | 41:23 42:3 |
| A1-A 110:19 | 178:18,21,21 | 281:24 | 10:12,13,22 | 51:19,24 52:6 |
| abilities 266:23 | 200:15 201:5 | admitted 55:13 | 11:15,16,16 | 52:11 63:8,16 |
| ability 14:16 | 202:15 203:11 | AECOM 3:5 | 34:23 | 63:20 64:4,8 |
| able 38:2 47:10 | 203:19 205:20 | aegis 26:10 | ahead 107:16 | 64:12 70:15 |
| 53:13 54:23 | 208:16,20 | aerial 82:1 | 114:5 129:9 | 71:6 87:1 90:2 |
| 56:24 70:10 | acquire 51:17 | 121:6,10,13,15 | 182:11 197:11 | 93:11 95:11 |
| 76:12 84:2 | 51:21 52:18 | 122:8,17,17,23 | 267:8 | 191:22 211:7 |
| 87:23 88:1 | acquiring 52:16 | 124:12 126:4 | air 204:20 | 222:9 223:18 |
| 99:22,22 111:9 | Action 257:24 | 126:19,23 | airborne 204:20 | 225:5 237:17 |
| 121:14 122:12 | 258:2 | 128:5,15 134:3 | aligned 203:12 | 237:22 242:16 |
| 125:20 127:4 | actual 13:3 27:9 | 135:1 137:12 | alive $87: 12$ | 243:23 254:12 |
| 149:21 165:6 | 33:17 39:14 | 139:12 186:19 | 224:17 226:8 | 255:5 259:13 |
| 168:20,20 | 40:8 45:6 | 188:5,18,19 | 237:18,23 | analysis 121:6 |
| 172:20 173:11 | 46:11 65:8 | 189:6,8,24 | alleged 82:20 | 149:2,10 |
| 180:12 193:15 | 133:10 | 190:7,12,15 | allocation 26:6 | 171:24 |
| 222:20 236:5 | add 93:5 135:7 | 191:20 192:7 | allow 93:24 94:7 | analytical |
| 261:7 266:9 | 243:2 274:11 | 193:16 196:10 | 94:13 128:20 | 208:12 |
| 275:23,24 | added 98:13 | 196:11 197:5 | 157:10 164:7 | analyze 249:1 |
| 276:17 | 131:4,7 143:19 | 197:21,24 | 195:24 207:5 | analyzing |
| absence 71:17 | 143:22 147:9 | 251:16 | 220:1,1 | 207:15 |
| 71:20 72:11,13 | 149:18 153:3 | affect 22:15 | allowed 83:16 | and/or 56:4 |
| absolutely 85:21 | 155:3,5,17,18 | aforesaid 283:8 | 107:21 108:4 | 228:8 274:18 |
| abutting 39:17 | 172:10 | afternoon | 156:21 157:2 | Andrews 3:2 |
| 40:8 43:2 | addition 15:6 | 113:13 | 157:17 | 80:5 214:11,14 |
| 46:15 47:17 | 94:19 | ago 71:4 88:10 | allowing 94:11 | 214:16,21 |
| 48:11 54:10 | additional 93:5 | 95:1,2 138:3 | allows 84:10 | 215:2,4,10 |
| acceptable | 101:5 157:9 | 235:22 257:4 | 86:12 96:9 | 216:2 |
| 252:23 | 276:14,15 | agree 13:20 25:6 | 122:11 | announce 5:11 |
| access 12:21 | additions | 26:13 53:19 | alternatively | answer 26:15 |
| 32:8 52:9 | 102:12 | 61:1 65:1 76:4 | 145:15 | 47:10 50:3,7 |
| 192:2 | address 34:6 | 88:8,11 111:22 | ambiguous 76:3 | 54:23 56:24 |
| accessed 64:11 | 143:10 165:22 | 140:4 164:14 | 217:14 | 60:14,18 61:18 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

May 25, 2016
Page 285

| 62:11,16,21 | 100:3 202:18 | 112:4 122:5,22 | as-built 87:3 | 126:18 137:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:19,24 70:20 | 269:17 | 122:24 123:2,6 | 91:14,17 | 146:19 147:13 |
| 76:20 77:16,19 | Appeared 2:5 | 123:20,21,24 | 119:15,20 | 187:15 218:22 |
| 84:2 86:9 | 2:14,20 | 125:1,5,6,24 | 120:4,6,9,10 | 227:5,6 230:3 |
| 141:19,24 | appears 15:8 | 129:19,20,22 | 120:12 242:20 | 231:4,5 237:1 |
| 142:10 181:6 | 174:21 189:22 | 130:18,22 | 243:2,20 244:3 | 241:15 249:19 |
| 212:8,16,24 | 190:3 192:3 | 131:8 134:4,23 | as-builts 91:22 | 268:9 269:24 |
| 217:16 218:10 | 283:10 | 135:1,2,3,5,7 | 242:6 244:2 | asks 57:22 |
| 218:11,16,18 | applied $225: 5$ | 135:12,20,21 | asbestos 82:16 | aspects 70:23 |
| 218:22 219:2,4 | 234:2 241:2 | 136:3,17,18,19 | 83:1,4,9 84:14 | 72:24 |
| 219:8,12,13 | apply 158:9 | 136:19 137:18 | 84:15 98:15 | asphalt 263:9,13 |
| 224:13,15,21 | 198:21 | 137:24 138:5,6 | 176:15 206:23 | 263:18 |
| 229:2,18 230:3 | appreciate 6:18 | 138:14 139:5 | 212:6,13 | asphaltic 263:22 |
| 230:7,9,13,19 | approach 15:13 | 141:23 143:8 | 248:21 | assessments |
| 230:20 233:20 | 47:21 114:3 | 143:20,23 | asbestos-conta... | 220:24 |
| 234:13,19,23 | 117:1 122:13 | 155:23 157:1 | 83:11,16 86:13 | assigned 215:23 |
| 248:17 249:2 | 183:1 238:14 | 157:17 159:1 | 87:7 88:20 | assistant 81:16 |
| 250:9,12,15,19 | 264:19 277:20 | 162:22 164:1,2 | 98:16 144:4,11 | 82:15 132:19 |
| 252:15 261:18 | approaches | 168:21 169:20 | 149:19 153:6 | assisted 89:3 |
| 261:22 278:10 | 121:13 | 189:3,14 | 153:14 154:13 | associated 89:7 |
| answerable | approaching | 191:13 193:14 | 154:16 155:20 | 96:5 155:1,5 |
| 248:1 | 182:6 | 193:22 195:12 | 173:20 200:18 | 155:18,21 |
| answered 76:18 | appropriate | 196:1 197:2,4 | 203:14 204:19 | 191:22 |
| 255:20 257:17 | 65:2 221:22 | 197:4 200:23 | 206:17 212:19 | assortment |
| answering 227:7 | approval $54: 19$ | 204:23 262:14 | 212:20 247:19 | 219:17 220:6 |
| 237:13 | 55:3 260:17 | 264:4,8,11 | 248:3,14 | 232:6 |
| answers 61:12 | 261:17,20 | 266:17 | ascend 269:14 | assume 15:23 |
| 62:24 227:8 | approved 196:8 | areas 44:11 | 269:23 | 20:11 91:7,9 |
| antithesis 171:3 | approximate | 82:12 121:19 | ascertain 226:12 | 115:24 236:10 |
| anybody 223:21 | 112:10 | 123:15 125:2 | asked 36:1 | 237:24 254:10 |
| 225:12 241:17 | approximately | 132:18 135:17 | 61:11 70:3 | Assumes 50:12 |
| anymore 223:23 | 11:1 41:15 | 136:7 153:9 | 76:17 81:16,22 | 50:17 |
| 224:23 | 112:1 145:2 | 163:5 203:11 | 87:6126:9 | assuming 120:9 |
| anyway 154:2 | 172:18 173:8 | 206:19 207:5 | 127:5 163:7 | 133:19 139:5 |
| 277:5 279:10 | 211:8 215:6 | argue 107:9,9 | 181:7 185:20 | 139:18 198:20 |
| apologies 49:12 | April 41:10 42:4 | argument 105:8 | 187:16,20 | 258:18 |
| 182:22 | 149:3 | 109:6,10 | 198:6 206:9 | attached 21:1 |
| apologize 25:1 | Arcadis 149:9 | argumentative | 217:7 228:17 | 42:20 46:20 |
| 40:5 116:3 | 149:11,19 | 60:1 | 233:18 234:9 | 47:1 82:10 |
| 142:8 182:20 | 153:4 155:12 | arrangements | 234:11 241:23 | attempt 222:24 |
| 194:7 213:10 | 171:23 178:3 | 82:11 | 244:24 268:12 | 224:10 |
| 214:15 | Arcadis' 149:2 | arrived 222:8 | 275:10 | attempted 147:5 |
| apparently | area 31:9 43:1 | 233:16 | asking 62:18 | 217:10 229:14 |
| 74:16 | 77:5 101:22 | arriving 247:20 | 71:3,7 76:15 | attention 15:18 |
| appear 82:10 | 110:14 111:12 | arrow 115:12 | 84:13 121:4 | 20:2,18 23:4 |

[^7]May 25, 2016
Page 286

| 23:21 113:21 | 67:21 69:5,15 | 61:4,8,9 63:2 | 211:10 212:8 | 135:15,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:11 116:19 | 70:12,14 71:6 | 65:13 67:17 | 215:22 216:17 | 137:8,14 |
| 132:15 195:15 | 93:10 95:10 | 70:8 78:3,22 | 222:19 227:16 | 138:15 141:19 |
| 239:9 250:6 | 100:3 111:8 | 84:4 101:19 | 251:5 266:13 | 147:2 149:16 |
| attorney 2:15 | 112:20 114:24 | 107:14 113:1 | 268:7 277:13 | 161:6 163:2 |
| 5:12,13 132:19 | 118:1,6 123:11 | 129:15 144:19 | 281:3 | 164:22 166:5 |
| 243:13,14 | 123:21 125:1 | 145:1,1 146:8 | baseline 96:8 | 166:16 167:2,9 |
| attorneys 5:8 | 131:9 143:21 | 147:9 159:20 | 111:2,4,15 | 168:9 174:6,9 |
| 256:13 | 150:3,4,7,17 | 164:6 175:21 | basically 15:2 | 186:20 189:21 |
| August 8:24 | 151:11,19,21 | 181:24 192:20 | 22:24 90:23 | 192:8 193:24 |
| 220:23 | 152:10 155:3 | 193:21 194:11 | 102:10 125:5 | 194:3,17 |
| authenticity | 170:24 172:13 | 199:23 201:24 | 202:1 | 195:19 198:12 |
| 274:18,24 | 172:14,24 | 203:10 209:15 | basis 237:3 | 200:17 203:1,9 |
| Authority 94:4 | 173:4,6,14,15 | 227:9 229:11 | Bates 130:11 | 205:15,18 |
| 94:5,18,20 | 177:18,21 | 231:16 241:20 | 256:23 257:4 | 208:18,21,23 |
| authorization | 178:13 190:22 | 248:12 261:4,8 | Beach 204:9 | 210:22 211:7 |
| 93:1 101:1 | 191:18 267:14 | 270:9 277:13 | 205:10,20 | 212:16 214:7 |
| 104:8,9 185:15 | 269:15 | 279:15 281:10 | began 216:1 | 215:7 216:11 |
| 195:22,24 | awarded 91:10 | backdrop | beginning 29:20 | 220:9 222:3,7 |
| 196:6 | 91:21 | 150:10 | 98:10 125:4 | 222:13,21 |
| authorized | awards 90:18 | backfill 101:22 | 190:18,19 | 228:20 229:4 |
| 196:8 | aware 32:2 33:7 | 101:23 192:4 | 191:24 265:7 | 234:9 237:12 |
| available 11:13 | 33:17,19 34:2 | 201:22 | begins 172:18 | 238:5 239:19 |
| 251:8,22 | 35:12 51:13,16 | background | 266:10,14 | 242:8 244:1,23 |
| avalanche | 63:14,18,22 | 68:20 107:2 | behalf 2:5,14,20 | 246:10 247:3 |
| 277:14 | 110:15 133:18 | 121:5 207:14 | 107:19 | 250:3 251:20 |
| Avenue 20:17 | 205:11 225:23 | backwards | belief 40:11 47:4 | 253:9,19 |
| 20:24 21:8,13 |  | 97:21 | 56:18 57:13 | 257:14.263:10 |
| 22:7,8,10,17 | B | bad 206:7 | believe 5:16 | 265:19 267:11 |
| 22:19 24:6,8 | B 4:17 151:5,10 | 262:19 | 17:17 27:17 | 267:17,19,23 |
| 26:24 27:3,4 | 155:1,2 190:24 | bag 155:4 | 47:3,16 48:15 | 269:1,5,19 |
| 28:20 29:5,6 | 196:2 256:2 | balance 101:3 | 49:7 55:19 | best 16:15 33:24 |
| 31:2 32:19 | B-B 99:18 176:4 | bargaining | 57:21 70:24 | 93:17 175:8 |
| 33:21 34:18 | 177:19,23 | 181:24 | 73:11,14 87:5 | 202:2 267:1 |
| 35:2,17 39:3 | 178:5,10,15 | base 110:20 | 87:23,24 90:3 | better 227:12 |
| 39:20 40:13 | B-O 90:15 | 167:13,16 | 91:14 92:15 | beyond 27:5,5 |
| 41:17,23 42:13 | B-O-L-A-N-D... | 201:19 | 98:24 100:11 | 114:24 |
| 42:16,24 43:2 | 90:16 | based 25:20 | 104:18 109:16 | bibliography |
| 43:4,14,15,18 | B3-22 202:22 | 27:7 44:14 | 110:18 111:2,3 | 104:7 126:20 |
| 43:24 44:12 | Bachelor 7:18 | 130:21 131:2,6 | 112:4 113:22 | bid 90:6,7 102:6 |
| 45:5,20 46:12 | Bachelor's 7:17 | 133:23 134:2 | 117:22 118:17 | 102:10 180:4 |
| 47:18 48:1,6 | back 11:3,6 16:6 | 134:11 149:18 | 125:11 130:9 | 183:7,8 242:23 |
| 48:12 52:22,24 | 24:19 30:13 | 153:15 161:15 | 131:15 132:8 | 243:4,23 |
| 53:1,7,10,22 | 33:11 45:11 | 161:16 163:4 | 132:15 133:5,8 | bidding 91:19 |
| 56:5,12 57:14 | 46:18 59:3,15 | 170:5,23 | 134:10,15 | big 277:21 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

Page 287

| bigger 98:13 | 241:14,17 | 277:5 | 180:22 181:2,8 | 281:21 282:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bills 252:22 | bold 61:15 62:8 | Brent 3:2 | 181:12,16,20 | 282:11,14 |
| binder 15:9,19 | book 29:15 84:9 | Brice 2:9 4:15 | 182:3,8,9,15 | Brice's 107:11 |
| 20:3 30:13 | 86:11,16 97:18 | 6:5,12,14 | 183:18,24 | Brickey 1:11 |
| 171:18 175:17 | 98:4,6,22 | 15:20 16:2 | 184:5,13 187:8 | 3:10 6:20 79:6 |
| 175:18 187:3 | 166:21 167:9 | 18:21 19:1 | 187:11,17,22 | 107:8 113:4 |
| binders 49:1 | 217:17 218:9 | 45:11 83:23 | 192:9,13,21 | 283:5,20 |
| 187:1 | 218:12,14,17 | 84:18 85:13,16 | 193:2,5 194:12 | bridge 10:3,3 |
| bit 5:24 18:2 | 219:5,12 | 85:19 86:6 | 195:5 197:10 | 28:1 29:12,18 |
| 87:16 95:12 | 229:19 230:10 | 87:10,18 88:3 | 197:12,13,15 | 32:15 51:24 |
| 150:3 157:12 | 230:16,18 | 88:12 98:17 | 197:23 198:20 | 52:23 67:8 |
| 276:21 | 231:24 258:15 | 99:5,11,12 | 199:4,13 200:6 | 87:2 90:2 |
| bituminous | 259:5,7 | 102:23 103:11 | 202:5,11 209:8 | 93:10 95:11 |
| 263:22 | books 154:7 | 103:14 104:11 | 209:21,23 | 191:21 192:1 |
| black 118:10,13 | borders 203:22 | 104:18,23 | 210:2 211:17 | 216:9 217:11 |
| 118:15,18 | boring 98:15 | 105:3 106:18 | 211:18 212:1 | 218:2 220:11 |
| 150:6 156:16 | 111:20 152:24 | 106:23 107:6 | 217:6 219:23 | 228:18 229:15 |
| blend 114:12 | 155:7 175:2 | 108:16,19 | 220:4 223:8,10 | 231:13 232:12 |
| 115:2,10,17 | borings 64:7 | 113:5,15,18,19 | 226:17,23 | 258:7 |
| blended 114:16 | 98:14 153:4,5 | 114:2,6 116:5 | 227:3,9,13,14 | bridges 192:4 |
| blind 25:4,11 | 155:1,5,19 | 116:7 117:1,4 | 228:5,8,11,14 | brief 5:24 |
| 26:9 44:4 | 158:8,16 | 117:8120:19 | 228:15 229:1 | 107:24 153:20 |
| blown 116:9 | 172:10,12 | 126:2,9 127:5 | 236:17,21,24 | 171:10 198:15 |
| blowup 120:18 | 177:18 203:15 | 127:20 130:4 | 237:6,8,14 | 199:11,22 |
| 189:7,14 191:4 | 203:16 253:23 | 131:18 132:2,4 | 238:13,16,17 | 202:10 276:18 |
| 192:14 195:3 | borrow 101:8 | 136:15,16 | 241:22 242:1 | 277:4 |
| 196:13 269:10 | 104:3 165:10 | 140:13,15,24 | 243:19 249:20 | briefing 199:8 |
| blowups 132:17 | 185:13 254:16 | 141:10,11 | 249:23 257:16 | briefly 9:4 |
| blue 36:23 37:4 | 254:19,23 | 142:3,4,8,9 | 257:17,21 | 277:19 |
| 44:12 127:12 | bother 223:21 | 143:3,7,12 | 260:22,24 | briefs 275:19 |
| 151:18 265:15 | bottom 59:19 | 145:12,16 | 261:5,7,12 | 277:21 |
| 265:18 | 60:8 61:15 | 146:4,9,11,14 | 262:3,7 264:22 | bring 131:7 |
| Blum 240:14 | 62:8 110:20 | 146:17 147:20 | 266:2,5 267:8 | 135:7 143:19 |
| blurs 122:2 | 201:18 | 147:23 152:15 | 267:9 269:2,3 | 143:23 147:12 |
| board 1:1 2:2,6 | box 267:19 | 153:11,22 | 270:11,16,19 | 267:5 |
| 2:7,8 3:7,7 | BRADLEY 1:10 | 157:24 158:4 | 271:4,18,23 | brittle 206:2 |
| 78:14 116:6 | 2:2 | 159:22 160:3 | 272:24 273:1 | broken 204:2 |
| 277:24 | brake 174:2,8 | 160:17 165:11 | 274:19,21 | brought 44:10 |
| Board's 273:9 | brand 126:10 | 165:16,19 | 275:7,17 277:7 | 85:4 162:6 |
| body 14:15 | break 78:16,18 | 167:22 169:14 | 277:11,22 | 200:4 |
| 123:14 | 78:22 99:8 | 169:17 171:1,8 | 278:1,4,13,19 | BRYAN 2:9 |
| Bolander 90:3 | 144:19,21 | 174:14 175:16 | 278:22 279:4,9 | bucket 201:14 |
| 90:13 91:5 | 145:2 208:22 | 175:20,24 | 279:22,23 | 201:15 |
| 102:10 155:23 | 209:1,5,11 | 176:3,6,11,14 | 280:6,11,13,19 | buffer 157:12 |
| 240:18,21 | 260:23 273:17 | 176:22 179:18 | 280:23 281:6 | build 32:8 51:18 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

May 25, 2016
Page 288

| 51:22 91:20 | C | cap 205:4,6 | 57:22 75:9 | choose 162:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105:11 143:16 | C 2:1 3:1 7:6 | capable 13:14 | 84:6 92:6 | chooses 166:22 |
| 161:21 163:14 | 38:21 70:1 | 91:3 | 101:20 121:21 | chosen 206:4 |
| 168:5 268:23 | 73:4 79:13 | capacity $33: 16$ | 162:13 164:1 | cinder 118:10 |
| building 15:1 | 191:8,8 210:1 | 89:5 | 215:20 222:4 | 118:14,15,18 |
| 84:9 86:18,20 | 255:9,14 | capital 67:24 | 233:3,12 | 272:16 |
| 101:15 108:11 | cabinets 11:1,2 | care 279:2 | certainly 110:5 | circle $30: 9$ |
| 155:22 161:19 | 72:17 | Carrie 2:8 | 126:19 198:3 | 124:20 125:17 |
| 167:20 168:13 | Caisman 2:10 | carries 28:19 | certainty 172:21 | 154:14,15,22 |
| 168:17 268:19 | 4:6,8,10 6:12 | 32:18 | 173:12 | 266:16 |
| buildings 33:4 | 6:13 13:8 | carrying 93:10 | certifications | circled 36:20 |
| 193:21 | 15:10 16:1 | cars 189:16,22 | 7:21 | circles 98:12,12 |
| built 15:3 63:15 | 18:16,22 19:4 | 204:1 | certified 241:13 | 98:14 154:9 |
| 131:5 162:19 | 19:11,15 21:14 | case 14:20,20 | 283:5 | 155:19 |
| 163:19 165:1 | 25:9,10,18 | 34:1 40:3 | certify 283:6 | circling 153:5 |
| 169:10 264:16 | 30:1,2 38:20 | 49:13 60:10 | cetera 127:7 | circumstances |
| 268:13 | 38:22 45:18 | 65:16 73:11 | chair 15:10,12 | 159:15 |
| bumpers 203:22 | 47:15,21,23 | 93:7 96:3 | challenge 18:2 | cite 141:7 |
| 203:24 247:15 | 49:6 50:20,21 | 101:24 132:15 | chance 17:15 | cited 104:7 |
| bumping 203:20 | 51:3 55:4,10 | 140:16 162:17 | 127:10 184:8 | 126:20 |
| bunch 153:8 | 57:7 60:4 61:3 | 210:4,12 211:6 | change 92:24,24 | city 14:3,9 17:23 |
| 217:21 219:18 | 61:6 62:4 | 214:24 215:1 | 93:1,4,5,6,8 | 18:9 20:10,22 |
| 230:24 231:7 | 64:20,21 65:1 | 219:9,11 | 100:23 104:8 | 23:7,15 32:24 |
| bureau 9:8,11 | 65:4 69:19,21 | 220:17 222:5 | 139:7,21 180:5 | 33:5,23 34:7 |
| 9:21,23 10:1,8 | 70:16 71:18 | 227:20 230:14 | 195:22 196:4,6 | 34:11,14 36:24 |
| 10:21 21:19 | 73:3,5 74:8 | 230:18 231:18 | 196:7,24 197:1 | 37:16,20,24 |
| 26:3 33:7,8,12 | 75:5 76:6 77:1 | 231:20,24 | 227:8 265:4 | 38:12 39:1 |
| 33:16 34:22 | 77:13,14,22 | 232:4 237:1 | changed 98:22 | 40:11,18,18,22 |
| 57:3,8 68:8 | 78:2,8,10 | 241:12 258:18 | changes 101:3,3 | 42:11,20 43:18 |
| 71:11 72:6 | 120:17 140:12 | 262:10 273:18 | 184:7 242:10 | 44:16 45:1 |
| bureau's 11:3 | 211:22 273:15 | 273:19 274:8 | 244:4 | 47:4,5 48:16 |
| buried 83:5 | 273:16 274:4,7 | 278:6,16 | changing 165:12 | 51:7 54:14,18 |
| 88:20 164:11 | calculate 95:3 | 280:24 282:15 | characterizati... | 55:2 56:10 |
| 164:19,23 | 180:12 183:13 | cases 107:18 | 174:24 | 57:13 69:10 |
| 166:7 201:6 | calculated | catches 201:3 | charge 159:6 | 70:22,24 |
| 202:16 203:19 | 180:17 | cause 12:19 | 207:24 | city's 45:2 |
| 212:6,7,14 | call 5:23 82:14 | 202:16 | chart 36:16 | civil 7:18 |
| 247:20 248:5 | 129:22 198:1 | CAVE 2:9 | Chicago 1:14 | claim 69:9 127:2 |
| 248:14 249:11 | 278:5,10,11,15 | cement 83:16 | 2:4,11,17 14:3 | 216:12 229:8 |
| 250:11 | 281:4,14 | 84:17 | 14:9 283:22 | clarification |
| Burke 2:7 5:11 | called 7:4 79:11 | center 1:13 96:2 | chief 9:8,21 | 236:12,19 |
| bury 165:6 | calling 279:21 | 96:5,8,10 | 21:20 26:3 | 279:14 |
| burying 82:17 | $280: 6$ | 172:24 173:15 | 81:17 82:15 | clarify 48:15 |
| business 241:15 | Calls 83:23 | central 221:9 | 273:18,20 | 158:5 160:24 |
|  | $84: 1887: 10$ | certain 55:15 | 274:9 | 192:22 262:9 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

May 25, 2016
Page 289

| 264:23 265:9 | 46:10 | 240:21 | 112:24 | 108:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 277:7 | colors 23:18 | comparison | conclusion | consists 9:18 |
| clarifying 89:24 | 24:16 25:2,6 | 204:8 | 207:2 250:4 | 59:3 |
| 266:15 | 25:15,19,21 | compensated | concrete 83:4 | construct 28:17 |
| clarity 98:11 | 26:15 44:13 | 80:6 | 161:8 162:1,12 | 32:9 93:8 |
| Clark 2:10 | come 29:3 68:23 | compensation | 162:24 164:11 | constructed |
| clean 206:21 | 88:9 118:19 | 80:10 | 166:6,15,22 | 13:4 14:23 |
| cleaner 19:10 | 122:4 123:5 | compile 273:24 | 167:20 247:14 | 16:15,21 37:21 |
| 113:16 227:12 | 171:11,20 | compiling | 249:9 | 137:11,16,18 |
| cleanup 207:22 | 189:1 201:5 | 244:20 245:5 | condition | constructing |
| 209:2 | 203:19 249:2 | Complainant | 134:14 159:18 | 157:19 |
| cleanups 207:18 | 252:22 261:4,7 | 1:4 2:14 | 164:7 | construction |
| 207:18 | 270:12 271:21 | complete 59:12 | conditioning | 10:12 11:15 |
| clear 99:4 | 278:14,24 | 64:23 66:23 | 67:9 | 17:3 20:12 |
| 158:10 161:13 | 279:9,15 | 113:10,12 | conditions | 22:9 24:5 32:3 |
| 162:2 226:13 | ComEd 50:10 | 283:9 | 114:13 125:7 | 32:6,10 36:14 |
| 227:2 232:24 | 50:23 118:20 | completed 42:4 | 125:22 179:16 | 42:13,15 43:19 |
| 236:19 | 140:5 141:22 | 64:4,8,12 | conducting | 43:23 63:6,8 |
| clearer 227:12 | 163:21 | completely 26:7 | 220:24 | 64:3,7 82:14 |
| clearing 161:22 | comes 25:12,21 | 26:10 127:12 | conference | 83:3 84:4 85:6 |
| clearly 142:23 | 40:13 152:8 | 165:13 171:3 | 239:6 | 85:21 86:3,13 |
| Clinton 3:6 | 171:22 189:2 | 198:24 199:6 | conflict 44:23,24 | 87:3 90:16 |
| 278:8,20,23 | 190:21 191:16 | completeness | confused 218:16 | 91:17,18 96:4 |
| 279:2 | 193:21,21 | 18:17 | 265:4 275:11 | 105:13 111:7 |
| close 196:19 | 252:17 | completion | 278:20 | 114:23 115:13 |
| 267:2 273:19 | coming 74:16 | 93:14,16 | confusing 158:6 | 115:19119:18 |
| closer 267:6 | 111:10 115:5,8 | composed | 158:8 278:21 | 151:6 152:11 |
| closest 119:2,7 | 120:8 126:15 | 106:19 108:22 | confusion 208:9 | 156:2,12,20,22 |
| coal 142:20 | 127:24 159:20 | composition | conjunction | 157:4,6,8,13 |
| coarse 101:8,23 | 170:13 176:24 | 104:12 | 63:6 | 157:14,15,16 |
| 167:14,16 | 185:13 188:24 | compound 76:3 | connect 51:24 | 157:23 158:6 |
| 185:14 | comment 84:23 | 76:5 217:14 | connection 80:7 | 159:10 161:4 |
| code 154:22 | commingling | comprises 9:16 | 81:8,11 196:2 | 168:23 190:18 |
| 155:5 | 202:3 | conceding | consequence | 192:2,6 208:1 |
| coffee 78:16 | commitment | 281:15 | 89:18 | 208:8,11,12 |
| collect 217:20 | 67:13 | concept 68:5 | consequently | 211:12 212:9 |
| 230:23 231:7 | common 128:10 | 73:19 | 87:24 | 216:9 217:11 |
| colloquial 93:21 | 128:15 133:2 | concern 82:12 | consider 161:12 | 218:2 227:17 |
| color 25:3,11 | Commonwealth | 204:15,17 | 247:18 248:2 | 228:18 229:15 |
| 26:9 44:4 | 38:6,6,8,9,10 | concerned 12:13 | 248:16 | 231:13 232:12 |
| 150:5 154:22 | communicating | 279:3 | considered | 240:18 241:14 |
| 155:5,17,20 | 275:8 | concerning | 34:19,24 83:10 | 242:23 248:18 |
| colored 23:5 | compactions | 35:15 222:9 | 248:17 | 251:9,21,23 |
| 25:12 46:16 | 162:16 | concluded 63:20 | consistent 73:15 | 252:3 258:8 |
| coloring 45:5,6 | company 90:16 | concludes | 105:12 107:20 | 264:2 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

May 25, 2016
Page 290

| consultant | 93:3 96:12 | 2:6 3:7,7 273:9 | 69:13,18 71:14 | 262:10 263:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221:22 | 102:13 104:8 | Cook 1:12 9:19 | 71:15 72:8 | 264:5,9 268:15 |
| consultants | 112:21 119:13 | 283:3,14 | 73:20 74:7,18 | 272:10 283:9 |
| 215:17,23 | 170:5,23 | coordinator | 75:8 78:7 | corrected |
| 222:1 | 215:18,19,19 | 221:8,13 | 92:10 99:7 | 145:14 |
| consulted 67:5 | 242:5,7 | coordinators | 108:6 110:7,18 | correcting |
| Consulting | contract's | 221:10 | 111:24 112:2 | 147:14 |
| 214:11 | 261:19 | copies 10:19 | 113:2 115:6 | correction 148:4 |
| contact 270:1 | contracting | 27:11 68:9 | 116:10 119:6 | correctly 42:11 |
| contained 19:8 | 240:21 | copy 19:10 | 119:16 120:5 | 42:22 239:16 |
| 87:2 94:16 | contractor | 27:12 98:18 | 120:10,11 | 244:2 255:21 |
| 144:10 210:16 | 86:17 90:1,12 | 99:5,7,9 | 125:15 132:24 | correlate 141:5 |
| 210:20 233:13 | 90:13,18 91:20 | 130:15 140:11 | 134:1,12 | correspond |
| 252:6 | 93:13 95:16 | 196:16 238:18 | 135:10,14 | 203:9 |
| containing | 96:9,15 100:24 | 259:6,9 | 138:24 139:19 | corresponding |
| 118:12 | 105:5,10,13,17 | copying 145:9 | 140:17 146:22 | 28:14 |
| contains 63:3 | 108:9 119:13 | 147:5 | 147:2,24 148:4 | corresponds |
| 130:18 265:3,7 | 155:23 156:19 | corner 9:18 41:9 | 168:8 172:16 | 24:24 28:12 |
| 268:13 | 157:1,16,22 | 100:1 123:20 | 188:11 203:2 | 272:16 |
| contaminated | 158:19 159:9 | 191:4 | 210:4 211:12 | corridor 206:21 |
| 94:8 207:10 | 159:18 160:1,6 | corporate 55:14 | 213:14 214:2,3 | corridors 207:4 |
| contamination | 160:13,16,23 | 57:18 | 214:9 218:18 | cost 42:12,15,20 |
| 94:11,16 | 161:7,8 162:7 | corporation 1:3 | 220:14,17 | 43:19 44:17 |
| 203:12 | 162:23 164:10 | correct 12:7 | 222:6,12 226:4 | 94:22 95:3,6,8 |
| context 13:1 | 166:11,22 | 18:24 23:20 | 227:17,20 | 149:2,10 159:6 |
| 250:8 268:9 | 167:19 169:7 | 28:20,21,24 | 228:5,14,20 | 171:24 |
| continual | 174:4,7 183:8 | 35:8 36:7 | 231:18 232:2 | costs 94:21,22 |
| 203:20 | 195:24 201:23 | 37:18,22,23 | 232:10,15,19 | 94:24 |
| continue 79:1 | 240:18 241:6 | 38:13 39:16,18 | 233:5,13 234:5 | counsel 25:8 |
| 93:14 120:22 | 242:3 259:20 | 40:16 41:15 | 235:5,13 239:3 | 26:2 70:3 71:3 |
| 137:1 154:4 | 260:8,12,15 | 42:2,6 43:16 | 239:4,7,8 | 81:17 82:15 |
| 156:6 158:17 | 261:16 | 43:21 44:1,19 | 241:4 242:19 | 145:8,8 147:3 |
| 161:1 165:23 | contractors | 44:22 46:3,5,9 | 244:6,9,11 | 147:16 187:10 |
| 172:5 174:17 | 164:19 217:10 | 46:14,22 47:2 | 245:7,10,17,18 | 241:15 251:12 |
| 201:15 202:13 | 218:21 220:12 | 48:19 49:7,15 | 245:21 246:3,9 | counties 9:19 |
| 226:22 249:15 | 229:14 230:2 | 49:18 51:19,20 | 246:22 247:12 | 11:23 |
| 273:7 279:17 | 232:13 | 52:14,20 53:8 | 247:16 251:6 | county 1:12 |
| continued 3:1 | contracts 10:5 | 53:12,16,24 | 251:12 252:4,7 | 11:21 23:7,14 |
| 172:12 | 95:19 214:18 | 54:12,16,17 | 252:9 254:13 | 36:23 44:16 |
| continues 9:20 | 215:3,4,5,8,10 | 55:16,17 56:8 | 255:6,7 256:1 | 86:15 283:3,14 |
| 74:17 | 215:13,15,16 | 56:13,17 57:16 | 256:3,5,10,14 | couple 89:3,23 |
| continuing 8:15 | 215:21 | 58:2,3,10,13 | 257:11,18 | 147:8 264:24 |
| 203:24 | contributed | 58:16 66:2,8 | 258:1 259:11 | course 11:9 |
| contract 90:18 | 44:17 | 66:21,23 68:4 | 259:18 260:3,4 | 31:24 35:11 |
| 91:10,21,22 | Control 1:1 2:2 | 68:21 69:1,7 | 260:7,10 | 63:18 68:14 |
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| 223:1 224:12 | cross-examine | 79:24 189:20 | 100:18 113:13 | deposition 15:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 263:15 | 6:6 275:24 | 189:21 252:6,9 | 145:7,17 | 48:21 49:9 |
| courses 216:8 | crosses 123:12 | 252:16 253:8 | 148:19 156:14 | 55:12 57:19 |
| 228:17 | 272:18 | 253:11 261:8 | 161:5 168:19 | 60:23 64:18 |
| coursework | crosshatch | 274:14 278:23 | 168:22 169:19 | 65:14 85:23 |
| 121:8 | 151:2 156:18 | 280:16 283:14 | 170:9 171:19 | 109:2,10 |
| court 78:2 | crossing 93:16 | days 181:24 | 172:23 177:7,8 | 118:18 126:5 |
| 136:13 142:6 | crush 163:15 | 199:21 282:13 | 177:16 200:11 | 126:18,21 |
| 144:12 | CSR 1:11 3:10 | deal 92:1 208:11 | 202:21 | 127:16 128:2,9 |
| cover 91:13 | 3:10 283:20,23 | 211:6 262:13 | department 1:6 | 130:14 131:22 |
| covered 112:5 | cubic 183:10,11 | 262:20 275:24 | 3:3,4 8:20 9:5 | 132:22 133:7 |
| 209:5 263:8,21 | current 8:189:6 | dealing 82:16 | 9:9 14:7,24 | 134:10 137:2,4 |
| create 135:5 | 98:2 162:19 | 207:17 208:2 | 15:1,3 33:4 | 137:13 140:10 |
| 137:20,20 | currently 8:19 | 262:9 281:13 | 79:20,23 81:13 | 140:16,20 |
| 177:22 263:4 | 9:8 15:2 50:4 | dealt 121:9 | 81:15 82:9,18 | 141:1,4,6 |
| created 248:19 | 80:4 118:20 | 208:12 | 82:22 84:5 | 142:16 168:1 |
| 262:15,22 | 212:6 214:20 | decided 181:22 | 89:4 101:21 | 176:7,15 |
| 263:1 | 242:17 | decisions 260:11 | 105:1 159:1,8 | 184:18 185:1 |
| creates 122:4 | cut 163:13 | 260:13 | 236:4 | 185:24 186:1 |
| creating 95:17 | 168:13 255:5 | deck 22:23,24 | depend 159:16 | 186:13 187:12 |
| criteria 162:13 | cutoff 45:23 | deduct 93:6 | depending 93:6 | 187:16,21 |
| 164:1 166:23 | cuts 191:4 | deducted 102:15 | 93:15 201:9 | 198:5 200:2,3 |
| cross 36:17 | CV 126:23 | deduction 102:1 | 206:3 250:16 | 210:16 211:15 |
| 99:18,23 | cycle 205:1,3,6,8 | 102:5 179:11 | depict 138:6 | 212:2 213:6,24 |
| 101:19 110:10 |  | deductions | 190:16 243:3 | 217:1 218:7 |
| 110:16,19 | D | 101:6,13 180:6 | depicted 29:2 | 222:4 223:21 |
| 111:17,18 | D 4:1 7:6 70:1 | 183:10 185:12 | 31:1,6 37:3 | 224:7 229:12 |
| 112:19 113:5 | 79:13 | deemed 101:21 | 44:12 75:11 | 231:22 233:9 |
| 119:24 131:24 | damages 159:20 | defend 108:4 | 99:24 110:16 | 234:16 246:15 |
| 143:11 165:18 | Daniel 3:7 5:12 | define 12:12 | 111:18 134:24 | 247:2 248:11 |
| 165:22 170:6 | darker 197:2 | defined 157:3 | 135:20 136:19 | 249:7,18 |
| 170:23 171:17 | dash 151:8 | 159:1 | 138:6,16,21,22 | 252:12 257:23 |
| 171:20 172:9 | dashed 150:6,14 | definitely 130:4 | 141:23 156:11 | 260:20 280:15 |
| 173:19,21 | 152:14 | degree 7:18,18 | 175:6 176:16 | 281:18 |
| 174:23 176:20 | databases 57:23 | 172:21 | 178:14,19 | depression |
| 177:8,14,19,23 | 58:18 | Delaware 1:3 | 271:6 | 135:4 |
| 178:5,10,14,17 | date 124:4,5,13 | demarcation | depicting 110:20 | depth 205:5 |
| 179:4,6 198:17 | 196:4,11,13,17 | 95:21 | 116:14 191:21 | 206:18 |
| 200:21 209:9 | 239:2 281:4 | demarcations | depicts 190:17 | depths 153:7 |
| 209:21 | dated 188:5 | 189:9 | 267:20 | 155:6,18,20 |
| cross-exam | 195:23 | demarking | deposed 14:20 | derived 215:19 |
| 141:2 | Daubert 127:8 | 117:10 | 49:13 55:14 | describe 61:21 |
| cross-examina... | 127:11 | demonstrate | 60:10 65:15 | 62:14 175:8 |
| 4:6,15 273:22 | day 1:15 5:3,14 | 244:4 | deposeth 7:5 | 259:20 |
| 274:11 279:17 | 49:16 74:17,20 | demonstrative | 79:12 | description |
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| 245:1 | 202:7205:14 | 126:3,15 | divided 13:6 | 91:2,3 214:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| design 92:10 | 206:11 213:3 | 280:10 | division 41:10 | 214:23 215:1 |
| designated | 213:23 234:9 | discuss 82:11 | 232:23 239:15 | 219:4 222:1 |
| 55:20 57:18 | 259:21 277:17 | 104:19 | 239:17,21 | 230:9 236:14 |
| 64:16,17 281:6 | 280:15 | discussed 66:6 | doc- 91:22 | 265:22 279:19 |
| details 258:3 | differentiations | 100:8 104:12 | document 19:2 | Dorgan 110:13 |
| determine 39:7 | 23:6 | 104:14 106:22 | 19:3,6 27:10 | 111:22 158:14 |
| 66:3,4,10,20 | difficult 189:7 | 128:1 146:15 | 27:10,12 31:9 | 166:16 272:20 |
| 112:3 | 266:21 | discussing 44:4 | 41:18,24 43:13 | Dorgan's 99:17 |
| detour 92:20,23 | direct 4:5,14 | discussion | 44:8 46:11 | 103:16 108:3 |
| 92:23 93:15,24 | 5:17 15:17 | 144:16 167:23 | 65:11 68:23 | 149:1,12,17 |
| 94:1 150:18,20 | 23:3,21 24:15 | 188:1 192:17 | 70:671:20 | 150:9 153:16 |
| 151:3,4,5,9,10 | 38:17 47:3,12 | 253:22 276:13 | 80:20 81:2 | 155:15 164:9 |
| 155:22 156:5,6 | 48:14 49:11 | discussions | 87:22 90:5,7 | 172:7 176:17 |
| 161:19,21 | 60:24 73:17 | 198:4 | 91:13 92:17,22 | 251:6 |
| 163:1,7,9,11 | 79:1 103:24 | display 117:2 | 97:13 98:19 | dots 175:8,11,12 |
| 163:22 164:16 | 114:11 116:19 | 188:20 | 100:22 103:9 | dotted 110:19 |
| 164:17,20 | 120:22 145:3 | displayed 151:7 | 108:24 116:3 | Dougherty 3:3 |
| 167:21 168:6 | 199:22 209:9 | 174:23 175:9 | 117:24 118:3 | dovetails 171:7 |
| 168:10,14,15 | 209:17 226:21 | dispose 105:1 | 119:9 120:15 | dozen 277:2 |
| 190:21,24 | 239:9 250:6 | 208:16,20 | 121:5 122:16 | draft 210:23 |
| 191:1,3,6,16 | directing 5:17 | disposed 82:20 | 131:20 146:18 | drafting 41:2 |
| 194:20,21 | 20:2,18 | dispute 50:22 | 148:22,24 | drain 164:7 |
| 196:2 255:6,9 | direction 95:16 | 88:3 119:9,11 | 153:4 180:9 | 196:3 |
| 255:14,23 | 283:12 | disputing 25:18 | 185:9,16,20 | drainage 83:13 |
| 256:2 | directly 28:22 | 25:19 | 195:21 198:9 | 84:8 86:18 |
| deviate 260:16 | 29:3 103:15,17 | disrespect 129:4 | 238:22 239:2 | 92:6 112:19,22 |
| 261:16 | 105:20 108:2 | distance 115:22 | 239:20 252:16 | 124:1 |
| deviating 261:18 | 108:12 118:8 | 123:13 | 252:20,22 | drains 196:1 |
| deviation 243:4 | disagree 53:20 | distinguish | 258:3 265:15 | draw 102:20 |
| diagonally | 171:6 224:2 | 274:17 275:5 | 268:7 269:14 | 265:10 268:1,7 |
| 150:21 | 243:7 247:22 | 276:5 | 272:19 | drawing 243:2 |
| diagram 177:22 | 253:5 262:23 | distorts 189:14 | documents 57:2 | 255:4 267:10 |
| 269:8,11 | 263:10 264:18 | distributed | 57:9 66:7 68:9 | drawings 153:8 |
| diagrams 177:3 | 268:21 | 215:22 | 68:10,14 69:3 | 242:20,23 |
| dictate 14:16 | disagreeing | district 9:14,16 | 69:10 71:5,17 | 243:3,4,2I |
| 45:1,2 | 263:12 | 26:5,7 72:8 | 82:2,9 90:7 | 244:3 |
| difference 25:2 | discard 162:9 | 221:4,13,16 | 104:7 108:20 | dries 205:24 |
| 91:16 98:5,6 | discharging | 239:7 | 110:23 112:17 | drive 23:2 |
| different 13:22 | 14:11 | disturbance | 128:3 148:3 | driveway 12:21 |
| 23:10,10,18 | disclose 128:8 | 194:17,19 | 153:14 226:1 | dry 135:5,9,13 |
| 28:2 53:18 | disclosed 103:3 | 195:1 197:3 | 243:23 251:11 | 137:4 138:14 |
| 54:9 92:8,9 | 109:8 128:1,24 | disturbed 194:2 | 256:11,12 | 138:18 |
| 95:4 101:7 | disclosure 103:9 | 195:12 | doing 7:9 11:12 | Du- 9:19 |
| 106:15 198:24 | 108:6,8 109:20 | dive $262: 8$ | 32:3 86:14,15 | Duane 82:13 |
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| 222:20,23 | 161:4 | eight 57:17,19 | 152:6,12 | 153:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 223:3,11 | easements 32:3 | 104:23,24 | 162:12,14,22 | encountering |
| dudish 125:6 | 32:6 151:1 | 105:3 131:22 | 163:5 165:7 | 86:21 |
| due 273:10 | 157:9 | 134:15,21 | 166:23 167:2 | encroaches |
| 276:8 | easier 19:14,16 | 172:11 | 167:21 169:8 | 25:16 |
| dug 155:8 | 102:22 140:14 | Eighty-three | 169:10,12,23 | endangered |
| duly 7:4 79:11 | 141:3,7 163:16 | 250:23 | 170:1,4,8,19 | 162:15 |
| dune 129:21 | easily 122:12 | either 93:5 | 173:1,16 174:5 | ended 181:8 |
| DuPage 9:20 | east 27:5 28:22 | 147:19 154:12 | 174:8,20 | 214:8 248:4,14 |
| duties 26:4 | 29:4 34:18 | 154:21 202:2 | 176:16 185:11 | 248:21 |
| dwell 127:15,19 | 35:2,6 42:16 | electric 201:2 | 185:14 191:22 | ends 111:8 |
|  | 43:1,3,13 46:8 | elevated 22:11 | 192:5 253:17 | 114:23 156:9 |
| E | 70:14 71:6 | 22:18 130:21 | 254:2 256:7 | 190:23 |
| E 2:1,1,9 3:1,1 | 115:1,13 | 205:7 267:14 | 264:16 265:3,8 | enforce 260:6 |
| 4:1,17 7:6,6 | 123:13 151:21 | elevation 110:21 | 265:13,19 | engineer 7:23 |
| 38:21 70:1,1,1 | 152:10 172:12 | 112:5,7 130:22 | 266:10,14,17 | 8:1,10,12,14 |
| 73:4,4 74:13 | 191:17 265:2 | 134:6,11 135:8 | 266:18,19 | 80:12 82:13 |
| 74:13 75:4,4 | east/west 46:2 | 152:17 271:7 | 267:12,14,16 | 159:3,11,14 |
| 79:13,13 210:1 | 48:2 203:4 | 272:6,14 | 267:22 268:7 | 252:14 260:5 |
| E-R-I-C 90:15 | eastern 24:11 | elevations 152:7 | 268:13,19,23 | 260:12,13 |
| earlier 29:8 36:5 | 27:2 151:5,10 | 152:9 168:24 | embankments | engineer's 252:2 |
| 44:4 71:10 | 267:11,13 | elicit 77:16 | 101:15 163:24 | engineering 3:2 |
| 72:16 98:4 | easy 162:21 | 107:21 | 164:3 166:21 | 7:17,19 80:5 |
| 100:8 146:14 | 193:19 | elicited 148:5 | 256:8 | 149:2,9 171:23 |
| 152:18 158:23 | Ebihara 3:5 | eliminated | emcginley@at... | 214:14 |
| 185:10,17 | 182:3 261:9 | 205:8 | 2:18 | ensure 72:20 |
| 193:23 198:14 | 278:6,17,19,23 | Ellen 2:16 158:4 | employed 8:19 | 74:24 |
| 211:19 226:13 | 279:8 280:4 | ELM 144:1 | 73:24 79:20 | enter 265:11 |
| 234:7 242:9 | Ebihara's | else's 87:11 | 80:2 81:12 | 274:9 |
| 244:23 245:16 | 260:22 273:12 | embankment | employee 214:4 | entered 276:16 |
| 253:22,22 | edge 24:10,11 | 32:13,18,23 | 238:10 | entire 9:12 33:2 |
| 264:24 266:13 | 27:2 29:12 | 33:9,18 34:5,6 | employees 64:11 | 87:1,9 88:21 |
| 269:6 272:23 | 47:17 99:23 | 36:14 37:16,17 | 135:6 236:5,8 | 108:24 109:2 |
| earliest 137:12 | 100:2 | 38:7 47:17 | 236:10 | 118:4 123:19 |
| early 72:5 86:4 | Edison 38:6,6,8 | 48:9,11,17 | employer 8:18 | 199:7 251:23 |
| 203:23 | 38:9,10 | 51:18 53:9 | employment | 256:15,17,20 |
| ears 274:3 | educate 219:19 | 54:15,15 63:14 | 68:15 214:8 | 257:1 281:9 |
| earth 166:18 | 220:8 232:8 | 69:14 101:9,11 | encompassed | entirely 169:15 |
| easel 150:10 | educated 70:11 | 102:18 103:6 | 129:20 | 176:17 |
| easement 51:17 | education 7:16 | 104:13 105:11 | encompassing | entirety 20:24 |
| 51:21 52:2,4,7 | 8:15 | 105:20 106:20 | 151:19 | 218:23 230:4 |
| 52:16,16 53:3 | effect 40:17 | 106:22 107:3 | encounter 43:14 | entities 13:23 |
| 54:1,3 150:23 $156: 22 \quad 157: 17$ | effective 40:22 | 108:11,22 | 161:8 | 23:10 24:20 |
| 156:22 157:17 | effort 163:23 | 109:3 111:10 | encountered | 37:9 |
| 158:3,20 160:2 | 166:11 | 151:13 152:2,5 | 83:4 109:9 | entity 12:14 |
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| 13:2 56:19 | 266:10 | 21:1,9 23:6 | 185:23 186:4 | exists 53:7,11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| environmental | exactly 10:23 | 28:13 29:2,12 | 186:13,14,15 | 63:16 150:20 |
| 81:14 | 74:22,24 87:5 | 29:17,20 36:17 | 186:16,24 | 178:12 205:4 |
| eolaughlin@a... | 105:18 130:24 | 40:14 41:1 | 187:21 188:6 | 216:11 |
| 2:19 | 130:24 144:8 | 42:20 43:7 | 188:22 190:13 | expand 109:22 |
| EPA 81:5 89:2 | 157:1 159:17 | 44:11 46:19,20 | 192:8 193:10 | 200:20 |
| 89:19 94:14 | 160:24 234:11 | 46:24 47:20,24 | 194:11 195:3 | expect 279:18 |
| 204:10,14 | 253:3,16 254:6 | 48:24 55:6,11 | 195:16 198:2 | expected 157:5 |
| 205:16 206:4 | 255:8,12,23 | 55:20 57:20 | 198:11 200:11 | experience |
| 206:20 207:16 | Examination | 58:20 59:2 | 200:12 210:9 | 13:13 68:13,17 |
| 244:8,13 | 4:5,7,9,10,14 | 61:7,8 65:13 | 211:17,21 | 207:7,13,14 |
| EPAs 204:15 | example 14:2 | 66:6 67:17 | 233:8 238:12 | expert 81:14 |
| 257:5,10 | 57:10 61:14 | 80:18,22 82:5 | 238:19 258:11 | 104:1,15 |
| Eric 90:13,15 | 62:7 207:8 | 90:9 91:11 | 264:20 265:14 | 107:20 127:16 |
| especially 88:1 | excavate 201:11 | 92:13 97:11,11 | 275:22 276:14 | 131:20 210:4,7 |
| 277:24 | excavated 202:4 | 97:15,17,23 | exhibited 150:18 | 214:24 218:1,6 |
| essence 91:1 | excavating | 98:21,22 99:14 | exhibits 15:9 | 218:6,20 |
| 94:23 95:22 | 201:9,10,13 | 99:20 100:17 | 46:24 149:12 | 226:18 227:1 |
| 102:14 111:9 | excavation | 100:19 102:8 | 185:1 186:10 | 228:19 230:1 |
| 121:18,23 | 98:11 101:6,8 | 102:10 103:11 | 186:21 187:2 | 231:12,23 |
| 126:1 254:19 | 112:20 201:10 | 103:12,21 | 273:18,22,24 | 238:23 280:7 |
| essentially | excavations | 110:10 113:13 | 274:8,10 275:4 | 280:10,14,14 |
| 279:15 | 101:20 | 113:21 116:10 | 275:18,21 | 281:3,7,7,8,12 |
| establish 187:5 | exceed 115:23 | 119:9 121:2 | 276:10,14 | 281:12,14,16 |
| established | excess 204:4 | 122:15 124:7 | 277:14 280:9 | 281:17,17 |
| 13:16 227:15 | excessive 163:13 | 125:8,11 | exist 41:18,24 | 282:1 |
| estimate 10:24 | exchange 270:10 | 126:21 129:24 | 139:4 143:17 | expertise 211:11 |
| 93:17 | excluding 21:3 | 130:10,11 | 229:4 233:22 | 216:7 227:16 |
| estimated 42:21 | exclusively | 134:16,17 | 241:17 268:17 | explain 12:11 |
| et 127:6 | 23:13,14,15 | 141:6 145:7,10 | 268:18 | 24:1 27:24 |
| Evaluation | 28:1737:13 | 145:14,15,17 | existed 68:6 | 91:16 92:22,23 |
| 149:2,10 | excuse 59:2 | 147:4,14,15,15 | 137:14 167:13 | 95:12 108:4 |
| 171:23 | 80:21 91:8 | 147:15,22 | 206:16 238:5 | 157:21 197:1,3 |
| Evan 2:15 15:20 | 104:22 107:17 | 148:10,20,20 | existing 96:8 | 203:5 215:14 |
| event 182:1,22 | 125:19 129:8 | 149:21 150:19 | 111:15 114:12 | explanations |
| events 252:6,16 | 161:11 188:22 | 151:7,14 153:1 | 114:16 116:14 | 248:15 |
| eventually $122: 2$ | 189:5 194:6 | 154:10 156:15 | 116:17 125:7 | exposed 206:22 |
| everybody 29:16 | 204:14 206:7 | 158:13 167:9 | 130:19 131:9 | exposure 204:19 |
| 83:11 99:9 | 281:1 | 171:14,16 | 143:21 164:7 | expressway 14:4 |
| evidence 50:13 | exhaustive | 172:2,17 173:8 | 167:13 168:21 | 14:5,6,8 15:6 |
| 50:17 183:23 | 109:9 | 175:15 177:7,9 | 179:16 229:7 | 16:14,24 17:2 |
| 265:11 273:19 | exhibit 15:18,22 | 177:11 180:7 | 242:17 269:17 | 17:3 21:3 |
| 274:1,10 276:1 | 16:5,17,23 | 183:22 184:4,5 | 270:1,2,21 | 22:10,12 24:5 |
| 276:17 | 17:5,9 19:15 | 184:18 185:7 | 271:9,20 | 31:12 63:8 |
| exact 239:17 | 19:18 20:3 | 185:16,19,22 | 272:10 | 71:793:11 |
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| 191:22 | 16:11 | 156:18 170:17 | 255:5,9,13,23 | 201:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| extends 123:13 | fan 123:22 | 172:2,4,22 | 256:7 268:22 | five 95:24 |
| extensive 198:4 | far 11:3 12:12 | 173:7,14 | 272:16 | 195:23 251:5 |
| 276:20 | 12:19,23 24:13 | 175:15 176:17 | filled 137:5,9 | Five-eighty-se... |
| extensively | 33:11 34:12 | 191:2 192:16 | 141:22 144:3 | 271:7 |
| 197:23 | 50:7 88:12 | 209:16 216:21 | filling 140:5 | Five-ninety-one |
| extent 145:20 | 103:9 107:24 | 225:19 226:7 | final 97:14 | 271:14 |
| 146:5 152:16 | 115:22 116:21 | 235:15 237:3 | 100:23 101:2,3 | Five-three 124:9 |
| 153:12 158:9 | 117:6,23 | 246:1,6 266:9 | 104:9 180:5 | flat 32:11,12 |
| 198:7 | 119:17 122:21 | 266:13 270:23 | 183:10 185:15 | flies 121:18 |
| extra 57:24 | 123:9 130:24 | 277:22 278:1 | 257:23 280:5 | flip 45:11 61:4 |
| 58:12 166:11 | 131:1 143:5 | figured 247:8 | finally 181:5,6 | 202:2 |
| eyes 14:10 122:1 | 146:13 181:6 | figures 172:7 | financial 91:1 | flipped 45:9 |
|  | 184:6 189:1 | file 11:1,2,19 | find 11:10 47:22 | flipping 17:6 |
| F | 192:6 205:3 | 72:17 218:1 | 82:2 89:5 | flown 122:24 |
| F 2:16 74:13 | 267:6,15,18,21 | 220:16 231:12 | 165:10 223:21 | fly 121:19 |
| 75:4 | 279:2 | 231:17,17,19 | 225:11 235:2 | 278:15 |
| FA 93:11 | fashion 38:1 | 231:20,24 | 237:16,21 | focus 122:1 |
| face 129:2 | faster 141:3 | 232:17 242:7,8 | 240:3,6,8,10 | 248:13 264:6 |
| fact 5:22 26:8,9 | features 91:23 | 242:11,17 | 240:20 | focused 104:15 |
| 37:10 54:18 | 125:6 | 248:18 251:9 | finders 108:13 | folks 220:7 |
| 56:14 73:23 | Federal 246:22 | 251:22,23 | finds 92:8 | 225:20 232:7 |
| 97:5 105:14 | fee 50:10,23 | 252:3 256:16 | fine 6:13,14 7:10 | 235:16 |
| 108:13 128:17 | 159:7 | 256:17,20 | 16:1 30:10 | follow 236:5 |
| 140:4 148:1 | feel 133:2 | 257:1,10 | 38:15 138:22 | 260:9,13 |
| 205:20 220:10 | feet 95:20,23 | filed 241:11 | 145:16 147:20 | following 18:15 |
| 225:11 232:11 | 96:13,14,15,24 | 276:6,7 | 213:24 273:1,2 | 20:13 50:2,3 |
| 235:2 242:20 | 97:2 111:14 | files 11:20 71:17 | 279:3 | 60:13,14 61:11 |
| 257:12,22 | 156:9 | 76:12 217:21 | finish 273:13 | 61:12,20 62:13 |
| 263:8 264:15 | feverishly 142:6 | 219:17 220:6 | 281:2 | 65:18,19 78:19 |
| factor 205:21 | fiberoptics | 230:24 231:8 | finished 38:17 | 141:14 144:22 |
| facts 50:13,17 | 201:2 | 232:6 | 78:23 113:9 | 209:12 276:12 |
| factually 140:3 | fibers 174:1 | fill 101:24 | 166:18 273:6 | follows 7:5 |
| faint 152:5 | 203:14 206:17 | 102:18 106:19 | 279:12 | 79:12 200:19 |
| 169:3 | 206:23 | 109:4 110:14 | finishes 6:4 | foot 101:22 |
| fair 14:18 141:8 | fibrous 174:3,5 | 110:16,21 | fired 142:20 | 169:12 170:21 |
| 174:23 211:5 | 178:19,22 | 111:3,4 118:10 | first 5:20 6:2 7:4 | 173:18 254:1 |
| 226:20 | field 64:3 92:8 | 118:12,14,16 | 12:12 17:21 | foregoing 283:8 |
| falls 26:6 | 176:18 242:10 | 118:18 131:4 | 20:6 21:7 27:1 | forensic 88:11 |
| familiar 12:8 | 242:11 | 131:11,16,19 | 73:18 79:11,19 | forget 5:4 154:6 |
| 14:19 34:15 | figure 12:4 | 135:7 137:20 | 97:15,16 | forgot 279:23 |
| 81:2 85:8 | 110:19 112:14 | 142:19 143:2 | 113:20 130:15 | forgotten 126:8 |
| 164:12 204:7 | 122:18 149:1,3 | 144:5 253:17 | 132:6,15 133:6 | form 10:16 |
| 204:12 | 150:9 151:16 | 253:19 254:1,3 | 133:11 143:2 | 236:3 |
| familiarized | 152:1 155:16 | 254:8,11,20,21 | 177:1 182:7 | formation 198:1 |
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| former 156:13 | funding 24:18 | 121:8,11 | 237:21 256:23 | 162:14,18,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 264:8,10 | 95:8 207:17 | getting 181:8 | 267:8 273:4 | 163:19 164:2,2 |
| forming 241:1 | funds 23:6,7,7 | GIS 58:15 | 276:13 277:12 | 165:9 166:24 |
| forms 23:10 | 28:14,16 36:22 | give 11:7 14:2 | 281:7,10 | 168:18 169:23 |
| 121:14 | 36:23,24 37:8 | 50:2 60:14 | Gobelman 3:2 | 170:13 176:3 |
| Fortunato 3:6 | 37:14 44:16 | 62:24 65:19 | 4:12 5:18 6:4 | 176:22 177:18 |
| forward 74:16 | furnished 180:1 | 99:5 110:23 | 66:13 79:2,5 | 180:22 181:10 |
| found 36:3 | further 4:9,10 | 124:13 134:7 | 79:10,17 84:23 | 182:23,23 |
| 49:10 155:7 | 36:10 61:9 | 145:11 153:18 | 88:18 95:9 | 184:24 189:1 |
| 165:4 179:15 | 69:19 73:1 | 153:19 157:11 | 103:20 105:16 | 192:5 201:11 |
| foundation 13:9 | 74:8 78:10,12 | 159:14 181:11 | 107:19 110:9 | 202:1,3 204:18 |
| 13:17 21:15 | 94:15 109:12 | 184:11 188:23 | 128:20 143:16 | 204:22 205:3 |
| 50:18 60:22 | 115:1 191:2 | 266:24 | 145:4 148:19 | 206:22 226:9 |
| 70:17 71:19 | 202:20 209:19 | given 11:11 12:5 | 153:12 154:3 | 226:24 241:10 |
| 108:20 177:5 | 209:21 229:22 | 53:18 68:24 | 176:7 187:2 | 258:3 265:11 |
| 184:1 186:18 | Furthermore | 72:11 105:16 | 188:4 197:23 | 268:2 273:6,12 |
| 187:6 | 198:4 | 110:22 | 199:22 210:3 | 275:6,18,20 |
| four 59:12 65:22 | future $92: 1$ | giving 57:9 | 226:10,11 | 277:17,20 |
| 95:24 104:4 | 93:10 94:21 | 180:3 | 227:5 241:16 | 278:14,15,15 |
| 106:21 | 109:24 110:2 | glass 121:23 | 266:4 270:8 | 279:21 281:14 |
| four-and-a-half | 204:18 207:6 | Glenwood | 279:17 280:5 | 282:3 |
| 199:19 | 281:5 | 191:17 | Gobelman's | good 5:2 7:8 |
| four-inch |  | go 6:2 11:4,6,11 | 79:1 184:18 | 38:23,24 79:15 |
| 166:17 | G | 24:19 33:11 | 226:13 243:14 | 79:16 88:13 |
| four-page | G 203:2 | 43:7,13 53:4 | goes 27:4 32:18 | 110:5 143:12 |
| 171:10 | game 226:20 | 59:1,3 61:8,9 | 92:7 151:22 | 154:1 225:3 |
| four-way 31:7 | gas 201:1 203:3 | 70:8 80:22 | 152:17 191:2 | 233:24 238:3 |
| 31:15 | 203:6,9,12,16 | 84:793:23 | 272:3 280:8 | 262:4 |
| Fourteen 104:21 | gather 221:19 | 94:13 95:24 | going 5:22 6:3,6 | gotten 52:15 |
| fourth 17:7 | Gen 142:21 | 107:16 112:22 | 17:5 18:16 | 249:11 250:11 |
| frankly 108:24 | general 106:24 | 113:1 114:4 | 30:12,13 49:11 | 266:5 |
| freeze 205:7 | 107:1 207:11 | 115:23 116:6 | 64:15 79:1 | governed 259:13 |
| freeze/thaw | 266:18 | 129:9 131:2,15 | 85:11,20 96:11 | government |
| 204:24 205:5,8 | GENERAL'S | 137:2 139:15 | 97:16 98:8 | 13:7,23 23:11 |
| friable 205:21 | 2:15 | 141:4 146:8,13 | 99:3 101:24 | governments |
| 205:24 206:2 | generally 56:3 | 147:15,17 | 107:11 108:23 | 13:7,16 |
| front 15:19 49:1 | 275:10 | 156:21 158:23 | 109:7 113:1,11 | grab 114:7 |
| 100:16,18 | Generation | 165:5 166:12 | 113:14 114:24 | grade 21:2 32:8 |
| 148:20 211:18 | 118:20 | 170:7182:11 | 115:2 116:4,8 | 42:17 52:22 |
| frost 205:7 | Geographic | 187:13,23 | 116:10,16,24 | 53:6,10 69:15 |
| Frye 127:12 | 58:1 | 192:15 194:11 | 128:24 129:15 | 111:13 116:16 |
| full 7:11 19:7 | geological 57:24 | 197:11 199:20 | 142:24 143:5,7 | 131:8 143:21 |
| 72:18 | 122:19 123:1 | 208:10 209:3,9 | 145:20,23,24 | 143:23 152:9 |
| funded 23:13,13 | geologist 80:17 | 227:9 232:21 | 153:4,18,24 | 164:6 166:18 |
| 23:14 207:23 | geomorphology | 236:7 237:16 | 159:4,18 162:8 | 168:21,24 |
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| 170:14 | 143:21 150:3,4 | 15:11,15 17:8 | 146:16,20,23 | 276:19,23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| grades 170:11 | 150:7,13,16 | 18:3,6,19,23 | 147:21 148:6 | 277:3,12,23 |
| grant 53:14,17 | 151:11,19,21 | 19:9,20 21:17 | 148:12,15 | 278:3 279:5,11 |
| 53:18 57:9 | 152:8,10 155:2 | 21:23 25:8,14 | 152:20 153:17 | 279:15,20 |
| 63:5,19 66:6 | 170:24 172:12 | 25:23 26:12 | 153:23 158:11 | 280:20 282:8 |
| 68:9,10,14,23 | 172:14,24 | 28:9 29:24 | 160:9,20 | 282:12,16 |
| granular 101:9 | 173:4,5,14,15 | 30:3,11,15,18 | 165:17,21 | hand 140:10 |
| 101:23 106:22 | 177:18,21 | 30:21 37:2 | 168:2 169:16 | handle 273:21 |
| 185:14 | 178:13 190:21 | 38:16,19 45:12 | 171:5,9 174:16 | handled 161:10 |
| gravity 250:2,20 | 191:18 196:2 | 45:17 47:9 | 176:9,12,19 | 161:11 218:21 |
| great 7:11 | 265:6 267:14 | 49:3 50:14,19 | 177:2 178:7 | 220:13 229:15 |
| 113:24 272:19 | 269:15 | 51:1 54:22 | 179:21 180:24 | 230:2 232:14 |
| 277:5 | ground 167:3 | 55:7 56:23 | 181:4,10,14,17 | handles 217:11 |
| green 24:17,23 | 194:1 195:13 | 59:23 60:2 | 181:23 182:13 | handy 198:2 |
| 36:23 37:4 | groundwater | 61:1,5 62:3 | 182:17,21 | happen 116:4 |
| 44:13 156:11 | 81:15 94:9 | 64:14,19,24 | 183:3,20 184:3 | 162:4 |
| Greenwood | 95:4 207:24 | 69:20 70:19 | 184:9,14 186:3 | happened 211:7 |
| 20:17,23 21:8 | 214:19 | 71:21 73:2 | 186:6,22 187:9 | 226:20 241:9 |
| 21:13 22:6,8 | guess 25:10 | 74:9 76:4,19 | 187:23 188:8 | 242:9 247:14 |
| 22:10,17,19 | 30:12 42:9 | 77:12,18 78:13 | 188:12 192:11 | 253:3,10,14 |
| 24:6,7 26:24 | 50:21 69:15 | 78:21 79:4 | 192:15,19,24 | 262:14,21 |
| 27:3,4 28:20 | 79:7 99:4 | 84:1,20 85:2 | 195:2,7 197:11 | 264:2 |
| 29:5,6 31:2 | 132:2 175:8 | 85:10,14,17 | 197:14,17 | happens 31:21 |
| 32:19 33:21 | 192:5 225:17 | 86:1,7 87:13 | 198:8,13 199:2 | happy 141:5 |
| 34:18 35:2,5,7 | 273:12 279:21 | 87:17 88:7,15 | 199:10,14 | 182:7 266:2 |
| 35:16 36:3 | 282:15 | 98:20 99:2,10 | 200:7 202:9,12 | hard 17:24 18:7 |
| 39:3,20 40:13 | guessing 71:19 | 103:2,8,12,22 | 208:24 209:7 | 115:11 131:3 |
| 41:17,23 42:13 | guide 142:1,11 | 104:5,16,24 | 209:14,20 | 174:1 189:13 |
| 42:16,24 43:2 | guy's 198:14 | 105:22 106:1,7 | 211:16,20,23 | 190:8 205:22 |
| 43:4,14,15,17 | guys 153:18 | 106:11,14 | 217:2 219:24 | 224:4 |
| 43:24 44:12 | 193:20 270:9 | 107:4,7,16,23 | 226:19 227:11 | hardcopy 10:18 |
| 45:5,20 46:2,6 |  | 108:5,15 | 228:3,6,10,12 | hashmarks |
| 46:7,12 47:17 | H | 109:11,18,23 | 236:20 238:15 | 117:19 |
| 48:1,6,12 | H4:17 74:13 | 110:1,4 113:3 | 241:19 243:8 | he'll 261:4 |
| 52:22,23 53:1 | 75:4 | 113:7,14 114:4 | 243:12,17 | head 89:11 |
| 53:7,10,22 | H-O-O-G-H-... | 120:20 126:6 | 249:17,21 | 166:24 180:14 |
| 56:5,11 57:14 | 36:1 | 127:18 128:11 | 257:15,19 | 268:4 |
| 67:21 69:5,15 | hairs 199:16 | 128:23 129:5,9 | 260:21 261:2,6 | heading 61:15 |
| 70:12,14 71:5 | half 276:22 | 129:12 130:2 | 261:10 262:5 | 62:8 |
| 93:10 95:10 | halfway 262:17 | 131:13,23 | 264:21 265:23 | hear 85:12 |
| 100:3 111:8 | Hall 240:10 | 136:12 141:1,8 | 266:3 267:3 | heard 182:19 |
| 112:19 114:24 | Halloran 1:10 | 142:2,5 143:4 | 271:12 272:22 | 282:9 |
| 118:1,6 123:11 | 2:2 5:1,21 6:8 | 143:9,13 | 273:3 274:2,5 | hearing 1:10 5:1 |
| 123:21 125:1,3 | 6:11,15,19,23 | 144:14,18,24 | 274:12 275:2 | 5:3 6:8,11,15 |
| 131:9 135:8 | 8:2,6 13:10,19 | 146:2,7,10,12 | 275:13 276:3 | 6:19,23 8:2,6 |
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| 13:10,19 15:11 | 146:2,7,10,12 | 274:16 275:2,6 | 216:16,18 | hurts 79:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:15 17:8 | 146:16,20,23 | 275:13 276:2,3 | 217:22 218:1,2 | hydrology 139:7 |
| 18:3,6,19,23 | 147:21 148:6 | 276:11,19,23 | 227:16 228:18 | 139:21 |
| 19:9,20 21:17 | 148:10,12,15 | 277:3,12,16,23 | 231:1,9,12,13 | hypothetical |
| 21:23 25:8,14 | 152:20 153:17 | 278:3 279:5,11 | 236:5 | 249:7,15 250:4 |
| 25:23 26:12 | 153:23 158:11 | 279:18,20 | historical 39:6 | 250:8 |
| 28:6,9 29:24 | 160:9,20 | 280:20 282:8 | 39:10,11 75:7 |  |
| 30:3,11,15,16 | 165:17,21 | 282:12,16 | 75:11,21 76:8 | I |
| 30:18,21 38:16 | 168:2 169:16 | hearsay 25:13 | 76:9,16 82:1 | IA-6 68:2 |
| 38:19 45:12,17 | 171:5,9 174:16 | 25:17 | 89:6 102:12 | idea 227:6 238:4 |
| 47:9 49:3 | 176:9,12,19 | heighten 51:23 | 122:22 123:2 | 256:22 257:1 |
| 50:14,19 51:1 | 177:2 178:7 | held 239:6 | 124:19 131:6 | 275:20 281:8 |
| 54:22 55:7 | 179:21 180:24 | help 5:15 10:10 | 134:21 135:23 | identification |
| 56:23 59:23 | 181:4,10,14,17 | 10:14 11:8 | 136:9 220:15 | 4:19 148:11,21 |
| 60:2 61:1,5 | 181:23 182:13 | 46:1 47:22 | 222:10 225:4 | 177:12 |
| 62:3 64:19,24 | 182:17,21 | 92:23 112:3 | 232:16 234:1 | identified 27:9 |
| 69:20 70:19 | 183:3,20 184:3 | 192:22 264:23 | 241:1 | 36:20 57:4,5 |
| 71:21 73:2 | 184:9,14 186:3 | 277:21 | historically | 70:24 93:3 |
| 74:9 76:4,19 | 186:6,22 187:9 | helpful 114:10 | 75:24 91:24 | 96:14 100:10 |
| 77:12,18 78:13 | 187:23 188:8 | helps 53:9 | 95:1 | 104:7 118:7,15 |
| 78:21 79:4 | 188:12 192:11 | 122:10 160:23 | hit 86:22 | 119:14 122:13 |
| 84:1,20 85:2 | 192:15,19,24 | hereto 82:10 | hits 156:7 | 123:3,10,10,15 |
| 85:10,14,17 | 193:23 195:2,7 | hexagon 154:18 | hold 69:15 104:5 | 129:19 149:6 |
| 86:1,7 87:13 | 197:11,14,17 | 154:19,22 | 175:16 194:12 | 151:15,17,18 |
| 87:17 88:7,8 | 198:8,13 199:2 | 155:16 | holding 119:10 | 152:1 153:9 |
| 88:15 98:20 | 199:10,14 | hexagon-ish | hole 201:24 | 154:12 155:4 |
| 99:2,10 103:2 | 200:7 202:9,12 | 153:8 | homework | 156:16 166:20 |
| 103:8,12,22 | 208:24 209:7 | hexagons 154:10 | 198:15 273:23 | 171:23 173:24 |
| 104:5,16,24 | 209:14,20 | Hi 5:2 | Hooghkirk 36:1 | 178:3,17 179:5 |
| 105:22 106:1,7 | 211:16,20,23 | highest 7:16 | horizon 213:3 | 180:5 188:6 |
| 106:11,14 | 217:2 219:24 | highly 281:21 | horizons 202:4 | 190:13 203:15 |
| 107:4,7,16,23 | 226:19 227:11 | highway 15:5 | hour 80:11 | 203:16 206:18 |
| 108:5,15 | 228:3,6,10,12 | 34:16,19 35:1 | hours 6:7 98:23 | 206:19 225:20 |
| 109:11,18,23 | 236:20 238:15 | 47:14 53:15,17 | 199:19 | 235:16 267:24 |
| 110:1,4 113:3 | 241:19 243:8 | 63:5,19 69:16 | HR 225:18 | 276:18 |
| 113:7,14 114:4 | 243:12,17 | 70:15 94:3,5 | 226:6 235:15 | identifies 12:14 |
| 120:20 126:6 | 249:17,21 | 94:18,19 | 235:24 236:2 | 24:6 28:1 35:2 |
| 127:18 128:11 | 257:15,19 | 246:22 | Human 236:3 | identify $24: 20$ |
| 128:23 129:5,9 | 260:21 261:2,6 | highways 41:10 | hundred 42:12 | 94:24 121:13 |
| 129:12 130:2 | 261:10 262:5 | 239:15,17,22 | 95:20,23 96:24 | 121:14 122:10 |
| 131:13,23 | 264:21 265:23 | Hine 246:10 | 97:1 207:20 | 122:12 123:3 |
| 136:12 140:24 | 266:3 267:3 | hire 281:11 | 222:4 233:3,12 | 125:20 147:14 |
| 141:8 142:2,5 | 271:12 272:22 | historic 75:13 | 255:13 | 149:22 172:1 |
| 143:4,9,13 | 273:3,7,14 | 76:23 77:3,9 | hundreds | 188:22 189:8 |
| 144:14,18,24 | 274:2,5,12,15 | 211:11 216:9 | 207:19 | 193:14 195:21 |
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| 266:9 276:14 | 215:2,4,11,18 | 14:7,23 15:3,5 | incredibly 282:4 | 212:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| identifying 69:3 | 216:3 217:10 | 57:23,24 58:8 | indicate 44:15 | installing 205:4 |
| 98:15 130:11 | 217:21 218:21 | 76:13 79:20,23 | 72:14 82:24 | instance 83:20 |
| IDOT 5:23 8:23 | 218:24 219:5 | 80:14 81:13 | 120:15 | 84:17 200:21 |
| 8:24 9:3,7 | 219:18,18 | 89:16 94:14 | indicated 20:24 | 203:20 255:3 |
| 12:13 13:1 | 220:7,12,22 | 122:19 204:8 | 24:16 42:19 | instances 198:5 |
| 15:2 17:11,18 | 223:4,17 | 205:10,20 | 246:15 | 208:9 |
| 34:15 37:22 | 225:18,24 | 237:21 283:1 | indicates 83:2 | insulation 174:2 |
| 38:3 39:9,20 | 226:4,6 229:14 | 283:14,22 | 117:21 120:2 | 174:12 |
| 39:24 40:7 | 230:2,5,10,24 | image 122:3,3,9 | 123:23 | intend 278:10 |
| 51:13,15,16 | 231:7 232:7,13 | images 122:3 | indicating 120:3 | intended 226:24 |
| 52:9,15,23 | 232:20 235:15 | imagine 248:22 | indication | 244:4 246:1 |
| 53:13,21 54:13 | 235:24 236:1,2 | imaging 128:16 | 200:18 | intending 137:3 |
| 55:3,15 63:15 | 236:3,4,8 | immediately | individual 17:5 | interest 61:21 |
| 63:23 64:2,6 | 237:15 238:10 | 43:2 | indulge 17:6 | 62:14 66:4,11 |
| 64:10,23 66:3 | 239:14,18 | impact 68:10 | indulgence 6:1 | 66:20 |
| 66:10,11,20 | 246:16 249:10 | impacted 94:8 | infer 71:16,23 | interesting |
| 67:5,12 68:6 | 251:8 254:7,9 | 95:5 | 72:10 | 87:19 |
| 68:15,24 72:14 | 254:11,11 | impacts 203:8 | information | interject 165:11 |
| 72:19 73:7,8,9 | 259:20 260:2,5 | 208:14 | 10:9 57:23 | intern 5:13 |
| 73:18 74:1,15 | 261:17 264:16 | implemented | 58:1,9 81:6,18 | interpret 128:20 |
| 74:24 75:6,10 | 268:13,18,22 | 258:4 | 81:20,22,24 | interpretation |
| 75:19,23 76:7 | IDOT's 14:10 | important 14:12 | 82:18,22,23 | 245:13 |
| 76:8 77:4 80:2 | 39:13 54:19 | 14:14 91:24 | 85:9 89:5,6 | interpreting |
| 81:6 83:3 | 55:20 57:18 | 109:17,19 | 118:9 120:15 | 68:18 |
| 85:22 87:24 | 59:10 63:3 | improvement | 134:13 141:17 | interpretive |
| 89:1,10,20,21 | 65:6 78:23 | 20:23 21:8,12 | 155:10,15 | 28:13 |
| 90:18 94:10 | 111:4 132:15 | 37:3,11 111:14 | 176:18 221:15 | interprets 25:20 |
| 95:6,17 99:24 | IDOTs 156:22 | improvements | 221:18,19 | interrogatories |
| 100:8 108:13 | 160:16,22,24 | 16:22 21:12 | 225:4 226:12 | 58:23 59:5,11 |
| 112:10 121:18 | 161:7 164:10 | 22:6,8 41:16 | 226:15 234:1 | 61:16 62:9 |
| 122:24 124:19 | 169:7 216:9,18 | 41:22 56:6,19 | 236:14 244:20 | interrogatory |
| 125:9,12,17 | 218:2 220:15 | inadvertently | 244:24 252:14 | 59:18,20 60:6 |
| 132:19 150:9 | 225:4 227:16 | 186:20 | 258:9 | 60:7 63:3 65:5 |
| 150:15 152:11 | 228:18 231:13 | inches 167:2,4,5 | initiated 14:23 | 65:7,8 |
| 156:12 157:10 | 232:16 234:1 | 167:14,15 | inquiring | interrupted |
| 159:11 160:15 | 241:1 245:16 | include 10:2 | 274:13 | 78:24 |
| 162:24 164:11 | 256:13 260:17 | 12:20 21:1 | inquiry 226:23 | intersection |
| 164:18 167:19 | 261:19,22 | 83:13 89:20 | inspections | 24:7 31:3,8,15 |
| 174:4,7 207:24 | IEPA 244:10 | 252:8,18 | 64:11 | 42:18 43:3 |
| 208:16,19 | Illinois 1:1,6,12 | included 126:21 | install 196:1 | 124:24 156:8 |
| 211:11 212:9 | 1:14 2:2,4,6,11 | including 21:4 | installation | interview |
| 212:10 213:14 | 2:15,17 3:3,4,7 | 42:17 | 201:6 213:2 | 222:22 |
| 213:17,20 | 3:77:23 8:20 | Incorporated | installed 201:22 | interviewed |
| 214:1,4,16,21 | 8:20 9:9,17 | 80:5 214:14 | 203:10 207:6 | 219:17 220:6 |
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| 223:15 232:6 | 82:8,15 | 68:3,6,11 | 61:5,11 64:2,6 | 226:10,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| introduced | James 1:13 3:4 | 69:11 70:5,12 | 64:10,24 66:19 | 232:16 234:6 |
| 281:8 | 4:3 5:23 6:10 | 71:1,5,13 72:8 | 67:3,4,7,12 | 234:14 236:6 |
| investigated | 7:3,13 | 72:14 73:12,15 | 68:5,7,10,22 | 241:5,8 242:3 |
| 74:2 208:4 | James' 204:7 | 73:19 74:3 | 72:23 73:6,12 | 242:9 246:13 |
| investigating | Jennifer 2:7 | jurisdictional | 73:18,21,23 | 247:13 248:22 |
| 221:3 | JM 249:10 | 56:4,11,15 | 74:5,22,23 | 250:19 252:1 |
| investigation | JM001157 |  | 75:9,19,22 | 252:16,19,21 |
| 208:1 221:23 | 116:11 | K | 79:785:11 | 253:7 255:12 |
| investigations | JM1296 130:12 | K 193:2 | 86:22 88:7 | 256:19 259:2 |
| 214:19 221:1,3 | job 11:9 71:22 | Kane 9:20 | 89:11,1896:9 | 262:6 267:7 |
| 222:1 | 226:16 248:18 | keep 8:3 10:12 | 96:16,17 100:6 | 273:17 274:19 |
| investigative | 261:22 | 10:14,18,24 | 102:4 106:3 | 275:1,21 277:4 |
| 63:23 | Johns 1:3 3:2,5 | 11:17,20 12:3 | 107:13 109:4 | 278:7,16,22 |
| involved 41:2,5 | 3:6 81:7 87:22 | 18:3 39:13 | 111:24 112:12 | 279:24 282:9 |
| 95:11 132:14 | 105:9 109:16 | 68:9 88:8 | 113:4,4 115:22 | knowing 86:19 |
| 208:6 244:17 | 110:15 125:9 | 95:18 100:16 | 119:18,23 | 134:4 167:18 |
| 244:19,20 | 125:10 135:5,6 | 100:17 127:24 | 120:6 122:16 | knowledge |
| 245:5,24 246:5 | 137:8,19 144:2 | 135:8 156:3 | 124:17 125:16 | 21:16 34:1 |
| involvement | 147:16 156:13 | 243:13 271:1 | 126:13,13 | 70:17 |
| 45:3 88:24 | 180:1 210:24 | Keith 66:15 | 127:9,10,11,13 | known 123:6 |
| 89:14 | 274:4 276:15 | Kennedy 14:4,5 | 127:23 128:16 | knows 50:22 |
| involves 245:9 | joint 23:15 | 14:6,8 | 128:18 130:23 |  |
| Involving 104:9 | Joseph 3:6 | kept 10:17 11:19 | 131:10 132:13 | L |
| ISGS 58:12 | Jr 3:6 | key 23:18 28:13 | 138:10 139:7 | lack 13:8 21:14 |
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| 271:15 281:15 | spacing 95:16 | spelled 7:14 | 94:9 99:23 | stations 42:14 |
| 282:2 | speak 50:15 | spent 281:12 | 122:19 155:14 | 95:10,13 97:4 |
| sort 45:10 81:14 | 107:5 240:24 | splitting 199:16 | 171:1,2 204:9 | 111:16 |
| 89:4,5 91:22 | 241:16 271:13 | spoke 220:10 | 205:10,20 | stay 116:2 |
| 93:20,24 96:7 | 272:20 | 245:16 | 207:17 237:21 | stays $95: 19$ |
| 97:21 100:23 | spec 84:9 86:11 | spoken 232:11 | 241:13 273:10 | stenographic |
| 101:2 112:5,18 | 86:16 161:21 | sporadic 106:17 | 276:10 283:1 | 283:10 |
| 123:22 124:17 | 162:11 166:21 | 108:8 | stated 72:5 | step 5:18 6:20 |
| 124:18 125:6,7 | 167:8,9,10 | spot 255:3 | 109:14,14 | 248:12 270:14 |
| 127:7 128:5,9 | 217:17218:9 | spread 105:1 | 119:1,4,5 | steps 66:3,10 |
| 129:21 149:18 | 218:11,14,16 | 208:16,20 | 126:24 134:16 | stereo 121:11,16 |
| 155:3,5 156:10 | 219:5,11 | Spring 93:18 | 137:15 140:8 | 122:8 126:1 |
| 156:17 157:10 | 229:19 230:10 | SS 283:2 | 144:2,3 171:4 | 128:16,22,22 |
| 159:20 161:17 | 230:16,18 | Staff 5:12 | 222:7 245:9 | 132:11,16,17 |
| 162:10 175:7 | 231:24 | stagnant 124:1 | statement 138:4 | 132:20,23 |
| 190:17 191:2 | special 101:6 | stamped 41:10 | statements | 133:13 188:19 |
| 191:24 263:21 | 121:23 133:3 | stand 79:5 | 87:22 | 197:6,14,15 |
| 275:22 | 216:8 221:1,3 | 257:20 | states 63:4 82:8 | 198:18 |
| sorts 184:1 | 221:8,10,12 | standard 96:19 | 125:8 162:11 | stereos 198:17 |
| Sounds 143:12 | 228:17 232:22 | 232:18 251:14 | statewide | stereoscopic |
| source 118:23 | 232:22 | 258:7,16,19 | 215:17,17 | 121:13 126:3 |
| 119:1,2,7 | specific 128:5,9 | start 41:13 | 221:6,7,22 | 127:13 132:6 |
| 165:10 225:4 | 253:11,14 | 61:10 82:19 | stating 48:23 | 133:12,16,21 |
| 234:1 237:15 | 254:24 255:13 | 93:13 170:13 | 102:1 117:20 | Steve 35:24 |
| 237:20 255:23 | specifically $42: 8$ | 213:16,19 | 135:15 | 277:13 |
| 256:6 | 65:14 68:2 | 275:18 | station 42:16 | Steven 1:10 3:2 |
| sources 258:8 | 83:9 101:4 | started 5:14 | 43:1,10 92:7,8 | 3:10 4:12 5:17 |
| south 33:20 35:6 | specification | 73:18 79:17,19 | 95:14,21,23 | 66:13,17 79:10 |
| 48:6 150:3,8 | 83:17 | 146:19 222:14 | 97:1 99:23 | 120:21 128:19 |
| 150:13 151:2,3 | specifications | 271:8 | 100:4,10 111:8 | 283:5,20 |
| 155:2 172:13 | 162:15 167:24 | starting 65:19 | 111:12 112:2,8 | stipulated 15:20 |
| 172:13 177:17 | 220:17 232:18 | 151:19 152:7 | 114:23 115:10 | 15:24 18:24 |
| 193:20 202:20 | 251:14 258:7 | 269:23 | 115:23 116:1 | 19:1 274:15 |
| 253:20 267:15 | 258:17,19 | starts 189:2 | 116:20,22 | 275:5 276:4 |
| 267:18 | 259:19 260:3,6 | state 1:12 7:11 | 117:6,6,10,19 | stipulating |
| southeast 125:2 | 260:16 | 7:23 8:19 9:12 | 118:9 151:20 | 274:23 281:16 |

[^10]| 281:24 | 122:12 | summary 77:15 | 23:1,1 $34: 9$ | TACO 94:6,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stipulation | study 127:10 | Sunday 189:20 | 116:17 143:18 | take 6:6 8:15 |
| 274:17 | 217:10,22 | Superfund 81:7 | 144:6 161:18 | 16:9 22:1 |
| Stoddard 66:15 | 219:16 220:5 | 89:15 | 165:4 166:18 | 25:15 30:7,16 |
| 280:7,22,24 | 229:14 231:1,8 | superimpose | 167:3 201:17 | 38:20 78:16 |
| stop 79:22 | 232:5 | 87:20 | 202:16,18 | 107:8,12 |
| 199:16 262:4 | stuff 152:17 | supplement | 250:10 270:21 | 108:23 113:24 |
| 273:2 | Stumpner 3:4 | 109:15 211:2 | 271:9,20 | 140:9 144:19 |
| storm 83:20 | 4:3 5:23 6:10 | supplemental | 272:17 | 162:9 163:15 |
| 84:8,16 86:18 | 6:20,22 7:3,8 | 210:23 211:1,3 | surprising | 164:16 166:11 |
| 92:5 | 7:13 15:17 | support 10:6,11 | 165:20 | 175:23 176:1 |
| story 128:4 | 25:3 26:2,8,15 | 35:24 105:15 | survey 57:24 | 184:11 199:24 |
| straight 122:2 | 26:18 28:7 | 107:18,18,22 | 122:19 123:1 | 201:13 208:22 |
| 138:11 | 37:6 38:23 | supporting | surveys 64:3 | 224:6 229:5,6 |
| strange 275:23 | 44:5 47:24 | 226:11 | Susan 2:9 3:5 | 229:7 250:5 |
| 276:4 | 49:14 51:4 | suppose 275:11 | susan.brice@... | 255:3 260:23 |
| street 1:14 2:3 | 64:16 70:3 | supposed 96:17 | 2:12 | 265:2 |
| 2:10,16 21:5 | 73:6 75:6 | 119:21 259:21 | suspect 215:12 | taken 1:9 66:10 |
| 24:23 33:20 | 78:15,23 | 260:6 | sustain 107:11 | 78:18 121:20 |
| 34:3,12,19 | Stumpner's | supposedly | Sustained 60:3 | 144:21 196:23 |
| 35:3,6,6,17 | 15:23 | 200:1 | 61:2 | 209:11 216:8 |
| 36:3 39:23 | subject 36:2 | sure 6:16 8:5 | swale 135:3,3 | 228:17 283:11 |
| 40:1,4,6,13 | 273:20 | 16:20 22:5 | swales 127:22 | takes 130:24 |
| 42:18 43:3,15 | submission | 25:16 30:10,19 | swear 6:20 79:6 | talk 5:19 64:17 |
| 44:11 45:5 | 196:24 | 40:2 41:22 | swore 49:19 | 66:9,13,15,17 |
| 46:8,12 53:23 | submit 221:19 | 43:7 61:3 72:5 | sworn 7:5 79:7 | 87:23 88:1,4 |
| 56:5 69:6 | submitted 90:8 | 75:19,22 76:9 | 79:12 | 113:11 222:8 |
| 111:11 124:24 | 98:3 100:24 | 77:3 87:17 | symbol 117:21 | 225:8 233:16 |
| 156:7 190:23 | 221:15 241:12 | 90:24 99:7,8 | symbols 123:22 | 234:4,17 |
| 256:8 283:21 | subpoena | 102:21 107:6 | 135:17 | 235:14 240:17 |
| streets 22:16,18 | 280:23 282:15 | 109:7,18 115:3 | system 57:24 | 244:7 245:4,23 |
| stretch 107:9 | subpoenaed | 120:23 124:21 | 58:9 189:15 | 246:4 258:6 |
| strictly 121:9 | 280:21 282:6 | 136:15 140:1 | 236:7,9 | 273:14 280:12 |
| strike 80:21 | subset 20:16 | 145:19 153:22 | Systems 58:1 | 282:10 |
| 88:23 120:16 | subsurface | 182:15 187:4 |  | talked 92:19 |
| 174:20 189:5 | 153:2 154:13 | 187:17 189:1 | T | 95:10 108:19 |
| 245:14,14 | 203:8 | 194:3 202:6 | T 4:17 7:6,6 | 108:21 127:17 |
| strong 200:17 | suggest 37:7 | 204:17 206:21 | 38:21 70:1,1 | 187:10 225:18 |
| structural 93:13 | 190:1 273:21 | 209:5,8 219:1 | 73:4 74:13,13 | 233:2,18 |
| structure 21:2,3 | suggested | 219:3 220:5 | 75:4,4 79:13 | 234:20 244:16 |
| 21:4 28:8 29:7 | 272:23 | 230:6,8 246:23 | 79:13 210:1 | 245:19 249:7 |
| 29:21 32:15 | suitable 179:16 | 254:6 274:20 | T's 190:24 | talking 13:12 |
| 42:17 112:19 | 183:17 | 278:1 279:22 | tab 16:6 | 34:8 47:14 |
| 112:22 162:19 | Suite 2:3,11,17 | surface $21: 2,4$ | tabbed 16:5 | 48:10,11 83:8 |
| structures 28:2 | 283:21 | 22:21,21,22 | table 149:4,7 | 98:19 107:1 |
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| 128:19 152:16 | 34:16 70:5 | 133:21,23 | 240:17 243:18 | 100:14 109:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 199:1 218:9 | 87:8 93:21 | 145:19 146:4 | 255:22 261:11 | 112:6113:15 |
| 226:17,18 | terms 14:10 | 147:12 148:5 | 268:11 271:3 | 113:21 116:5 |
| 277:1 | 24:18 95:14 | 158:15 219:22 | 279:4,5 282:16 | 119:1 124:22 |
| talks 104:3,4 | 102:18 113:11 | 223:7 226:14 | 282:18 | 128:20 129:23 |
| 161:22 | Terrific 148:6 | 228:23 253:24 | Thanks 176:3 | 131:16 133:2 |
| task 221:21 | test 98:11 | 257:14 264:24 | 194:13 240:3 | 136:3,5 138:9 |
| Tatsuji 3:5 | testified 36:5 | text 270:22 | theoretically | 138:12 140:7 |
| taught 216:13 | 47:3 48:14 | 271:17 | 39:19 | 141:2 153:17 |
| 216:21 229:8 | 54:13 71:10 | textured 156:17 | theories 248:4 | 153:23 156:17 |
| Tax 67:5 | 72:16 73:17 | thank 5:14 6:17 | 248:23,24 | 160:5,23 162:6 |
| tear 38:1 54:14 | 75:17 77:8,9 | 7:1 8:6,13 18:6 | 249:3 | 169:4 172:9 |
| 54:15 | 85:20 103:18 | 19:20 21:7 | theory 105:9,11 | 181:5 192:9,13 |
| technically 38:4 | 108:16 118:17 | 26:16 30:4,22 | 105:15 108:9 | 192:21,22 |
| 97:19 214:22 | 134:9 143:1 | 38:15 55:9 | 110:15 142:11 | 194:18,22 |
| technique | 153:13,15 | 65:2 69:20 | 164:10,12 | 199:14,15 |
| 126:12 127:7,8 | 158:7 166:16 | 74:9 75:2 78:9 | 171:3 204:7 | 201:2,3 205:15 |
| 128:5,10 | 176:7,14 | 78:15 79:5 | 248:24 | 206:9 207:19 |
| technology | 185:10,17 | 85:10 92:12 | thing 88:11 97:6 | 209:4 213:22 |
| 126:4 | 193:24 194:16 | 94:3 95:9 | 97:9 128:8 | 216:5 218:8 |
| telephone 82:14 | 210:6 214:7 | 97:10 113:4,18 | 138:10 143:24 | 220:19 223:8 |
| 201:1 | 220:21,21 | 113:22,24 | 149:5 154:1 | 223:23 224:16 |
| tell 9:22 24:17 | 222:3 231:22 | 115:21 116:2 | 181:2 223:9 | 224:22 225:2 |
| 25:1 27:6,14 | 242:9 266:12 | 117:23 118:8 | things 10:2 | 226:12,20 |
| 28:15 31:4 | testify $21: 24$ | 120:14,16,19 | 12:20 36:8 | 232:21 233:23 |
| 37:11 42:10 | 48:20 55:24 | 128:11 129:13 | 92:3 95:17 | 238:4 239:24 |
| 49:19 76:8,12 | 58:4 86:2 | 131:10 134:20 | 103:18 121:22 | 240:2 242:13 |
| 77:3 116:20 | 126:7 131:16 | 141:10 142:5 | 127:24 138:12 | 243:13 247:24 |
| 129:16 130:16 | 131:18,19 | 144:19 148:17 | 147:9 200:2 | 249:6,18 |
| 131:13 178:6 | 158:6 210:15 | 152:21 158:17 | 204:3 211:13 | 253:21,23 |
| 178:11 189:13 | 223:20 253:3,7 | 160:17,20 | 213:5 218:12 | 259:14 262:3 |
| 190:9 224:19 | 253:10,13,16 | 165:22 168:3 | 218:13 242:9 | 262:14,21 |
| 254:22 255:2,8 | 254:5 272:20 | 169:6,17 | 251:5 264:24 | 266:12,17 |
| 255:22 256:6 | 282:4 | 171:12 176:13 | think 6:7 13:13 | 268:11 273:1 |
| 272:15 | testifying 107:10 | 182:3 184:13 | 14:18 18:1 | 274:20,21 |
| telling 281:14 | 107:19 110:14 | 184:15 186:7 | 19:2,5,8,15 | 277:1,18 |
| temporary 32:8 | 216:15 | 188:12 192:24 | 21:24 25:15 | 279:12 282:9 |
| 93:9 150:23 | testimony 5:24 | 193:4,7 195:8 | 26:13,14 42:7 | thinking 182:11 |
| 151:1 | 12:6 47:8 | 200:7 202:11 | 45:23 51:2 | 194:9 199:8 |
| ten 82:4 84:14 | 54:21 56:22 | 202:12 208:15 | 53:21 56:14,21 | thinks 91:23 |
| 85:24 134:18 | 60:23 76:2 | 209:21,23 | 65:171:23 | third 5:3 58:22 |
| 224:9 | 77:7,15 85:5 | 211:24 214:15 | 76:20 77:20 | 59:4 97:19,22 |
| tender 148:9 | 98:23 99:17 | 216:7 217:2 | 83:11 84:22 | 97:23 98:21 |
| tendered 145:8 | 103:17 106:2 | 233:2 237:5,7 | 86:7 88:4,5,17 | Thirty-one |
| term 12:8,9 13:1 | 107:21 108:3 | 238:16,19 | 88:19 90:7 | 195:17 |
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| Thompson 1:13 | 215:20 234:21 | topographic | 149:18 153:10 | 249:24 251:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thought 85:19 | 239:14,22 | 122:18 123:2,8 | 154:12,17,19 | 254:20 256:2 |
| 86:2 88:5,6 | 246:24 247:1,4 | 124:4 127:17 | 155:16 161:8 | 256:13 262:15 |
| 108:18 137:3 | 257:22 273:13 | 134:12,22 | 162:24 164:11 | 262:22 268:20 |
| 137:16 182:17 | 279:19 | 135:18,22,23 | 164:19 166:6 | 269:11,23 |
| 191:8 235:6 | timeframe | 136:10,22 | 166:16 167:20 | 283:8 |
| 251:1 255:18 | 236:13,23 | 251:17 | 173:24 175:3,4 | truth 49:19 |
| 275:9 | timeframes | topographical | 175:6,7 179:2 | try 112:14 165:9 |
| thousands 10:24 | 221:8 | 135:16 139:12 | 203:16,21 | 225:11,19 |
| 85:6 208:4 | times 93:22 | 170:11 | 204:6 205:13 | 226:7 235:2 |
| 254:15 | 135:9 | topography | 206:5,10,15 | 240:3,6,8,10 |
| three 10:4 61:14 | title 67:13 | 121:6 | 207:3 247:14 | 240:20 |
| 62:7 82:12 | today 5:10 7:9 | topos 142:1,10 | 249:9 250:10 | trying 11:10 |
| 95:24 99:3 | 28:23 32:12 | total 24:4 | transition 115:3 | 61:3 77:15,22 |
| 101:7 167:14 | 49:22 53:7,11 | 102:14 183:7 | Transportation | 77:23 87:19 |
| 167:17 171:10 | 63:16 73:8,10 | touch 87:7 | 1:7 3:3,4 8:21 | 89:5 108:23 |
| 195:13 199:21 | 94:23 107:10 | 157:18 | 9:5,10 14:8,24 | 142:6 160:6,11 |
| 215:12 248:11 | 108:18 210:19 | touches 111:11 | 15:4 79:21,23 | 160:12,14 |
| 277:15,17 | 228:9 233:4 | 111:13 | 81:13 | 209:16 216:20 |
| three-foot 155:7 | 273:22 274:10 | towns 11:23 | treated 58:18 | 226:12 237:3 |
| three-page | told 196:15 | township 11:21 | 73:7,8,8,9,10 | 263:19 270:17 |
| 153:20 | 224:3 233:11 | townships 11:24 | 73:13 74:3 | 271:1 |
| through-traffic | 233:14 | track 10:13,14 | treating 73:15 | turn 16:4 41:1,8 |
| 94:1 | ton 104:17 | 11:1781:21 | trench 155:7 | 42:7 44:2,3 |
| ticks 100:11 | 281:12 | 236:9 | 201:11,13,17 | 46:18 47:20 |
| tie 103:16 | tool 121:12 | tracked 222:20 | trial 186:10 | 48:24 55:5,18 |
| 111:10 | top 17:20 22:23 | 223:12 | 281:10 283:7 | 57:17 58:20 |
| time 33:5,6,8 | 27:16,18 41:9 | tracking 236:8 | 283:10 | 59:2,5,15,16 |
| 38:20 41:18 | 49:24 89:11 | tracks 36:15 | triangle 197:4 | 61:7,14 62:7 |
| 57:5 59:17 | 90:6 99:24 | 63:7 123:12,20 | tried 81:21 | 63:2 65:13 |
| 60:5 80:8 | 116:19,19 | Tracy 3:2 278:5 | 95:18 112:16 | 67:16,16,20 |
| 81:12,17 83:10 | 122:23 123:23 | 278:20,23 | trouble 281:10 | 80:18,24 82:4 |
| 83:15 86:11 | 125:8 134:21 | 279:2 | 281:11 | 90:9 92:12 |
| 88:6 92:1 | 144:7 164:24 | traffic 32:10 | true 49:20 59:12 | 97:10 103:20 |
| 123:4 127:15 | 166:24 201:11 | train 93:23 | 63:11 64:22 | 113:20 121:2 |
| 130:20 132:6 | 201:14,20 | trains 8:3 | 77:17 118:21 | 124:7 140:22 |
| 132:13,20 | 250:23 268:4 | transcribed | 119:22 137:19 | 167:11 180:7 |
| 133:6,11 137:7 | topic 55:21 56:1 | 283:11 | 140:6 210:21 | 183:21 184:17 |
| 137:13 139:11 | 56:3 57:17,19 | transcript 1:9 | 214:5,17 | 185:3,4 186:2 |
| 154:2 162:18 | 57:22 58:5 | 49:9 199:11,23 | 216:18 217:24 | 189:2 193:10 |
| 163:15 164:24 | 228:20 | 213:12 231:3 | 225:12,16 | 200:10 210:9 |
| 169:24 177:1 | topics 55:15 | 283:9 | 231:11 232:1 | 211:14 216:24 |
| 182:18 184:11 | topo 123:23 | transite 83:6,12 | 236:8 238:7,10 | 218:5 229:11 |
| 190:3 191:11 | 136:6 139:2 | 83:19 105:14 | 239:18 242:12 | 234:15 246:18 |
| 196:20 206:1 | 141:17,23 | 105:18 108:11 | 243:24 246:12 | 248:10 252:11 |
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| 260:19 265:15 | 84:17 | 118:2,7 120:3 | V | 179:11 181:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 269:4 | undergraduate | 179:5,11,14 | vague 217:13 | 215:21 |
| turned 181:21 | 121:7 | 183:9,12,15 | 243:5 | voluntary |
| turning 91:11 | underground | 185:13 | value 162:7 | 207:18,21 |
| 97:11 99:20 | 205:11 | upfield 143:5 | 165:4,8 | vs 1:5 |
| 100:17,18 | underneath | upward 191:1 | valuing 162:10 | W |
| 110:9 122:15 | 20:14 118:6 | use 12:4,16 | variety 248:23 | W |
| 129:23 171:14 | understand 10:8 | 67:17 86:12,17 | 249:3 | Wait 36:11 |
| 185:19 186:9 | 10:10 11:8 | 86:17 93:14 | various 12:1 | 45:17 80:21 |
| 195:15 238:19 | 12:6 26:4 | 98:7 105:1,19 | 70:23 200:4 | waiting 145:23 |
| turnout 93:21 | 71:11 137:21 | 121:22 128:3 | varying 44:12 | want 5:5 6:5 |
| twice 163:17 | 147:11 164:10 | 128:22,22 | vegetative | 30:8,16 43:7 |
| two 27:7 35:12 | 171:8 199:17 | 137:20 141:3 | 127:22 135:2 | 46:19 74:11 |
| 37:9 65:5,22 | 227:4,21 237:9 | 143:20 147:5 | vehicles 23:2 | 85:8 89:23 |
| 66:6 89:12,13 | 237:12 241:7 | 150:10 157:17 | 157:11 | 90:4 117:1 |
| 95:2,24 98:22 | 247:17 251:3 | 160:15 161:19 | verbiage 70:22 | 145:17 147:19 |
| 99:3 112:7 | 263:20 265:12 | 162:11,12,12 | verification 59:8 | 148:3 158:10 |
| 122:3 138:12 | 267:10 270:4 | 163:23 166:22 | 65:6 | 159:19 162:8 |
| 149:4,7 172:11 | 270:18 275:7 | 167:1,19 168:5 | verifications | 167:6 182:2 |
| 198:5 199:6,8 | understanding | 168:9 169:19 | 59:3 60:6 | 187:14 201:19 |
| 199:20 215:7,8 | 16:20 21:11,24 | 190:1 203:24 | verified 59:17 | 201:22 202:7 |
| 277:2,15,16 | 22:5 23:22 | 208:16,20 | 63:11 64:21 | 207:6,14 209:3 |
| type $58: 1188: 3$ | 25:12 31:13 | 266:8 275:6 | 66:22 67:3 | 212:11 248:12 |
| 84:6,24 117:20 | 44:15 48:10 | 276:11 |  | 260:22 262:9 |
| 118:13 142:7 | 70:11 145:9 | useful 108:12 | version 19:2 | 264:6 273:4,9 |
| 167:13 173:23 | 182:10 275:9 | uses 91:20 | 97:14 98:3,4,9 | 273:20 278:6 |
| 252:13 253:17 | 275:12 277:18 | utilities 92:4 | 106:15 147:6,7 | 280:17 |
| 254:6 255:13 | 281:3,20,23 | 205:9,12 207:6 | versus 91:17 | wanted 37:24 |
| 263:22 | 282:3 | 212:18 213:2 | 204:9 | 54:15 85:12 |
| types 11:22 | understands | 249:8 | viable 163:24 | 122:21 137:9 |
| 83:22 84:10,10 | 21:20 | utility 42:19 | vicinity $11: 2$ | 143:20 182:7 |
| 86:21 92:11 | understood | 200:15,19,21 | 17:1 35:16 | 186:18 281:10 |
| 121:12 155:6 | 135:24 187:17 | 200:22 201:7 | 100:22 | wants 60:22 |
| 167:24 201:9 | 228:7 275:1 | 201:19 204:21 | view 121:11 | 128:22 147:24 |
| 207:15 208:13 | unforeseen | 204:22 206:19 | viewed 132:13 | 158:24 260:15 |
| 259:21 | 280:3 | 206:21 | 132:13,16 | 261:16 |
| typical 201:22 <br> typically $121 \cdot 19$ | Unfortunately 97.24261 .5 | utilize 165:7 | 134:3 208:3 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { typically } 121: 19 \\ & 252: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 97:24 261:5 | 166:13,23 | viewing 132:20 | washing 205:23 Washington |
| 252:15 | unintentionally | $168: 15$ utilized 1 | vigorously 282:5 | Washington $2: 16$ |
| U |  | utilized 11:9 |  | wasn't 75:15 |
| U 74:13 75:4 | unquote 194:24 | 162:21 178:2 | voice $8: 3$ 18:4 | 85:22 95:5 |
| unable 82:21 | unsuitable | utilizes 15:4 | volatile 208:13 | 115:15 116:3 |
| 268:6 | 101:8,21 109:5 | utilizing 149:4 | volume 10:20 | 133:18 176:16 |
| underdrains | 117:12,21 | 172:22 | $23: 4 \quad 102: 14$ | 199:5 226:16 |
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| 241:22 249:10 | 208:23 259:24 | weren't 41:5 | 86:5,10 104:6 | 88:2 89:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 252:2 | 265:15 266:3 | 57:20 59:12 | 117:5 145:11 | 215:11 216:2 |
| waste 142:20 | 274:11 277:22 | 105:5 249:8 | 154:5 168:4 | 219:18 220:7 |
| 221:1,3,8,10 | 278:1 279:22 | 276:11 | 175:21 181:5 | 222:16,24 |
| 232:22 | 282:14 | west 1:13 2:3,16 | 182:6 183:2 | 223:5,17 |
| wasted 163:23 | we're 5:2,16,22 | 24:13 29:12 | 186:5 188:14 | 224:11 225:3,9 |
| water 84:8 | 42:8 46:7 50:1 | 115:6,8 117:24 | 192:23 193:8 | 225:12 226:4 |
| 123:15 124:1,2 | 72:24 73:15 | 191:16 268:2 | 193:11 195:9 | 232:7 233:19 |
| 201:4 250:17 | 74:23 78:21 | 283:21 | 198:19 199:18 | 233:24 234:5 |
| Watkins 3:5 | 86:20 87:19 | western 24:10 | 210:7214:24 | 234:18,21 |
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